Nitric oxide in plants: Investigation of synthesis pathways and role in defense against avirulent *Pseudomonas* 

Dissertation zur Erlangung des naturwissenschaftlichen Doktorgrades der Bayerischen Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg

Vorgelegt von

### JAGADIS GUPTA KAPUGANTI

aus

Dharmavaram, VZM (DT) Andhra Pradesh INDIEN

Würzburg 2007

Eingereicht am:

Mitglieder des Promotionskommission:

Vorsitzender: Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Werner M. Kaiser Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Martin J. Müller

Tag des Promotionskolloquiums:

Doktorurkunde ausgehändigt am:

**To my parents** Gowriswari and Venkata Ramana

# Contents

Summary				
A	A Intro	duction	14	
1.	Chemis	try of NO	15	
2.	Atmospl	heric NO	16	
3. Biological sources of NO		cal sources of NO	17	
	3.1	NO in soil	17	
	3.2	Sources of NO in plants	17	
	3.2.1	Non enzymatic synthesis of NO	17	
	3.2.2	Nitrate reductase	18	
	3.2.3.1.	Nitric Oxide Synthase	22	
	3.2.3.1	NOS in animals	22	
	3.2.3.2	NOS in plants	25	
	3.2.3.3	NOS in context with mitochondria	27	
4	NO in pl	lant growth and development		
	_			
	4.1	Nitric Oxide and Hormone	30	
5	NO in p	olant - pathogen interaction	34	
6	Methods	s to detect and measure nitric oxide	35	
	DA	\F	35	
	Ele	ectrochemical method	36	
	6.3 Oxy	vhaemoglobin assay	36	
	6.4 Gri	ess reagent assay	36	
	6.5 Elec	ctron paramagnetic resonance	36	
	6.6 Arg	inine-Citrulline assay	37	
	6.7 Che	emiluminescence	38	
A	ims of thi	is thesis	39	

# Chapter 1:

1.1 NO emission from roots	40
1.2 Inhibitor studies indicate possible involvement	42
of mitochondrial electron transport	
2 NO emission by mitochondria isolated from roots	43
2.1 Determination of <i>Km</i> for nitrite dependent NO production	46
2.2NO emission by mitochondria isolated from tobacco suspension cells .	47
3 NO emission from roots or mitochondria in response	
to different oxygen tension	48
4 NO-scavenging by mitochondria	50
5 NO emission from leaf mitochondria	53
6. Nitrite to NO reduction takes place on mitochondrial	
membranes but not in the matrix	57
Conclusions	60

# Chapter 2

1 Nitric Oxide Synthase	. 62
2.NOS activity in crude extracts of roots	. 64
3.NOS activity in root mitochondria	65
4.DAF-fluorescence from mitochondria	. 67
5.Measurement of commercial recombinant NOS by chemiluminescence	.71
6.Scavenging of NO by the mitochondria using NOS as a source of NO	.74
Conclusions	.77

## Chapter 3:

Role of nitrate and NO in plant/pathog	en interaction
Introduction	
1 Cryptogein induced cell death is ind	epende nt
of presence or absence of NO	
2 Is NR is required for hypersensitive	response induced by TMV81

<b>3 Is NR required for HR induced by avirulent</b> <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> 83
4 Is in vivo bacterial growth correlated with lesion formation?85
5 Is bacterial growth correlated with sugar or
amino acid contents in leaf cells or in the leaf apoplast
6 To what extent is an HR indiced by cryptogein
restricting bacterial growth88
Concluding remarks
C Discussion
1NO production by root mitochondria93
<b>2</b> Scavenging of NO by mitochondria94
<b>3 Why do leaf mitochondria not reduce nitrite to NO</b>
4 Possible roles of Nitrite-NO reduction by roots and root mitochondria97
5. NOS or no NO
6. Is DAF a reliable NO indicator100
7 Do nitra te and NR play a role in the HR101
<b>D</b> Material and methods103
<b>1 Plant materials</b>
<b>2. Preparation of root and leaf segments</b> 104
2.1 Root segments104
2.2 Leaf slices
<b>3</b> Nicotiana tabacum cell suspension culture s104
<b>4 Mitochondria preparatin</b>
4.1 Fractionation of mitochondria106
5 Gas Phase NO measurements 107
5.1 Description of the analyzer CLD 770 AL ppt108
5.2 NO gas measurement109
6 NO scavenging by mitochondria110
7 DAF- and DAR-4M- fluorescence
8 Nitria Ovida synthese (NOS) assay

9 Nitrate/nitrite trace analysis				
10 Nitrite consumption				
11 Measurement of NADH and NADPH oxidation				
<b>12</b> Growth of plant pathogens and infection113				
12.1 Growth and treatment of <i>Pseudomonas</i> strains				
12.2 Cryptogein treatment				
12.3 TMV infection				
<b>13 Nitrate reductase activity and nitrite content</b>				
14. Sugar and Amino acid analysis115				
<b>15. Northern Blotting</b> 115				
15.1 Total RNA isolation115				
15.2 Synthesis of c DNA 116				
15.3 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)116				
15.4 Electrophoresis of RNA118				
15.5 Transfer of RNA				
15.6 Radioactive labelling of nucleic				
acids and hybridization of nucleic Acids				
<b>E</b> References				
FAppendix				
Chemicals				
Abbreviations				
Publication list				
Acknowledgements				
CurriculumVitae				
Erklärung				

### Summary

During the last few years an increasing number of physiological processes in plants have been shown to be regulated by NO. NO plays important roles in growth and development, plant disease resistance, abiotic stress, and in above and underground plant organs. In recent years several enzymatic pathways and few non-enzymatic pathways were proposed for nitric oxide production in plants. The major goal of this work was to quantify NO production by plants and especially by roots, and to identify the enzymes responsible for NO production. As a major method, NO production by roots was followed through on-line measurement of NO emission into the gas phase by chemiluminescence (= direct chemiluminescence), and also by indirect chemiluminescence where trace amounts of oxidized products like NO2<sup>-</sup> and NO3<sup>-</sup> can be easily measured. Plants used were tobacco wild-type (N. tabacum cv Xanthi or cv Gatersleben), NR-free mutants grown on ammonium in order to prevent NR induction, plants grown on tungstate to inhibit synthesis of functional MoCo-enzymes, and a NOoverproducing nitrite reductase (NiR)-deficient transformant as well as barley, rice and pea. Induction of a hypersensitive response (HR) in tobacco leaves was achieved by using avirulent Pseudomonas syringae pv phaseolicola.

At oxygen concentrations of <1%, even completely nitrate reductase (NR)-free root tissues reduced added nitrite to NO, indicating that in roots, NR was not the only source for nitrite-dependent NO formation. By contrast, NR-free leaf slices were not able to reduce nitrite to NO. Root NO formation was blocked by inhibitors of mitochondrial electron transport (Myxothiazol

and SHAM), whereas NO formation by NR containing leaf slices was insensitive to the inhibitors. Consistent with that, mitochondria purified from roots, but not those from leaves, reduced nitrite to NO at the expense of NADH. The inhibitor studies suggest

that, in root mitochondria, both terminal oxidases participate in NO formation, and they also suggest that even in NR-containing roots, a large part of the reduction of nitrite to NO was catalysed by mitochondria, and less by NR. The differential capacity of root and leaf mitochondria to reduce nitrite to NO appears to be common among higher plants, since it was observed with Arabidopsis, barley, pea, and tobacco. Nitrite and NADH consumption by mitochondria were also measured. Anaerobic, nitrite-dependent NO emission was exclusively associated with the membrane fraction, without participation of matrix components.

It was also examined whether root mitochondria and mitochondrial membranes produce nitric oxide (NO) exclusively by reduction of nitrite or also via a nitric oxide synthase (NOS),- and to what extent direct NO measurements could be falsified by NO oxidation. In addition to chemiluminescence, Diaminofluoresceins (DAF) were used as an NO indicators for comparison. In air, mitochondria apparently produced no nitritedependent NO, and no NOS activity was detected by direct or indirect chemiluminescence. In contrast, with DAF-2 and DAR-4M an L-arginine-dependent fluorescence increase took place. However, the response of this apparent NOS activity to inhibitors, substrates and cofactors was untypical when compared with commercial iNOS and is considered an artefact. With iNOS, about 2/3 of the NO were oxidized to (nitrite + nitrate). Mitochondria also appear to consume NO without increasing oxidation to (nitrite+ nitrate). We therefore assume formation of NO to a volatile intermediate (eventually N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>).

It was recently shown that the hypersensitive response (HR) of tobacco triggered by the fungal elicitor cryptogein occurred independent of the presence or absence of nitrate reductase (NR). One conclusion was that NR-dependent NO formation played no role in the HR. Here we present evidence that the described scenario may be specific for

cryptogein. *Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola* was infiltrated into tobacco leaves from WT plant and from the NiR-deficient NO-overproducing clone 271, grown either on nitrate or ammonium. Lesion development as well as bacterial growth and sugar concentrations in leaves and in the leaf apoplast was monitored. Lesion development was positively and bacterial growth was negatively correlated with nitrate nutrition and eventually with NO formation. Bacterial growth was positively correlated with ammonium nutrition and apoplastic sugar concentrations. Total (free and conjugated) SA content were always drastically increased by bacterial infection, but there was no clear correlation with NO production. In the presence of cryptogein, *Pseudomonas* growth was drastically reduced. This shows that the assumed interdependence of bacterial growth, NO production and the HR is complex and not unifactorial.

## Zusammenfassung

Die Zahl der physiologischen Prozesse in Pflanzen, die scheinbar durch NO reguliert werden, hat in den letzten Jahren stark zugenommen. NO übernimmt wichtige Rollen für die Steuerung von Wachstum und Entwicklung, für die Pathogenresistenz und bei abiotischem Stress, sowohl in unterirdischen als auch in oberirdischen Organen. In Pflanzen wurden bisher eine Reihe verschiedener enzymatischer und einige wenige nichtenzymatische Synthesewege für NO vorgeschlagen. Das Hauptziel dieser Arbeit bestand nun darin, die NO Produktion von Pflanzen und speziell von Wurzeln möglichst quantitativ zu erfassen und die beteiligten Enzyme zu identifizieren. Dieses Ziel sollte vor allem durch Chemilumineszenz-Messung von NO in der Gasphase (= direkte Chemilumineszenz) erreicht werden, aber auch durch die indirekte Chemilumineszenz, bei welcher Spuren von NO-Oxidationsprodukten wie Nitrat und Nitrit erfasst werden. Als Versuchspflanzen wurden verwendet: Wildtypen von Nicotiana tabacum cv. Xanthi oder cv. Gatersleben; Nitratreduktase-freie, auf Ammonium-N angezogene Mutanten, die keine Nitratreduktase (NR) induzieren; WT Pflanzen, die auf Wolframat angezogen wurden um die Synthese funktionaler MoCo-Enzyme zu unterbinden; eine NO-überproduzierende, Nitritreduktase (NiR)-freie Transformante, sowie gelegentlich Gerste, Reis und Erbsen. Eine hypersensitive Reaktion (HR) von Tabak wurde erzeugt durch Druckinfiltration von avirulenten Bakterien des Stammes Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola.

Bei Sauerstoffkonzentrationen =1% wurde exogenes Nitrit auch von völlig NR-freien Wurzeln zu NO reduziert. Folglich war NR nicht die einzige NO-Quelle von Wurzeln. Im Gegensatz dazu waren NR-freie Blattstreifen nicht in der Lage, Nitrit zu NO umzusetzen. Die NO-Bildung von Wurzeln wurde außerdem durch Hemmstoffe des mitochondrialen Elektronentransportes, Myxothiazol und Salicylhydroxamsäure (SHAM) gehemmt, während die NO-Produktion von NR-exprimierenden Blattstreifen gegen diese Inhibitoren unempfindlich war. Damit stimmte auch überein, dass gereinigte Mitochondrien aus Wurzeln, aber nicht die aus Blättern Nitrit mit Hilfe von NADH zu NO reduzieren konnten. Die Inhibitor-Wirkung lässt darauf schließen, dass in Wurzelmitochondrien beide terminalen Oxidasen and der NO-Bildung beteiligt sind, und dass selbst in NR-haltigen Wurzeln ein großer Teil der Reduktion von Nitrit zu NO durch die Mitochondrien bewerkstelligt wird, und weniger durch NR selbst. Die Unterschiedliche Fähigkeit von Blatt-und Wurzelmitochondrien zur anaeroben Nitrit:NO-Reduktion wurde nicht nur bei Tabak, sondern auch bei *Arabidopsis*, Gerste und Erbse gefunden. Sie scheint also eine generelle Eigenschaft höherer Pflanzen zu sein. Die Nitrit:NO Reduktion wurden auch direkt als Nitrit- bzw. NADH-Verbrauch gemessen. Die Reaktion war außerdem exklusiv mit der Membranfraktion der Mitochondrien assoziert, ohne jede Beteiligung von Matrixkomponenten.

Es wurde auch geprüft, ob Wurzelmitochondrien und- gereinigte Membranen NO ausschließlich aus Nitrit produzierten, oder eventuell auch über eine NO-Synthase (NOS). Außerdem wurde untersucht, ob und in welchem Umfang die NO-Messungen durch eine NO-Oxidation verfälscht werden konnten.

Zusätzlich zur Chemilumineszenz wurden Fluoreszenzmessungen mit Diaminofluoreszeinen (DAF) zum Vergleich herangezogen. In Luft produzierten Mitochondrien ja kein Nitrit-abhängiges NO, und eine NOS-Aktivität konnte weder durch direkte noch durch indirekte Chemilumineszenz nachgewiesen werden. Mit DAF-2 oder DAR-4M wurde jedoch eine L-Arginin-abhängige Fluoreszenzerhöhung beobachtet. Diese scheinbare NOS-Aktivität wurde mit kommerzieller iNOS verglichen und zeigte dabei sehr untypische Antworten auf NOS-Inhibitoren, Substrate und Kofaktoren. Sie wird deshalb als Artefakt beurteilt. Bei Verwendung von iNOS wurden ca. 2/3 des insgesamt produzierten NO zu (Nitrit+Nitrat) oxidiert. Mitochondrien scheinen NO zu verbrauchen, ohne jedoch die Oxidation von NO zu (Nitrit+Nitrat) zu erhöhen. Vermutlich wird dabei ein flüchtiges Intermediat gebildet (eventuell N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). In unserer Gruppe wurde kürzlich gezeigt, dass der pilzliche Elicitor Cryptogein eine hypersensitive Reaktion (HR) bei Tabak hervorrief, die völlig unabhängig von der Gegenwart oder Abwesenheit von NR war. Eine Schlussfolgerung daraus war, dass die NR-abhängige NO-Bildung für die HR keine Rolle spielte. Hier präsentieren wir Hinweise darauf, dass dieses Szenario Cryptogein-spezifisch sein könnte. *Pseudomonas* syringae pv phaseolicola wurde in Tabakblätter des Wildtyps und derNiR-defizienten, NO-überproduzierenden Mutante (clone 271) infiltriert, die entweder auf Ammonium oder auf Nitrat angezogen waren. Es wurde die Entwicklung der Läsionen, das Bakterienwachstum und die Zuckerkonzentrationen in den Blättern und im Die Läsionen-Entwicklung Blattapoplasten verfolgt. war positiv, und das Bakterienwachstum negativ korreliert mit der Nitrat-Ernährung und einer eventuellen NO-Produktion. Das Bakterienwachstum war positiv korreliert mit einer Ammonium-Ernährung und mit apoplastischen Zuckerkonzentrationen. Der Gesamtgehalt an freier + konjugierter Salicylsäure (SA) war durch bakterielle Infektion immer drastisch gesteigert, aber ohne klare Korrelation mit einer NO-Produktion. In Gegenwart von Cryptogein war das Wachstum von Pseudomonas fast völlig gehemmt. Diese Beobachtungen deuten darauf hin, dass die vermutete gegenseitige Abhängigkeit von Bakterienwachstum, NO-Produktion und der HR sehr komplex ist und nicht auf einfache unifaktorielle Beziehungen reduziert werden kann.

# A Introduction:

It has long been known that the signal molecule acetylcholine dilates blood vessels blood in vertribrates. In most cases, dilation of the vessels is caused by relaxation of the muscle cells. In 1980 Furchgott discovered that an unknown substance formed in the endothelium was abel to relax the smooth muscle cells and he named it as EDRF (endothelium-derived relaxing factor). Murad found that nitro-glycerine activates guanyl cyclase (GC), which produces cyclic GMP and relaxes muscle fibers. This finding then raised the question of whether nitro-glycerine was contaminated with traces of NO. Bubbling NO gas through smooth muscle cells activated GC (Arnold et al., 1977) thus it was hypothesized that hormones may influence smooth muscles via NO. Few years alter Ignarro showed that NO behaves exactly like EDRF (Ignarro 1989). Intensive research on NO biological functions followed worldwide. NO subsequently has been identified as a critical signal molecule in maintaining blood pressure in the cardiovascular system, stimulating host defenses in the immune system, regulating neural transmission in brain, regulating gene expression, platelet aggregation, learning memory, male sexual function, cytotoxicity and cytoprotection or development of arteriosclerosis (For review see Lamattina et al., 2003).

In 1992, NO was named "Molecule of the Year" because of it's wide spread biological significance and 1998, Furchgott, Murad and Ignarro were awarded the noble prize in physiology and medicine. Twenty years back NO studies in plant systems focused on the phytotoxic properties of the oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and NO3<sup>-</sup>) and their effect upon vegetation. (Rowland *et al.*, 1985). Considerable amounts of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O are produced naturally from non polluted terrestrial ecosystems, which represent a major contribution to the natural destruction of the ozone layer (Kramlich and Linak, 1994). Ozone is produced on the surface of earth through photochemical processes

involving oxides of nitrogen, and as an air pollutant, it increases respiratory and oxidative damage in crop plants (Fehsenfeld *et al.*, 1993). In 1990 Welburn raised the question of why atmospheric oxides of nitrogen are phytotoxic and not alternative fertilizers (Wellburn, 1990). At the same time several reports showed that nitrogen gases were able to stimulate seed germination (Grubusic *et al.*, 1990, 1992)

In the last decade, the number of publications on NO in plants rose dramatically, showing that the small molecule had large effects on physiological functions ranging from stomatal closure to defense responses. Understanding how NO is produced, perceived and transduced has still is one of the major challenges in plant biology.

## 4. Chemistry of NO:

NO is an inorganic free radical gaseous molecule. Neutral NO has single electron in its 2p-p antibonding orbital and the removal of this electron forms NO<sup>+</sup>, while the addition of one more electron to NO forms NO<sup>-</sup> (Stamler *et al.*, 1992). Thus the broader chemistry of NO involves a redox array of species with distinctive properties and reactivities: NO<sup>+</sup> (nitrosonium), NO<sup>-</sup> (nitroxyl anion), and NO (NO radical)

i) NO<sup>+</sup>(*nitrosonium*). This species seems to be involved in the formation of a variety of nitroso-compounds that are generated effectively under neutral physiological conditions (Stamler *et al.*, 1992).

ii) NO<sup>-</sup> (*nitroxyl anion*). S-Nitrosothiols are believed to be a minor product of the reaction of NO<sup>-</sup> with disulfides (Stamler *et al.*, 1992).

iii) NO (*NO radical*). From a biological point of view the important reactions of NO are those with  $O_2$  and its various redox forms and with transition metal ions.

The physical half life of nitric oxide ranges from seconds to hours strongly depending on the initial concentration. The potential reactions of NO are numerous and depend on many different factors. The site and source of production, as well as concentration of NO collectively determines whether NO elicits direct or indirect effects. To fully understand the complexity of biological effects of NO the first thing has to be considered is that plant tissues are in contact with both external (atmospheric NO and soil NO) and internally generated NO.

### 5. Atmospheric NO:

The atmosphere contains substantial amounts of NO. Atmospheric NO is a major green house pollutant, produced as a result of combustion of fossil fuels. The total emission rate of NO<sub>x</sub> (NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>) in to the atmosphere is about 260  $\times 10^9$  kg/year, from combustion of fossil fuels and non anthropogenic process like lightening and biological processes (Elstner and Oßwald, 1998). The mechanism for formation of NO*x* from N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> during combustion starts by the formation of both O and N atoms at very high combustion temperatures (Manahan, 1994) or as indicated by reactions 1 and 2, where M is a highly energetic third body that imparts enough energy to the molecular N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> to break their chemical bonds. Once formed, O and N atoms participate in a chain reaction for the formation of NO:

$$O_2 + M? O + O + M (1)$$
  
 $N_2 + M? N + N + M, (2)$ 

Some authors observed in different ecosystems that a post-fire growth and abundance of seedlings was greater in burned areas. However, the effect of the ash generated during fires was not proven under controlled experimental conditions (Thanos and Georghiou, 1988). The smoke evolved during wildfires is the most important chemical stimulus for germination of the "fire-type" species (Brown and Van Staden, 1997). De Lange and Boucher (1990) were the first to report that plant-derived smoke stimulates seed germination but smoke-stimulated germination has been reported for many fire- and non fire-dependent species. The smoke appears to be an almost universal signal to seeds.

Interestingly, this effect could be mimicked by exogenous application of NO (Leshem, 1996; Gouvea *et al.*, 1997), and explained by the fact that NOx species are active components of smoke (Giba *et al.*, 1999). However, atmospheric NO can affect plants; the exposure to 10 ppm of NO causes a reversible decrease in the rate of photosynthesis.

## 3. Biological sources of NO:

#### 3.1 NO in soil:

Soils are an important source of NO and contribute to almost 20% of the global atmospheric NO budget (Conrad, 1995). The emission depends on soil levels of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  ions (Tornton and Valente, 1996). Microbially derived  $N_2O$  and NO are products of denitrification, nitrification, and reduction of  $NO_3^-$  to  $NH_4^+$  (Colliver and Stephenson, 2000; Zumft, 1997). Prokaryotic respiratory  $NO_2^-$  reductases (NiRs) are able to catalyze the one-electron reduction of  $NO_2^-$  into NO during denitrification (Zumft, 1997). A significant increase in NO and  $N_2O$  emission from soils has been detected in soils amended with biological and inorganic fertilizers compared with non-fertilized soils (Bremner, 1997; Paul *et al.*, 1993). Soil borne NO is similar in magnitude to fossil fuel emissions of NO (Davidson and Kingerlee, 1997). Effects of soil- borne NO on plants are largely unknown but it was proposed that NO derived from nitrogen fertilization could be responsible for the health of nitrogen-fertilized plants (Lamattina *et al.*, 2003).

#### **3.2 Sources of NO in plants**

**3.2.1 Non enzymatic synthesis of NO**: Non enzymatic synthesis of NO from NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> requires low pH and could be of considerable importance since significant amounts of  $NO_2^-$  can be found in acidic plant tissues (Caro, 1999). The non enzymatic reduction of  $NO_2^-$  to NO at acidic pH values occurs in the presence of reductants such as ascorbic

acid and glutathione (Bethke *et al.*, 2004), or from protonated NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, as proposed by Yamasaki *et al.*, (1999)

 $2HNO_2$ ? NO + NO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O

It has been reported that barley aleurone layers produce NO when NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> is added, probably via a non-enzymatic reduction in the acidic apoplast (Bethke *et al.*, 2004). NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> entering the grain from the soil solution or released by the embryo axis, the scutellum, or the aleurone layer to the apoplast/endosperm cavity would result in an NO production that could be sensed by the other tissues (Bethke *et al.*, 2004). Soils contain NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> in a concentration that can be greater than several hundred micromolar. However, values of 10–50  $\mu$ M are more common in agricultural soils (Stevens *et al.*, 1998). Adequate conditions for non-enzymatic NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> reduction exist in the apoplast and have been invoked to explain NO<sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> effects on germinating seeds (Giba *et al.*, 1998; Beligni and Lamattina, 2000; Bethke *et al.*, 2004).

Light-mediated conversion of nitrogen dioxide to NO can be catalysed by carotenoids although this requires an acid pH and will only occur in selected compartments in the cells (Cooney *et al.*, 1994). The formation of nitrosating agents from the reaction of carotenoids with NO<sub>2</sub> suggests that their ability to prevent nitrosative damage associated with NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in plants may be limited in the absence of light.

#### 3.2.2 Nitrate reductase:

About 20 years ago, soybean leaves were reported to emit NO *in vivo* (Harper, 1981). Using nitrate reductase-deficient mutants of soybean, Dean & Harper (1986) found that such plants did not evolve NO, unlike wild type plants, indicating NR is a likely enzyme candidate for NO production. These workers already isolated and characterised the soybean NR activity, showing that it was NAD(P)H-dependent, had a pH optimum of 6.75, and was cyanide sensitive (Dean & Harper, 1988).

Nitrate reductase is a key enzyme of nitrate assimilation in higher plants (Pattanayak & Chatterjee, 1998; Lea, 1999), often catalysing the rate-limiting step. It uses NAD(P)H as an electron source for the conversion of nitrate to nitrite (Lea, 1999). NR also has the capacity to generate NO, an activity that has been demonstrated in vitro (Dean & Harper 1986) and *in vivo* (Rockel *et al.*, 2002). The enzyme generates NO from nitrite, again with NAD(P)H as an electron donor (Kaiser et al., 2002). NO is probably generated using MoCo (molybdenum cofactor) as the site of catalysis, as found in another MoCo NO-producing enzyme, xanthine oxidoreductase (Harrison, 2002). However, in vitro, the NO generating capacity of NR could only account for a small part (<1%) of the total NR activity extracted (Rockel *et al.*, 2002). The  $K_m$  for nitrite has been found to be approximately 100 µm, a concentration higher than the endogenous nitrite concentration estimated in illuminated spinach leaves (10 µM), and the activity was competitively inhibited by nitrate ( $Ki \sim 50 \mu$ M). For example, the infusion of nitrate through leaf petioles decreased NO generation. Therefore, the rate of NR-generated NO in vivo will be dependent on the intracellular concentrations of both these compounds, as well as on the enzymatic turnover rate of the enzyme itself (Rockel et al., 2002). Intracellular nitrite has been estimated to be between 10 µM and 4.8 mM in spinach (Rockel et al., 2002), while nitrate concentrations have be reported to be in the millimolar range (Miller & Smith, 1996). Using nitrite reductase (NiR) antisense tobacco, Morot-Gaudry et al., (2002) showed that elevated endogenous nitrite levels caused dramatic rise in NO release, indicating a close link between nitrite and NO.

In higher plants NR is usually found as homodimer, with subunits of 100–115 kDa, depending on the species studied, although in some species it is tetrameric. The spinach NR has 926 amino acids, while others may be a little smaller, the bean NR being 881 amino acids (Hoff *et al.*, 1994). Its catalytic action requires electron transfer, a process

that involves three prosthetic groups, FAD, haem and MoCo. Kinetic analysis revealed that no single step in the electron transfer was rate-limiting Skipper *et al.*, 2001). Interestingly, the topology of the protein reveals three structural domains, one for each prosthetic group, separated by hinge regions that are susceptible to proteolytic cleavage. Towards the N-terminus is the MoCo domain, similar to mammalian sulphite oxidase, with the haem binding region, involving histidine residues and showing similarities to cytochrome  $b_5$ , lying in a central domain. The FAD binding site is found in the third domain, towards the C-terminal end of the polypeptide, and this domain is similar to cytochrome  $b_5$  reductase (Campbell, 1996). Thus, like NOS, NR is composed of domains which, when cleaved from the holoenzyme have autonomous partial activity.

Expression of the NR genes is light-dependent, following a diurnal pattern, and it is nitrate-inducible (Hoff *et al.*, 1994). Light appears not only to induce the transcription of NR genes, but also to influence the protein itself, either through control of translation, or by influencing the stability of the protein once synthesised (Vincentz & Caboche, 1991).

Control of NR activity is via covalent modification, involving phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (Fig 1). NR is rapidly inactivated by phosphorylation following a light to dark transition, the site of phosphorylation being serine-543 (in spinach), an amino acid conserved in NR sequences of higher plants (Rouze & Caboche, 1992; Hoff *et al.*, 1994; Bachmann *et al.*, 1996a). Phosphorylation may be  $Ca^{2+}$  dependent (Bachmann *et al.*, 1996a, b; Huber *et al.*, 1996). The NR phosphoprotein is recognised by a NR inhibitory protein (NIP), a member of the 14-3-3 family of controlling polypeptides (Bachmann *et al.*, 1996b; Moorhead *et al.*, 1996; Kaiser & Huber, 2001). Binding of NIP P-NR inactivates NR. The rate of NR degradation is also thought to be dependent on its phosphorylation state and association with the 14-3-3 protein (Fig 1)

Experimentally, the activity of NR has been also modulated by the addition of tungstate. Certainly, pretreatment with tungstate reduces the subsequent NR activity in cells. Tungstate serves as a molybdenum analogue, and the reduction in NR activity in plants is caused by the synthesis of an inactive tungstoprotein (Notton & Hewitt, 1971a). In fact, mRNA levels encoding NR, and levels of NR protein, are increased on tungstate treatment, although activity is diminished (Deng *et al.*, 1989). No direct inhibition of NR by tungstate has been reported. Clearly, it will be important to assess the effects of tungstate on NR activity, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. NR can also be inhibited by cyanide (Notton & Hewitt, 1971b) or azide (Yamasaki & Sakihama, 2000), but this has limited experimental value as  $CN^-$  known to inhibit many other enzymes, for example cytochrome oxidases.

Undoubtedly, the identification of plants lacking NR activity, or at least with severely depleted activity, will aid greatly in the identification of the role of NR in plants – for example, the *nia1*, *nia2 Arabidopsis* mutant, in which both NR genes are mutated (Wilkinson & Crawford, 1993).

As might be expected, NR activity in spinach leaves was reduced by the addition of phosphatase inhibitors (Rockel *et al.*, 2002). NR activity was also increased by the addition of uncouplers, while inactive NR was activated by rises in 5'AMP (Kaiser *et al.*, 1999). Along with anoxia, both 5'AMP and uncouplers led to a rise in nitrite concentration in the cells, and a rise in NO generation (Rockel *et al.*, 2002). Similar observations, showing the modulation of NR activity by 5'AMP, have also been made in cucumber (De la Haba *et al.*, 2001).



Fig 1: Model for the post-translational modulation of NR. The enzyme consists of three different functional and structural domains (labelled in different colours), which are connected by two hinge regions (in grey). The serine-543 phosphorylation site is located in hinge-1, which connects the heme and the MoCo domain. The phosphorylated sermotif is recognized by a 14-3-3 dimer which binds and, in presence of divalent cations converts NR into a completely inactive complex, which cannot transfer electrons from NAD(P)H to nitrate. This is schematically indicated by the 'gap' between the *heme* and the MoCo domain within the complex. Further explanations in the text (From Kaiser and Huber, 2001)

#### 3.2.3 Nitric Oxide Synthase:

#### 3.2.3.1 NOS in animals:

In animals, synthesis of NO is primarily accomplished by three isoforms of nitric oxide synthases (NOS) (Stuehr, 1999; Alderton *et al.*, 2001). The three isoforms of NOS are inducible NOS (iNOS), endothelial NOS (eNOS), and neuronal NOS (nNOS). The overal reaction for these enzymes is the same: NADPH dependent oxidation of L-

Arginine to N-hydroxy arginine and then to NO and citrulline. The location, regulation and of the isoforms differ however and corresponding to their respective functions.



Fig 2: Reaction catalyzed by NOS. Formation of citrulline and NO from L-arginine.

The discovery of iNOS activity arose from the observation that humans and germ-free rats secrete nitrate at levels that exceed intake, and this secretion increases markedly upon infection(Green *et al.*, 1981a, b; Wagner *et al.*, 1983).

Treatment of isolated macrophages with an immunogenic elicitor (bacterial lipopolysaccharides or LPS) induced nitrate and nitrite synthesis (Stuehr and Marletta, 1985). This experiment was key as it provided a tissue culture system to study nitrate and nitrite synthesis and was used to show that L-arginine is needed by macrophages to produce inorganic nitrogen (Hibbs *et al.*, 1987; Iyengar *et al.*, 1987) and that nitrate and nitrite arise from oxidation NO (Marletta *et al.*, 1988). These experiments established arginine as the substrate for NO synthesis.

nNOS activity was uncovered by studying the induction of cGMP synthesis in the central nervous system by the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate (Bredt and Snyder, 1989). Glutamate functions by activating ionotropic and kainite receptors to induce a rise in intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$ , which leads to the production of NO from arginine, which, in turn, activates guanylate cyclase (Ignarro, 2000).

eNOS activity was first identified by showing that vasodilator-induced production of EDRF (NO) was dependent on L-arginine but not D-arginine in perfused endothelial cells (Sakuma *et al.*, 1988). It was then shown that NO was made by extracts of endothelial cells in a  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent manner using cGMP synthesis as an assay (Forstermann *et al.*, 1991).

The subsequent purification of NOS and, ultimately, cloning of all three isoforms provided a detailed picture of the structure and activity of these enzymes (Bredt and Snyder, 1990; Bredt *et al.*, 1991; Pollock *et al.*, 1991; Lamas *et al.*, 1992; Xie *et al.*, 1992). All three forms are bi-domain enzymes related to cytochrome P450 enzymes (Alderton*et al.*, 2001; Li and Poulos, 2005). The C-terminal oxygenase domain contains a protoporphyrin IX haem iron and tetrahydrobiopterin (H<sub>4</sub>B) and the binding sites for arginine and oxygen. Electrons are shuttled from NADPH through the flavins to the haem and then to oxygen, which then reacts with guanidino nitrogen of arginine producing *N*-hydroxyarginine (NOHA). NOHA is oxidized further to produce NO and citrulline. Between the two domains is a site that binds calmodulin, which activates the enzyme. These enzymes vary from 130–160 kDa in size, form dimers, and are about 50–60% identical in mammals.



Figure 3: Schematic structure of mammalian NOS showing reductase domain and Heme-Fe domains separated by a calmodulin binding site and showing flow electrons from NADPH to Heme-Fe. (Fig modified from Crawford, 2006)

The primary differences among these enzymes are in their regulation and in their output rates of NO (Stuehr, 1999; Alderton *et al.*, 2001; Li and Poulos, 2005). iNOS binds calmodulin and needs such low levels of  $Ca^{2+}$  that it is not regulated post-translationally. Instead, its synthesis is inducible by a variety of immunological signals at the mRNA level. It produces large quantities of NO, which together with superoxide anion, serves as a cytostatic or cytotoxic agent against pathogens and tumour cells. nNOS and eNOS, however, are typically expressed constitutively and are activated by increases in  $Ca^{2+}$ levels in response to neuronal or endothelial signals. Calmodulin binds reversibly so regulation is primarily post-translational. These two enzymes are often referred to as constitutive NOS (cNOS). They produce much lower levels of NO than iNOS and are involved in signalling.

NOS enzymes are quite conserved and are found in mammals, fish, amphibians, and invertebrates (Torreilles, 2001).

No NOS gene has been found in *Saccharomyces*, but the oxygenase domain of NOS has been found in multiple species of bacteria (Adak *et al.*, 2003; Kers *et al.*, 2004), leading to the idea that eukaryotic NOS arose by fusion of a prokaryotic-like oxygenase domain to a reductase domain (Zemojtel *et al.*, 2003).

#### 3.2.3.2 NOS in plants

In plants as well, numerous reports point on the presence of NOS-like activities (Corpas *et al.*, 2006). NOS activity was localized in peroxisomes from pea plants (Barroso *et al.*, 1999) and also in mitochondria from *Arabidopsis* (Guo and Crawford, 2005). However, the existence of NOS in *Arabidopsis*, AtNOS1, has recently been questioned (Crawford *et al.*, 2006; Zemojtel *et al.*, 2006).

Inhibition of NO formation or of NO-dependent reactions by chemical analogs of L arginine is usually taken as an indication that the reaction was triggered by NOS-derived NO. Immunological evidence for NOS in plants was obtained with antibodies against animal NOS (Kuo *et al.*, 1995; Sen and Chema, 1995; Barroso *et al.*, 1999; Ribiero *et al.*, 1999), but those antibodies proved to be rather unspecific (Lo *et al.*, 2000; Butt *et al.*, 2003). As no *Arabidopsis* gene or protein homolog to the large and complex animal protein has yet been found, the existence of NOS in plants is still an enigma.

More recently, a breakthrough in plant NO research was achieved by the finding of the Crawford group (Guo et al., 2003; Crawford and Guo, 2005) that Arabidopsis contains a gene with sequence similarity to a gene from *Helix pomatia* that is implicated in NO synthesis. The gene encodes a 60 kDa protein, which, when expressed in E. coli, increased NO synthesis in cell extracts. When the corresponding gene (AtNOS1) was knocked out in Arabidopsis, the resulting mutant had reduced NO production in roots (measured with DAF- 2DA). Contrary to animal NOS (about 140 kDA), the much smaller AtNOS1 requires no flavin or tetrahydrobiopterin, but only Ca<sup>2+</sup>, CaM and NADPH. AtNOS1 seems constitutively expressed. It has been suggested to be part of the signalling pathway involved in ABA-induced stomatal closure, germination, root and shoot growth, seed fertility (for review Crawford and Guo, 2005), control of flower timing (He et al., 2004), senescence and protection against oxidative damage (Guo and Crawford, 2005), and seems also involved in NO production during plant-pathogen interactions, as derived from experiments with DAF-FM DA and EPR (Zeidler et al., 2004; Guo and Crawford, 2005). Also, in the atnos1 knock out mutant, induction of defence-related genes by Pseudomonas syringae was suppressed compared to the wild type (Zeidler *et al.*, 2004). Subsequently, two key papers expanded the role of AtNOS1 in unexpected ways. The first demonstrated that NO is a signal that regulates flower

timing by controlling the expression of flower timing genes (He *et al.*, 2004). A NOoverproducer mutant (*nox1*) was identified and shown to be an allele of *CUE*, which encodes a chloroplast phosphoenolpyruvate/phosphate translocator (Streatfield *et al.*, 1999). This mutant has higher levels of arginine and NO, and mutant flowers later than WT plants. In addition, the application of NO donors delays flowering. The *Atnos1* mutant, however, shows earlier flowering in a closed system. All these data suggest that AtNOS1, despite its different molecular properties, has functions analogous to animal NOS, but without the requirement for tetrahydrobiopterin as cofactor.

#### 3.2.3.3 NOS in context with mitochondria:

The first report on mitochondrial localization of NOS was by Giulivi *et al.*, (1998), who detected NOS activity in purified animal mitochondria, mitochondrial homogenates, and submitochondrial particles, using EPR and oxyhemoglobin to detect NO. Indeed, NOS activity of animal mitochondria appears located in the inner mitochondrial membrane (Ghafourifar and Richter, 1997). Very recent work by Crawford's group indicates that plant AtNOS1, like the animal enzyme, is also located in the mitochondria. This view was based on the following lines of evidence:

 Computational analysis of the NOS1 protein sequence reported a high probability of being targeted to the mitochondria.

 Fluorescence from a p35S-NOS1cDNA-GFP construct strongly overlapped with MitoTracker fluorescence in mitochondria of roots and root hairs examined by confocal microscopy.

– NO production in mitochondria isolated from *Arabidopsis* WT and At- NOS1 mutant plants was detected using DAF-fuorescence (Guo and Crawford 2005) Whether AtNOS1, or (yet unknown) isoforms may be also located in other plant cell organelles, is not totally clear. Using an immunological approach, NOS-like activity in pea plants

has been reported to be localized in both peroxisomes and chloroplasts (Barroso *et al.*, 1999). The specificity of anti-NOS antibodies used for the experiments, however, has been questioned (Lo *et al.*, 2000; Butt *et al.*, 2003). Thus, at present it seems most probable that NOS-like activity in plants is exclusively located in the mitochondria. Sufficient supply of reductant in the mitochondria is assured by the citric acid cycle, and the second NOS substrate, L-arginine, may pass the mitochondrial membranes via a recently identified translocator for basic amino acids (Catoni *et al.*, 2003; Hoyos *et al.*, 2003). At this point it is also unknown whether AtNOS1 is actually exposed to the matrix side, or to the intermembrane space, as in animal mitochondria (Ghafourifar and Richter, 1997). It is also not clear whether AtNOS1 can use NADH, as well as NAD(P)H, as substrate.



Figure 4: Enzymatic and non enzymatic pathways that contribute to NO production in plants

## 4 NO in plant growth and development:

Takahashi & Yamasaki, (2002) showed that NO can reversibly suppress electron transport and ATP synthesis in chloroplasts. As nitrite can be a source of NO, it was suggested that, under conditions where nitrite reduction by nitrite reductase is limited,

NR-produced NO could inhibit photosynthesis. In accordance with this suggestion, antisense-nitrite reductase (NIR) tobacco plants have been found to accumulate  $NO_2^-$  and have increased NO emission and exhibit reduced growth (Morot-Gaudry *et al.*, 2002).

NO is also involved in hypocotyl and internode elongation (Beligni and Lamattina, 2000). NO also increased chlorophyll content in guard cells (Leshem *et al.*, 1998). Iron mediated chlorophyll retention improved in the presence of NO (Graziano *et al.*, 2002). Several report indicate that NO may have anti-senescence properties. Application of NO donors to pea leaves under senescence - promoting conditions decreased generation of ethylene, an endogenous driver of senescence (Lesham and Haramaty, 1996). On the other hand Magalhaes *et al.*, (2000) has shown that exposure of *Arabidopsis* plants to NO gas increased ethylene levels and that inhibition of NO synthesis did not effect ethylene accumulation. NO also increased longevity of several varieties of cut flowers (Leshem, 2001).

Fruit ripening is a senescence related process that is promoted by ethylene and can be delayed by NO. Increased ethylene production during the ripening of fruits such as banana and strawberries coincides with reduced NO emission (Leshem and Pinchasov, 2000; Leshem, 2001). NO can stimulate the seed germination in several plant species. Supply of NO donors broke dark-imposed seed dormancy in lettuce and that was reversed by application of the NO scavenger cPTIO (Beligni and Lamattina, 2000). At low pH (2.5 to 3), nitrite promoted seed germination and acidic conditions without nitrite did not (Giba *et al.*, 1998). The effects of nitrite (and thus NO) on seed germination are interesting, and suggest that the level of soil nitrite is one factor determining seed germination. NO appears also involved in root development. Auxin induced root growth and formation of lateral roots was blocked by NO scavenger cPTIO (Pagnussat., 2002).

#### a. Nitric Oxide and Hormones:

NO plays a central role in determining the morphology and developmental pattern of the roots. Gouvea et al., (1997) provided the first evidence for participation of NO in an auxin induced process in roots. The investigators proposed that the auxin indole acetic acid (IAA) and NO might share common steps in signal transduction, because both elicit the same plant response. NO accumulation in response to auxin treatment was shown by Pagnussat et al., (2002) in cucumber explants during adventitious root formation. Subsequently it was demonstrated that application of auxin to roots resulted in localized NO production and during lateral root formation, root hair formation and asymmetric NO accumulation in root tips during gravitropic response (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2004; Lombardo et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2005). Auxin-induced adventitious, lateral and root hair formation were blocked by NO scavenger cPTIO suggesting a role of NO in these processes, moreover application of NO was able to reverse the inhibitory effects of the basipetal auxin transport inhibitor 1-naphtylphthalamic acid (NPA) (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2004; Hu et al., 2005). Taking together these results suggest that NO is an important molecule operating downstream of auxin through a linear signalling pathway during root growth and development. To study the contribution of NO sources in root morphological responses, pharmacological approaches using both NR and NOS inhibitors have been employed. In particular application of NR and NOS inhibitors resulted in reduced NO production and gravitropic bending. Mutants defect in NO accumulation resulted in altered NO mediated phenotypes.

An earlier report showed that activation of defense genes in tobacco by NO was alsio induced by cGMP (Durner *et al.*, 1998). Cyclic GMP increased in response to NO via regulation of guanylate cyclase activity (Neill *et al.*, 2003). cGMP can act via cADPR, which in turn regulates  $Ca^{2+}$  levels as was reported in various plant systems (Allen *et al.*, 1995). It was shown that the GC inhibitor inhibitor, 6-anilino-5,8-quinilinedione (LY83583), was able to reduce AR formation in both auxin and NO-treated explants. NO can also act via a cGMP independent pathway, activating phosphatases and protein kinases including MAPKs. NO donors and recombinant NOS were shown to modulate two pathogen activated MAPKs. Auxin activates a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling cascade during adventitious root formation (Pagnussat et al., 2004). Thus NO regulates cyclic GMP and MAPK signalling pathways during adventitious root formation. Auxin has been reported extensively involved in regulation of cell division in plants. Auxin exerts its effect on lateral root formation by cell stimulation at the G1 to S transition (Himanen et al., 2002). Auxin application induces expression of A-, B-, and D- type of cyclins as well as cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs). Analysis of cell cycle - regulated genes showed that NO induces expression of cyclins CYCA2;1, CYCD3;1 and of cyclic dependent kinase CDKA1 during lateral root formation in tomato (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2006). Interestingly Auxin - induced expression of cell cycle regulatory genes was prevented or delayed by application of the NO scavenger cPTIO, suggesting that NO is required for auxin action. In another recent report, NO was shown to stimulate the activation of cell division and embryonic cell formation in leaf protoplasts - derived cells of alfalfa (Otvos et al., 2005).

Water deficit is associated with the accumulation of the plant hormone abscisic acid. ABA regulates various vital processes including seed maturation, dormancy, and vegetative growth, and induces tolerance to different stresses including drought, salinity and low temperatures (Giraudat *et al.*, 1994). Among all these processes, the control of stomatal movements of great importance for controlling water loss through transpiration stream while balancing the requirement of gas exchange for photosynthesis. Stomatal movement is effected by osmotic fluxes of water across the tonoplast and plasma membrane, such fluxes being driven by movement of K<sup>+</sup> and CI ions through specific channels that are activated and deactivated in response to various stimuli such as ABA (Schroeder *et al.*, 2001). Guard cell signalling is highly complex, but most signals elicit changes in cytosolic osmolarity, often in oscillating manner (Schroeder *et al.*, 2001). Calcium increases are induced by signalling molecules such as inositol trisphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>) and Indole hexakisphosphate (IP<sub>6</sub>), sphingosine-1-phosphate (SIP),  $H_2O_2$  and cyclic adenosine 5<sup>|</sup>-diphosphoribose (cADPR) (Hetherington, 2001). Other signal transduction mechanisms in guard cells include alterations in pH, cytoskeletol arrangements, gene expression and membrane trafficking (Schroeder *et al.*, 2001; Hetherington, 2001).

ABA induces rapid NO synthesis in guard cells (and other epidermal cells) of pea (Neill et al., 2002a). In pea, ABA induced NO synthesis in guard cells was required for stomatal closure, as removal of NO scavenger PTIO substantially inhibited ABA induced closure. Pharmacological evidence indicated NOS as a source of NO, as ABAinduced stomatal closure and NO synthesis were both inhibited by L-NAME (Neill et al., 2002). ABA induced NO synthesis is also required for ABA- induced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis (Desikan et al., 2002). Here, however the source of NO appears to be NR, not NOS. Tungstate strongly inhibited ABA induced NO synthesis and stomatal closure. Consistent with that, NO accumulation in guard cells and stomatal closure, both events were prevented by a NO scavenger (Desikan et al., 2002). Moreover, guard cells of NO deficient Arabidopsis *nia1* and *nia2* mutant do not synthesize NO nor do they close in response to nitrite or ABA (Desikan et al., 2002). It seems possible, then, that there exist species differences in NO synthesis, at least in terms of guard cell responses to ABA. It was also demonstrated that NO is an active component of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and UV-B-induced stomatal closure (He et al., 2005; Bright et al., 2006). UV-B light has been reported to induce both H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and NO in guard cells (He et al., 2005).

Ethylene plays an active role in many plant responses to environmental and endogenous signals. Ethylene profoundly influences of plant growth and development, from germination and cell expansion to stress response and fruit ripening.

Evidence of the interplay between NO and ethylene in the maturation and senescence of plant tissues suggests an antagonistic effect of both gases during these stages of plant development (Leshem *et al.*, 1998) Exogenous application of NO extended the postharvest life of fresh horticultural production by inhibiting ethylene production (Leshem *et al.*, 1998). Moreover, the administration of sildenafil, an inhibitor of cGMP-degrading phosphodiesterases, results in a greater inhibition of ethylene production. This treatment prevents the wilting of flowers (Siegel-Itzcovich, 1999) indicating that cGMP could be involved in the NO-induced inhibition of ethylene production. It has been demonstrated by a non-invasive photoacoustic spectroscopic method, that endogenous NO and ethylene contents are an inversely correlated during the ripening of strawberries and avocados (Leshem, 2002).

Senescence is a process characterized by water loss and desiccation of plant tissues. As discussed above, NO can regulate stomatal closure by modulating ion channels and  $Ca^{2+}$  levels in guard cells. Therefore it would be interesting to test whether the decrease in NO levels in senescent tissue determines the loss of an important signal involved in the fine regulation of stomatal closure and a concomitant water loss that contributes to an irreversible desiccation process. More recently Durner's group has shown that S-nitrosylation inhibits one of the three methionine adenosyltransferases generating the precursor to ethylene biosynthesis (Lindermayr *et al.*, 2006)

## **3** NO in plant - pathogen interactions:

It has been demonstrated that NO plays an important role in plant pathogen interactions. The publication of two papers in 1998 describing the role of NO in plant defence signalling (Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 1998) led to a big increase in NO research. Both these papers demonstrated a key signalling role for NO during the induction of the hypersensitive response (HR). HR is a defence process activated in plants in response to pathogen attack. Associated with the HR is an oxidative burst, in which there is greatly increased ROS generation, PCD, and the activation of signalling pathways driving the expression of various defense-related genes. Pseudomonas syringae pv glycinea, induced rapid NO synthesis with kinetics similar to  $H_2O_2$ generation (Delledonne et al., 1998). Application of NO donors induced phenylalanine ammonium lyase (PAL) and CHS genes. Durner et al. (1998) also provided compelling evidence for the role of NO during plant defence responses. Infection of tobacco plants with HR-inducing varieties of tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) induced NOS activity that was inhibited by NOS inhibitors. NO also induced the synthesis of SA and expression of the defence-related gene *PR-1*. SA is a defence signalling molecule involved in the development of systemic acquired resistance. NO treatment of soybean cotyledons triggered the biosynthesis of phytoalexins (Modolo et al., 2002). Furthermore, elicitor induced phytoalexin formation was inhibited by NOS inhibitors, implying NOS as a source of NO in this pathway. Another role of NO is in symbiosis. NO was detected in soybean nodules using EPR spectroscopy (Mathieu et al., 1998). NOS immuno reactivity has been demonstrated in Lupinus nodules (Cueto et al., 1996). However, a clear function for NO during symbiosis has not yet been established.

### **4** Methods to detect and measure nitric oxide:

NO is an unstable molecule that is probably produced in very low concentrations, which both make detection difficult. Significant breakthroughs in NO research have been obtained by development of new methods and technologies.

#### 6.1 DAF

Diaminofluorescein (DAF) and its cell permeable forms (DAF-2DA, DAF-FM-DA) are most widely used fluorophores for qualitative and semi quantitative detection of NO. DAF-2 is S-nitrosated forming the highly fluorescing triazolofluorescein (DAF-2T). The diacetate has great advantage because of its efficient uptake of into living cells. DAF-2DA is readily transformed in to DAF-2 by intracellular esterase activity enabling the visualization of NO *in vivo*. DAF-2DA is a membrane permeating.

Haemogloblins or glutathione (GSH) were known to act as scavengers but low specificity for NO. The most widely used scavenger for NO is cPTIO (2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydo-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1*H*-imidazolyl-1-oxy-3-oxide).



Figure 5: Fluorometric detection of NO using DAF-2DA. DAF-2DA diffuses into cells and tissue where non-specific esterases hydrolyze the diacetate residues thereby trapping DAF-2 within the intracellular space. NO-derived nitrosating agents, such as  $N_2O_3$ , nitrosate DAF-2 to yield its highly fluorescent product DAF-2T (from Tarpey *et al.*, 2004).

6.2 *Electrochemical method*: Commercial electrode systems are available that can be used to monitor concentrations of NO directly and continuously. They are based on the quantification of NO release in the presence of  $Cu^{2+}$  by amperometric measurements (Malinski and Taha, 1992). Example world precision instruments. Detection limit is 400 nM.

*6.3 Oxyhaemoglobin assay*: This method is based on oxidation of HbO<sub>2</sub> to methemoglobin (MetHb) by NO and the spectral change of the oxidized form (Murphy and Noack, 1994). However, as HbO<sub>2</sub> is also oxidized by  $NO_2^-$ , it is difficult to differentiate NO from its product of decomposition,  $NO_2^-$ . Other heme proteins such as horse radish peroxidase (HRP) have recently been employed for assay of NO. HRP is a heme protein with ferric ion; it forms a stable complex with the addition of NO that induces a spectral change from 396.5 to 420 nm. The detection limit is 10nmol L<sup>-1</sup> (Kikuchi *et al.*, 1996).

6.4 Griess reagent assay : The most commonly used indirect method is the Griess reagent (Nims *et al.*, 1996), this method is based on measurement of  $NO_2^-$ , which is the stable nitrogen oxide formed following NO decomposition in aqueous solution in vitro. Analysis by the Griess reagent is based on a two step diazotization reaction and has a sensitivity limit of about 100 nmol L<sup>-1</sup>.

6.5 Electron paramagnetic resonance: ESR is a direct method to detect free radicals, and some efforts have been made to detect NO• (Kosaka et al 1992, Leshem and Harammaty, 1996). Mordvintcev et al. (22) employed the high affinity of NO• for transition metal ions to develop a method in which they used the complex of Fe<sup>2+</sup> with diethyldithiocarbamate (DETC) to trap NO• and form a stable ternary complex (DETC)<sub>2</sub>.Fe<sup>2+-</sup>NO. This ternary complex was then detected by ESR spectroscopy at 77 K. The spectral feature of the ternary complex (DETC)<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sup>2+</sup>-NO is an axial signal with an easily recognized hyperfine structure triplet at g•  $\hat{U} = 2.035$ . However, the detection
threshold is higher and the ternary complex  $(DETC)_2$ -Fe<sup>2+</sup>-NO is not soluble in water. These drawbacks restrict this methods application in certain cases. Xu *et al.*, (2004) improved this method by extracting the ternary complex  $(DETC)_2$ . Fe2+-NO from the water phase into an organic phase. By this means, the detection threshold was improved to lower than 50 nM, which was 10-fold more sensitive than the usual method. Reports on measuring NO• by ESR in plants are very few. Mathieu et al. (20) detected the spectra of leghemoglobin-NO using ESR at 77 K in soybean nodules. Modolo *et al.*, 2005 detected NO from leaf mitochondria in response to pathogen treatments.

6.6 Arginine-Citrulline assay: Some of the evidence supporting an arginine-dependent mechanism in plants comes from commercially available 'NOS assay kits' (citrullinebased assays) that measure the conversion of arginine to citrulline using ion exchange chromatography Radiolabeled arginine is provided as a substrate, and is then separated from reaction products by cation exchange chromatography. Positively charged arginine binds the ion exchange resin but citrulline does not. The unbound (flow-through) fraction, which is generally assumed to be citrulline, is measured in a scintillation counter. Examples of this assay include the analysis of NOS activities include analysis of NOS activity in aluminium treated *Hibiscus* (Tian *et al.*, 2007), in peroxisomes of pea (Barroso *et al.*, 1999), and in elicitor treated *Hypericum* cells (Xu *et al.*, 2005). By employing the citrulline-based NOS assay, an arginine-dependent activity was discovered that was strongly stimulated by an extract of low molecular weight compounds from *Arabidopsis* leaves. Recently however, it turned out to produce argininosuccinate rather than citrulline (Tischner *et al.*, 2007). Thus the method identifies reactions that are unrelated to NOS.

#### 6.7 Chemiluminescence

In the chemiluminescence assay NO is reacted with ozone, producing excitedstate NO2, which upon decay to the ground state releases a photon that is detected by a photomultiplier. It is considered a useful method because of its high sensitivity and capability for real-time monitoring of NO but is limited to detection of gaseous NO (Planchet *et al.*, 2005). End-point detection of NO produced in fluids requires reduction of nitrite and nitrate to NO by vanadium (III) chloride in hydrochloric acid at 90°C. This method is ideal for monitoring NO release from plants (Rockel *et al.*, 2002). (Further details on chemiluminescence are given in methods section)

#### Aims of this thesis:

As pointed out above, there are several potential sources for NO in plants. Which of them really contribute, and how much it contribute in specific plant species, organs and organelles in specific situations is yet unclear. Thus it was a major task of this thesis to quantify NO production in specific tissues or organelles, and to identify contributing reactions. For that purpose, two methods were selected a) gas phase chemilumeniscence and b) DAF-fluorescence

A large part of the experiments was carried out with roots from various species, and with mitochondria isolated from roots and, occasionally, from baves. In context with the role of NO in plant defense against pathogens, tobacco (various mutants) and avirulent strain of *Pseudomonas syringae (pv phaseolicaola)* was used.

### **B** Results

#### Chapter 1:

#### **1.1 NO emission from roots**

To measure NO emission from roots, 1g of (FW) of young roots were collected from 4 individual plants, blotted on filter paper and cut in to small segments (5mm). Segments were placed in 10ml of HEPES (pH 7.6) in a small petri dish mounted in a head space cuvette flushed with air or nitrogen (to impose anoxia).

Root segments of WT tobacco (grown hydroponically on nitrate as N-source) usually released very little or no NO in air (Figure 6A). After switching to nitrogen, however, the NO concentration in the gas stream increased, reaching a steady state after approximately 30 min, where the rate of the anoxic NO release was about 9 nmol  $g^1$ FW h<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 6A). The mean NR activity in corresponding root extracts was about 1  $\mu$ mole g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>1</sup> (not shown). Thus, NR could produce nitrite at about a 100 fold higher rate than required for the measured NO release. Nitrate reductase (NR) -free root segments (either from a nia 30 double mutant (Fig 6B) or from WT plants grown on ammonia as N source plus tungstate (Fig 6B), showed practically no NO emission neither in air nor in anoxia, which was originally interpreted to indicate that NR was the only NO source. But importantly, when supplied with nitrite, NO emission in anoxia was restored even in NR-free tissues (Fig 6B), consistent with our previous observations with NR-free mutants of the unicellular green alga Chlorella sorokiniana (Tischner et al., 2004). It should be noted, that NO emission from intact root systems attached to the plant occasionally was also measured, in a specific cuvette humidified with watersaturated air. The kinetics and rates of NO emission from such intact root systems were

very similar to those obtained with root segments (not shown). However, as intact root systems were much more difficult to handle than suspensions of root segments, all experiments shown here were carried out with root segments, as in Fig 6.



Figure 6 (A) NO emission from root segments of WT and of the NR-free *nia* 30 double mutant (both supplied with nitrite). In (B), NO emission is shown from root segments of either WT grown on ammonium plus tungstate, or from *nia* 30, after addition of nitrite. The root segments were suspended in buffer solution as described in Materials and Methods, and were flushed with air or nitrogen as indicated. The curves show representative experiments out of 3 to 8 independent experiments.

# **1.2 Inhibitor studies indicate possible involvement of mitochondrial electron transport:**

In the experiment described in figure 6, NO emission from roots under anoxia increased and reached steady state approximately at 40 nmol  $g^{-1}$  FW h<sup>-1</sup>. To check involvement of mitochondria, 50  $\mu$ M Myxothiazol, a complex III inhibitor, was injected in to the solution. After Myxothiazol addition, NO emission was decreased to 10 nmoles  $g^{-1}$  FW h<sup>-1</sup>. To inhibit a potential alternate oxidase (AOX), 2.5 mM SHAM were added, but NO emission was not further inhibited. With 1 mM KCN, NO emission was completely inhibited. Myxothiazol and SHAM together should actually inhibit mitochondrial electron transport completely. Incomplete inhibition may therefore indicate that in WT roots NR participated in NO production from nitrite, and KCN also inhibits NR.

In order to further check the response of mitochondrial electron transport in the reduction of nitrite to NO, we used again the NR-defective double mutant of tobacco (*nia 30*) which was cultivated mostly on ammonium (hydroponics). Three days before the experiments plants were transferred in to nutrient solution containing nitrate. The mutant plants were completely devoid of NRA and contained no nitrite.

NO emission from (*nia 30*) root segments was measured as with WT. NO emission was approximately 40 nmol  $g^1$  FW  $h^1$  under nitrogen. After reaching steady state, 50  $\mu$ M Myxothiazol inhibited the NO emission by 75% and the AOX inhibitor SHAM (2.5 mM) caused complete inhibition as shoud be expected with mitochondria being the only NO source.



Figure 7: NO emission from tobacco root segments (a) WT or (b) *nia* double mutant lacking nitrate reductase. 1g of roots placed in 10 mL of HEPES pH 7.6. NO emission measured in air or nitrogen after addition of 0.5 mM nitrite as indicated. 50  $\mu$ M Myxothiaol, 2.5 mM SHAM or 1.5 mM KCN were added to the roots as indicated. The figures are representative of 5 independent experiments.

#### 2 NO emission by mitochondria isolated from roots

As indicated above, tobacco roots produced NO from nitrite and this NO production was blocked by mitochondrial electron transport inhibitors. In the intact roots, such inhibitors may produce non-specific effects. In order to overcome this problem, we purified mitochondria from tobacco roots. Ten mL of a mitochondrial suspension in a petridish were mounted in a transparent cuvette on a shaker. 0.5 mM nitrite, 1 mM NADH was added to the mitochondria and NO emission was measured under a stream of air or nitrogen. In air, mitochondria did not produce NO, but under nitrogen they produced 5 to 10 nmol mg<sup>-1</sup> protein  $h^1$ . After reaching steady state, NO production was blocked by adding 20  $\mu$ M Myxothazol, 2.5 mM SHAM were added (Fig 9).

To check mitochondrial activity, oxygen consumption was measured with 1 mM NADH and 0.1 mM ADP. Typically oxygen consumption of isolated mitochondria was 67  $\mu$ mol O<sub>2</sub> mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup> which compares well with literature values. As mitochondria reduced nitrite to NO this may be a signal triggering metabolic adaptation to low oxygen tensions including induction of AOX. The high rates of NO production from root mitochondria suggests that mitochondria may be more important for cellular NO production and NO signalling than previously thought.

	catalase Activity (%) of total $\pm$ SD )	Nitrite dependent NO emission (nmol mg <sup>-1</sup> protein	soluble protein (% of total SD)
		h <sup>-</sup> ' ± SD)	
<b>}</b> 5% →	53.2 ± 6.2	n. d	20 ±3.4
}28% →	43.7 ± 5.1	n. d	17.3 ±2.8
	1.2 ± 0.48	4 ± 5.5	32.7 ±5.8
60%	1.8 ± 0.55	n. d	30 ±4.6

Figure 8: Characterization of Percoll fractions obtained during purification of mitochondria from tobacco roots. Catalase activity was measured a peroxisomal marker, and NO emission from nitrite plus NADH was measured as described above. It should be noted that the mitochondrial fraction was washed twice to remove Percoll, as in the

Α

standard purification procedure, whereas all other fractions were taken directly from the gradient as indicated

Figure (8) shows the distribution of catalase activity (as peroxisomal marker enzyme) and of NO-emission of the four major fractions of the Percoll gradient used for purification of mitochondria. The mitochondrial fraction was practically free of peroxisomes, and it was the only fraction able to consume oxygen (numbers are given in the legends of various figures) and to



Figure 9: NO emission from tobacco root segments (b) WT or (c) nia double mutant lacking nitrate reductase. 1gram of roots placed in 10 ml of HEPES pH 7.6.NO emission measured in air or nitrogen after addition of 0.5mM Nitrite as indicated. 50  $\mu$ M Myxothaxol, 2.5 mM SHAM, 1.5mM KCN added to the roots as indicated. The figures are representative experiments out of 5 different experiments.

# 2.1 Determination of *Km* for nitrite dependent NO production:

To determine  $K_{\rm m}$  for NO production by mitochondria, different concentrations of nitrite (50 µM, 100 µM, 150 µM, 350 µM, 500 µM) were injected in to the mitochondrial suspension in the absence of oxygen At 500 µM, NO emission from mitochondria was close to saturation Linear regression analysis of double reciprocal plots gave a  $K_{\rm m}$  value for both mitochondria and roots of 175 µM and 210 µM, respectively.



Figure 10: Nitrite affinity of anoxic NO production by isolated root mitochondria (A) or root segments (B). Nitrite (100  $\mu$ L ) was injected consecutively (arrows) into the

reaction mixture containing either 8 mL of a mitochondrial suspension (1.5 to 2.5 mg protein) or 1 g root segments (FW) under a stream of nitrogen. Numbers at the arrows give final (additive) concentrations of nitrite, assuming that only a small part of the preceding addition had been consumed. Oxygen uptake of mitochondria was 6 to 7  $\mu$ mol mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup>. Inset gives Km values determined by Lineweaver-Burk plots and linear regression analysis. Each NO emission curve was a representative curve out of 4 independent experiments, whereas mean values from 3 independent experiments were used to calculate the Km.

### 2.2 NO emission by mitochondria isolated from tobacco suspension cells:

As already mentioned, growth of plants or cells in the complete absence of nitrate but with ammonium as N source can be used to produce plants that are completely free of NR and also of NIR. Such plants still contain other MoCo enzymes, e.g. xanthine dehydrogenase and aldehyde oxidase, which also have been suggested to produce NO from nitrite. Like NR, these enzyme are still expressed but non- functional when plants are grown on tungstate instead of molybdenum. NR free cells (ammonium cells on tungstate 100  $\mu$ M) did not produce NO in air or nitrogen. However when 200  $\mu$ M nitrite was added, these cells produced large amounts of NO under anoxia (see Planchet *et al.*, 2005). This anaerobic, NR - independent NO production was completely inhibited by 1mM KCN. Thus under anoxia cells were able to produce NO from nitrite independent of MoCo enzymes, confirming previous results with the *nia* double mutant.

Thus mitochondria purified from tobacco suspension cells behaved exactlz like mitochondria isolated from the roots, showing nitrite to NO-reduction that was NADH dependent and sensitive to Myxothiazol and SHAM.



Fig 11: NO emission mitochondria (10 mL, 1.5 mg protein) of tungstate - grown cell suspensions. NO emission from 10ml mitochondria (1.5 mg protein). NO emission was measured in air or nitrogen as before. The figure is a representative example out of 6 separate experiments. Oxygen uptake of mitochondria was 4 to 5  $\mu$ mol mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup>.

## 6. NO emission from roots or mitochondria in response to different oxygen tensions:

Our previous data implied that high oxygen tensions inhibit nitrite-dependent NO emission from roots and mitochondria. In the soil environment physiological oxygen tensions for roots vary strongly coming close to anoxia under flooding conditions.

WT tobacco roots were cut in to 0.3-0.5 mm segments and 1g of roots were placed in 10 ml 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6 placed in a transparent cuvette which was under continuous stirring. Different concentrations of air mixed with nitrogen were pulled through the cuvette. With root segments of WT tobacco plants, very little NO emission was observed in 100% air. NO emission increased with decreasing concentrations of oxygen. Maximum NO emission was observed in 0% air (nitrogen).

Similarly, with purified mitochondria little NO was emission was observed in 1% oxygen then NO emission increased when oxygen concentration decreased reaching an optimum in pure nitrogen. 50% inhibition ( $I_{50}$ ) of NO emission was reached at 0.05% oxygen.



Figure 12: Effect of different concentrations of Oxygen on NO emission from WT tobacco roots. NO emission was measured from 1 g tobacco roots in 10 ml 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, in the presence of 5 mM nitrite. Numbers indicate the percentage of oxygen present in combination with nitrogen. Total flow was 1.6 ml/min. This figure is a representative of 3 independent experiments.



Figure 13: Oxygen response curve of NO emission from purified root mitochondria in the presence of nitrite and NADH. Reaction was started in air. Where indicated by arrows, mixtures of air and nitrogen were produced with two mass flow controllers to

give a constant total gas flow of 1.6 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The resulting oxygen concentration is given as %. Nitrite was 0.5 mM, NADH was 0.2 mM. The curve is a representative experiment out of three separate experiments which produced almost identical curves.

#### 4. NO-scavenging by mitochondria:

To check the NO scavenging capacity of mitochondria in air, a solution with a defined amount of dissolved NO (186.2 pmol) was prepared by flushing NO gas (95 ppm) into water. Aliquots (2 ml) of this NO solution were injected into vigorously stirred water. After injection, NO rapidly escaped in to the gas phase, where it was measured. At room temperature it took 20 minutes until NO emission from the solution came to an end. Integration of the emission curve revealed that recovery of injected NO was 95%. When the NO solution was injected in to 10 ml of a mitochondrial suspension only 17.5% of NO were recovered compared to water. When 2 ml of NO solution were injected into mitochondria buffer alone, 60.4% of the NO were recovered from the buffer compared with water. Thus the mitochondria buffer alone scavenged some NO.

To check the involvement of oxygen in NO scavenging, identical experiment were conducted under nitrogen (Fig 14). In pure water, 95% of added NO was recovered. However when NO was injected in to 10 ml of a mitochondrial suspension under nitrogen stream, about 27.4% were NO recovered. When NO injected in to 10 ml of mitochondria buffer, 66% of NO recovered. This indicates little oxidative component in NO scavenging.



Figure 14: Effect of oxygen (a) and nitrogen (b) on the recovery of NO from water, buffer or a suspension of tobacco root mitochondria in the presence and absence of respiratory substrate (NADH). NO emission was followed after injection of 2 ml of NO solution into 10ml of water, or buffer (0.3 M Sucrose, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 2 mM MgCb, 1 mM EDTA, one proteinase inhibitor tablet) or 10 ml mitochondria, in the presence or absence of 1 mM NADH. Numbers give the amount of NO recovered as percentage of theoretically added NO. 10 ml of mitochondria in the cuvette contained 1.5 to 2.5 mg protein. Oxygen consumption of the mitochondria was 6-7  $\mu$ mol O<sub>2</sub> mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup>. Numbers (%) are means average ± SD from 5 experiments.

To determine the effect of the mitochondria concentration on NO scavenging, NO solution was added to 10 ml solution containing 1.5 ml, 3 ml, or 5 ml of mitochondria. NO scavenging was obviously dependent on the concentration of mitochondria, increasing with the mitochondrial concentration (Fig 15).



Figure 15: Dependence of NO scavenging on mitochondria concentration in (A) air or (B) nitrogen. NO emission was followed after injection of 2 ml of NO solution in to buffer (0.3 M Sucrose, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 2 mM MgCb, 1 mM EDTA, and one proteinase inhibitor tablet) or different dilution of mitochondria with buffer. Numbers give amount of NO scavenged as percentage of theoretically added NO. Mean ( $\pm$ ) SD of 3 replicate experiments.

In order to determine whether NO scavenging by mitochondria (in air or nitrogen) was dependent or independent on electron transport the experiment of Fig was repeated with or without added NADH (1 mM). Interestingly mitochondria with NADH performed 25% more NO scavenging (Fig 14) compared to mitochondria without NADH. This response was same in air and nitrogen. In buffer without mitochondria NO recovery was the same with and without NADH.

The reason for the higher mitochondrial NO scavenging with NADH is not known. Formation of superoxide in actively respiring mitochondria could be one reason since NO reacts with certainly superoxide which may contribute to scavenging. However, the NADH effect was visible also in nitrogen, where superoxide should not be formed.

#### 5. NO emission from leaf mitochondria:

Previously our group demonstrated NO production from leaves (Planchet et al., 2005). During darkness in air, detached tobacco leaves, with petioles in nitrate solution (10 mM), emitted 5 times less NO than in light. Highest NO emission was detected when leaves were kept in dark under a stream of nitrogen, which was more than 1000 times higher than in air (dark).

Under anoxia, leaf slices from nitrate-grown WT plants produced NO at similar rates (on a FW basis) as root segments. But in contrast to roots, this NO emission was insensitive to Myxothiazol (Fig 16). Leaf slices of *nia 30* did not emit NO even when fed with 0.5 mM nitrite under anoxia (Fig 16). Both findings strongly suggest that in leaf tissues, all NO is derived from NR, suggesting that, leaf mitochondria are not able to produce NO.



Figure 16: Comparison of NO emission from tobacco leaf slices from WT and from the NR -free *nia* leaf slices. Myxothiazol was added were indicated by an arrow. Curves are representative recordings out of at least 3 similar independent experiments.

In order to check the participation of mitochondria in NO emission by leaves, mitochondria were isolated from tobacco leaves of both, WT and of the nitrate reductase deficient double mutant *nia 30*. 10ml of almost chlorophyll free mitochondria were placed in a small petridish in a transparent cuvette placed on a magnetic stirrer. The cuvette was covered with black cloth in order to avoid any light effects. Generally leaf mitochondria produced much less NO than root mitochondria. In air, NO emission was practically absent and even in nitrogen the rates remained very low. As Myxothiazol and SHAM caused only little inhibition of NO formation, we suggest that in leaves, NR was the major source for NO, quite in contrast to the roots.

In order to check whether the above described differential function of leaf and root mitochondria was unique for tobacco, we examined nitrite-dependent NO production of other species, both with leaf and root slices as well as with mitochondria purified from leaves and roots. In Fig. 17, leaf slices from nitrate-grown barley and pea produced even higher amounts of NO under anoxia than tobacco leaf tissues. Leaf slices from ammonium-grown plants, which do not express NR in leaves, emitted almost no NO even when fed with nitrite (Fig 17) Consistent with that, mitochondria purified from young pea or barley leaves emitted only very little NO when fed with nitrite plus NADH (Fig 17 B and D).

In contrast to leaves, root segments from barley (Fig 18 A) and pea Fig 18 C) grown on ammonium produced NO at rates comparable to those of tobacco root segments, with slightly different kinetics between barley and pea. Importantly, unlike leaf mitochondria, root mitochondria purified from barley (Fig 18 B) and pea (Fig 18 D) also emitted NO when fed with nitrite and NADH, just like root mitochondria from tobacco.



Fig 17: NO-emission from barley (A) or pea (C) leaf slices or isolated leaf mitochondria of barley (B) and pea (D). WT plants were grown hydroponically on nitrate (= with NR activity); or on ammonium plus tungstate (= without NR activity). Mean oxygen uptake for barely leaf mitochondria was  $4.5 \pm 0.6$  (n=3) µmoles mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup> and oxygen up take of pea leaf mitochondria was  $5.5 \pm 0.8$  (n=3) µmoles mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup>. Curves are recordings from typical experiments out of 2 to 3.



Fig 18: NO emission from root segments of barley (A) and pea (C), and from purified mitochondria of barley roots (B) and pea (D) roots (both grown on ammonium as N-

source). Inhibitors were added as indicated. The very rapid initial drop of the NO emission after inhibitor addition is an artefact of the injection. Curves are representative recordings out of 2 to 3 separate preparations. Mean oxygen uptake for barely root mitochondria was  $6.2 \pm 0.8$  (n=3) µmoles mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup> and oxygen up take of pea root mitochondria  $6.6 \pm 1.2$  (n=3). Curves are recordings from 2 to 3 typical experiments

The above results indicate that leaf mitochondria either lack a nitrite reductase-like activity, or they might have a very strong capacity to scavenge NO. In order to test for the latter possibility, we carried out the following experiment: Mitochondria were isolated from barley leaves and roots. First, both preparations were tested separately for their ability to reduce nitrite to NO. Expectedly, NO emission by root mitochondria was 15 (nmol mg<sup>-1</sup>protein h

<sup>-1</sup>), and by leaf mitochondria it was zero. In a 1:1 mixture of root and leaf mitochondria, it was 7.5 (not shown). Thus, there was no scavenging by leaf mitochondria of NO produced by root mitochondria.

From the above experiments it is obvious that mitochondria from roots are able to reduce nitrite to NO, whereas leaf mitochondria produce hardly any NO. It was therefore a question whether mitochondria from other plant species would respond in the same way. Data are summarized in the table.

Source of mitochondria	Oxygen uptake -1 -1 µmol mg protein h	NO emission in nitrogen -1 nmol mg protein h
Tobacco root	$6.7 \pm 0.5$	5.5±1
Tobacco leaf	$5.5 \pm 0.6$	0.15± 0.02
Pea root	$6.8 \pm 1.2$	5 ± 1
Pea leaf	$5.5 \pm 0.6$	<b>0.05</b> (one measurement only)
Barley root	$6.4 \pm 0.4$	15 ± 1.5
Barley leaf	$4.8 \pm 0.7$	$0.15 \pm 0.05$
Cauliflower	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	$0.23 \pm 0.05$
Potato tubers	$5.8 \pm 0.6$	<b>0.2</b> (one measurement only)

Table 1: Oxygen uptake from mitochondria isolated from different sources

# 6. Nitrite to NO reduction takes place on mitochondrial membranes but not in the matrix:

We have shown that mitochondria from roots or heterotrophic cell suspensions produce NO under anoxia when supplied with nitrite and NADH. NO emission was partly blocked by Myxothiazol (20  $\mu$ M), a complex ??? inhibitor, and inhibition was strengthened by the AOX inhibitor SHAM (2.5 mM). Mitochondria from leaves were unable to produce NO under the same conditions. Therefore, we examined here whether matrix factors are required for reduction of nitrite to NO. After separation of the mitochondria into membrane and matrix fraction, NO from NADH and nitrite (under nitrogen) was produced exclusively by the membrane fraction (Fig. 19), not by the matrix (not shown). Addition of detergent (0.1 % of Triton-X) to the membrane fraction

completely abolished NO production. In order to find out whether chemiluminescence would detect only part of the NO, we also determined nitrite-dependent NADH oxidation and nitrite consumption by the membrane fraction under nitrogen. Here, the membrane suspensions were preflushed with nitrogen for 15 minutes before addition of NADH and nitrite. Samples were taken at 0 and 60 minutes and nitrite and NADH were measured. While nitrite and NADH consumption roughly matched each other, NO emission was lower, although oxygen was absent (Table 3).



Fig 19: NO emission from purified root mitochondrial membranes after addition of 100  $\mu$ M nitrite and 1 mM NADH. 4 ml of membrane suspensions (containing approximately 1.2 mg protein) were suspended in buffer as described in material and methods and flushed with nitrogen. 0.1% of Triton-X was injected as indicated in the figure. Data represent one out of 5 independent experiments.

Table 3: Nitrite disappearance, NADH oxidation and NO emission in barley root mitochondrial membranes under anoxia. Rates are  $\mu$ moles mg protein<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>

Nitrite consumption	NADH oxidation	NO emission
$11.4 \pm 7.4$	8.6 ± 3	3.6 ± 1.6

Table 3: Nitrite consumption, NADH oxidation, and NO emission were measured in barley root mitochondria after addition of 100  $\mu$ M NO<sub>2</sub>- and 100  $\mu$ M NADH. Results are expressed in nmoles/ml. Results are mean  $\pm$  (n=3) and NO emission mean  $\pm$  (n=4).

#### **Conclusions:**

More work is required in order to find out whether the extremely low aerobic NO emission rates really reflect low NO production due to competition with oxygen at the terminal oxidases, or whether they are due to oxidative scavenging of NO. It should be noted that with purified mitochondria, the hemoglobin cycle cannot contribute to NO oxidation because non-symbiotic

Hb is located in the cytosol. Thus, in mitochondria oxygen/NO competition may seem probable, but the possibility of a quick reaction of NO with ROS cannot be neglected. However, addition of catalase and SOD to purified mitochondria did not improve NO emission (Kaiser, unpublished results). In intact cells, however, the hemoglobin cycle might scavenge NO to the very low aerobic NO emission usually found. Also, it is completely unknown why

leaf mitochondria, most probably having the same terminal oxidases as root mitochondria, are not able to produce NO. The functions of mitochondrial NO are also far from being clear. The

above suggestion that NO may serve to regulate respiratory electron flow through cytOX and AOX is indeed fascinating, but its physiological relevance will depend on the NO concentrations required for inhibition and those that are really reached within mitochondria (which are not yet known). Estimates of in vivo NO concentrations in plants vary widely. While our own estimates of in vivo NO concentrations (tobacco leaves) based on chemiluminescence measurements were in the picomolar or low nanomolar range (Planchet et al.2005), previous studies using other methods gave NO concentrations that were several orders of magnitude higher (0.1–2  $\mu$ M). Yamasaki *et al.*, (2001) used NO concentrations around 50 nM to cause an inhibition of the steady state membrane potential. The *K*0.5 for cytOX inhibition was also quite high,

approximately  $0.1-0.3 \mu M$  NO (Millar *et al.*, 2002 and literature cited). Thus, for final conclusions on the possible functions of NO in mitochondria and elsewhere in the cell it is crucial to know the real and potential concentrations of NO in plant tissues, cells, and organelles.

### Chapter 2

### Nitric Oxide Synthase:

As described in the introduction nitric oxide synthases (NOS) are the primary sources of NO in animals. They are complex, highly regulated enzymes that oxidize arginine to NO and citrulline. Plant NO synthesis, however, appears more complex and may include both nitrite and arginine-dependent mechanisms. The components of the arginine pathway have been elusive as no known orthologues of animal NOS exist in plants. An *Arabidopsis* gene (*AtNOS1*) has been identified that appears needed for NO synthesis *in vivo* and has biochemical properties similar to animal cNOS, yet it has no sequence similarity to any known animal NOS.

There is also ample evidence from biochemical and pharmacological data that an arginine-dependent mechanism analogous to animal NOS reactions exists in plants since 1996. Some of the data are summarized in Table 4

<i>Pisum sativum</i> /Leaves Gaseous NO emission sensitive to NOS inhibitors Haramaty (1996)	Leshem and
Pisum sativum/Leaf peroxisomes Arginine–citrulline assay al. (1999)	Barroso et
Lupinus albus/Roots and nodules Arginine–citrulline assay (1996)	Cueto et al.
Mucuna hassjoo Arginine–citrulline assay Maier (1996)	Ninnemann and
Glycine max/P. syringae-infected cell suspensions al(1998) NO production sensitive to NOS inhibitors oxyhaemoglobin and Arginine–citrulline assay	De lledonne et
Nicotiana tabacum/TMV-infected leaves Arginine–citrulline assay al. (1998)	Durner et
<i>Glycine max</i> /Embryonic axes NADPH–diaphorase activity Puntarulo (1999)	Caro and
Zea mays/Root tips and young leaves Arginine-citrulline assay	Ribeiro et al.

(1999)	
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i> /Callus NO production sensitive to NOS inhibitors (2000) DAF fluorescence	Pedroso et al.
<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> /Leaf epidermal cells NO production sensitive to NOS inhibitors (2000)	Foissner et al.
Nicotiana tabacum/Cell cultures NO production sensitive to NOS inhibitors (2001) Arabidopsis/Cell cultures	Tun et al.
Petroselinum crispum/Cell cultures Glycine max/Cotyledons Arginine–citrulline assay (2002)	Modolo et al.
Arabidoposis Arginine–citrulline assay (2003)	Guo et al
Sorghum bicolor L/Seeds NADPH-diaphorase activity (2004) EPR	Simontacchi et al.
Arabidposis leaf mitochondria (DAF-FM DA fluorescence) Crawford (2005)	Guo and
Differrent organs of pea by chemiluminescence (2006)	Corpas et al
Maize roots by DAF-2DA fluorescence a(2007)	Zhao et al
The Cupressus lusitanica var. lusitanica suspension cultures	Zhao et al b
(2007)	
(DAF-DA fluorescence)	
Maize leaves (DAF-2DA fluorescence)	Zhang et al
(2007)	

As shown, most of these data either rely on the use of DAF-fluorescence indicators or on the arginine assay. However, it has been shown recently by the later assay may lead to erroneous results (Tischner *et al*, 2007)

#### **1** NOS activity in crude extracts of roots:

First we determined NOS activity in the crude extracts of barely roots by direct Chemiluminescence. Extracts were desalted twice with Sephadex G-25 columns which eliminated low molecular weight substances (less than 1000 KD). Crude extracts even when supplied with all NOS substrates and cofactors did not produce NO emission Since the NOS reaction requires oxygen, the measurement was carried out under a stream of air. Under these conditions NO might be oxidized back to nitrite and nitrate. Therefore aliquots of crude extract (50 to 100  $\mu$ L) were injected into a hot (95°C) acidic vanadium III mixture as described in material methods. L-Arginine alone caused already significant apparent (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> + NO<sub>3</sub>) production (5 nmoles/h). Next we studied the effect of NOS cofactors and NADPH also had no effect on L-Arginine - mediated NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> /NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> production Also incubation of extract with L- Lysine had no effect. Thus, the apparent NOS activity detected as NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> + NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation had rather untypical properties and may be considered an artifact.



Figure 20: Apparent L-Arginine dependent NOS activity in crude aerobic extracts of barley roots measured as  $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$  formation as detected by indirect chemilumenescence. 2.5 mM L-Arg, 1 mM NADPH and cofactors (12  $\mu$ M BH<sub>4</sub>, 5  $\mu$ M Calmodulin, 1.25 mM CaCb) were added prior to the samples to activate NOS. Values

are nmoles/mL  $\pm$  SD (n= 4). The Initial (nitrite + nitrate) at t=0 was substracted from each value. Incubation time was 30 minutes.

#### 2 NOS activity in root mitochondria:

The first report on mitochondrial localization of NOS was by Giulivi *et al.*, (1998), who detected NOS activity in purified animal mitochondria, mitochondrial homogenates, and submitochondrial particles, using EPR and oxyhemoglobin to detect NO. Indeed, NOS activity of animal mitochondria appears located in the inner mitochondrial membrane (Ghafourifar and Richter, 1997). Very recent work by Crawford's group indicates that plant AtNOS1, like the animal enzyme, is also located in the mitochondria. This view was based on the following lines of evidence:

 Computational analysis of the NOS1 protein sequence reported a high probability of being targeted to the mitochondria.

 Fluorescence from a p35S-NOS1cDNA-GFP construct strongly overlapped with MitoTracker fluorescence in mitochondria of roots and root hairs examined by confocal microscopy

– NO production in mitochondria isolated from *Arabidopsis* WT and At- NOS1 mutant plants was detected using DAF-fluorescence (Guo and Crawford, 2005).

When not mitochondria were supplied with L-Arg, NADPH,  $Ca^{2+}$  calmodulin, and FMN, no NO emission was detected by direct chemiluminescence in air (Fig 21). In order to check for a possible rapid NO oxidation to (nitrite + nitrate), the latter were reduced to NO by acidic V(III) solution, followed by chemiluminescence detection as before. In mitochondrial preparations with all NOS substrates and cofactors, no NO oxidation products could be detected after 30 min (Table 5).



Figure 21: Absence of L-Arginine dependent NO emission from mitochondria as monitored by direct chemiluminescence. The suspension contained 2.5 mM L-arginine (pH 7.4), 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l Calmodulin, 1.25 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 12  $\mu$ M BH<sub>4</sub>, and 1 mM NADPH 10  $\mu$ M FMN, as indicated. Mitochondrial protein was 15 mg in the total voloume of 4 ml.

Table 5: Production of (nitrite+ nitrate) by commercial iNOS (2 U), or by purified mitochondria (mitos, 0.8 mg protein) with substrates and NOS- cofactors in a total volume of 2 mL or mixxture of iNOS+mitochondria. If not specifically indicated, all assays contained NADPH (0.5 mM). Values are nmoles/mL  $\pm$  SD (n= 4). Initial (nitrite + nitrate) at t=0 was probably due to impurities.

Reaction Mixture	$NO_2^- + NO_3^-$	$NO_2^- + NO_3^-$
	0 min	30 min
Mitos + L-Arg + Cofactors	$5.8\pm0.4$	$5.9 \pm 0.6$
iNOS + L-Arg + Cofactors	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$16.2 \pm 1.1$

#### **3 DAF-fluorescence from mitochondria:**

From the above described experiments it is evident that there is no NOS activity associated with the mitochondria. However Guo and Crawford in 2005 showed NO production in mitochondria isolated from *Arabidopsis* WT and AtNOS1 mutant plants by using DAF-fluorescence. Therefore we also checked for NOS - activity in mitochondria using DAF-fluorescence.

In the presence of DAF-2 is N-nitrosated forming the highly fluorescing triazolofluorescein (DAF-2T). It has been suggested that DAF-2 does not react directly with the NO free radical, but rather with  $N_2O_3$  (Kojma *et al.*, 1998; Planchet and Kaiser, 2006). DAF-2 at constant NO concentration in the reaction medium should give a constant increase (slope) of fluorescence over time, reflecting a constant rate of the formation of the highly fluorescing reaction product, DAF-2T. Changes in the rate of NO production should result in changes in the slope of the fluorescence increase.

Purified root mitochondria (approximately 0.5 mg protein) were incubated with and without L-Arginine, cofactors and NADPH, and fluorescence was followed with time as indicated in the figure.

The mitochondrial preparation by itself produced already a slow increase in DAF fluorescence without L-arginine or any cofactors (Fig 22). Addition of L-arginine (2.5 mM) without other substrates and cofactors increased fluorescence, which is usually interpreted as more rapid NO formation. The NO scavenger cPTIO prevented the fluorescence increase. Unexpectedly, NADPH addition diminished the L-arginine-dependent fluorescence increase instead of stimulating it. NADPH might increase ROS

production by the mitochondria. This, in turn might react with NO and prevent the fluorescence increase. Therefore mitochondria were incubated with SOD and fluorescence in the presence of NADPH was again measured. There was not stimulation of fluorescence increase by presence of SOD (not shown in figure).



Figure 22: NOS activity in mitochondria as indicated by DAF fluorescence. Mitochondria were incubated for 30 min in the presence of DAF-2 10 $\mu$ M. 500  $\mu$ M cPTIO, or 1 mM NADPH, or 2 mM L-Arg were added as indicated in the figure. Fluorescence is given as arbitrary units. (means ± SD, n=4)

Effect of NOS inhibitors on DAF fluorescence:

In order to check whether the observed fluorescence increase is indeed related to NOS, two commonly used L-arginine analogues, L-NAME, (NG-nitro-LArg-methyl ester) and L-NIL (L-N<sup>6</sup>-(1-Iminoethyl)-Lysine, acetate) were incubated along with L-arginine. The L-arginine stimulated DAF fluorescence was insensitive to L-NAME and L-NIL added twice at 2.5 the concentration of L-Arginine suggesting that the observed DAF fluorescence was not directly related to a reaction catalyzed by NOS (Fig 23).



Figure 23: Effect of NOS inhibitors on L-Arginine dependent DAF fluorescence. 2 mM L-Arg and NOS inhibitors (5 mM L-NAME or 5 mM L-NIL) were incubated with mitochondria as indicated in the figure. (means  $\pm$  SD, n=4)

DAR-4M is another, more recently developed cell-permeable NO fluorescent indicator with a detection limit for NO of ~10 nM. Like DAF-2DA, DAR-4M is trapped inside the cell by the action of esterases. It reacts with NO, in the presence of O<sub>2</sub>, resulting in a triazolo-rhodamine analog (DAR-4M T) that exhibits about 40-fold greater fluorescence quantum efficiency than DAR-4M (Kojma *et al.*, 2000) DAR-4M was successfully applied to bioimaging of NO produced by plants (Tun *et al.*, 2006).



Figure 24: DAR-4M fluorescence with purified mitochondria membranes after incubation with 2.5 mM LArg or LArg + 1 mM NADPH or 5 mM L-NAME or inhibitors of mitochondrial electron transport (Myxothiazol 20  $\mu$ M plus SHAM 2.5 mM). Values are (means  $\pm$  SD, n=4).

With DAR-4-M, mitochondrial membranes without any substrate again produced a basic fluorescence increase, which was not increased further with L-arginine, and which was also insensitive to L-NAME, but slightly lower with added substrates. To test whether the increase in the DAF fluorescence was related to the mitochondrial electron transport chain, mitochondria where incubated with Myxothiazol (complex III inhibitor) plus SHAM (inhibitor of AOX) (Fig 24). The combination of both inhibitors prevented fluorescence, suggesting involvement of the electron transport chain in yet unknown way of reaction.

In order to check whether the observed fluorescence was related to membrane or matrix, mitochondria were fractionated in to membrane and matrix and checked for the fluorescence in both components by using DAF-2. With purified mitochondrial membranes, the pattern of DAF-fluorescence and its response to substrates, cofactors



Figure 25: DAF-2 fluorescence from purified mitochondrial membranes preincubated with 2.5 mM L-Arg or L-Arg plus L-NAME or L-Arg + NADPH alone or plus cofactors (12  $\mu$ M BH<sub>4</sub>, 5  $\mu$ M Calmodulin, 1.25 mM CaCb, 10  $\mu$ M). Values give the fluorescence (A.U.) in different time points. (means ± SD, n=4)

# 4 Measurement of commercial recombinant NOS by chemiluminescence:

For comparison, the activity of a commercially available recombinant iNOS (mouse) was also measured by direct and indirect chemiluminescence. According to the manufacturers information, 1 U of iNOS of iNOS supplied with 1 mM L-Arg, 1 mM MgC<sub>b</sub>, 0.1 mM NADPH, 12  $\mu$ M BH<sub>4</sub> corresponds to about 60 nmoles NO h<sup>1</sup> at 37°C. Under our conditions only 3.8 nmoles (NO) h<sup>1</sup>, or about 6% of the expected activity were released from the solution at 22°C (Fig 24 ). The NOS inhibitor L-NAME (5 mM)

suppressed 50% of the NO emission, which was reversed by 10 mM L-Arg, demonstrating the well known competitive inhibition by substrate analogs. As expected, NO emission by iNOS was oxygen dependent, being completely suppressed under nitrogen (Fig 24). The observed NO emission is far less than manufracturer description, therefore we measured. In traces of nitrite and nitrate as described before. By this method it become clear that 3 times more (nitrite + nitrate) were formed than NO (Table 6), or in other words, about 70% of the NO formed by iNOS appeared oxidized during the 30 min reaction



Figure 24: NO generation by purified iNOS (mouse, recombinant) as measured by chemiluminescence. 2 mL of buffer solution (50 mM HEPES pH 7.6) was incubated in a 5 mL glass beaker in head space cuvette at 24°C in air, under vigorous shaking. Substrates, cofactors, were added as shown in the figure.

Table 6: Production of (nitrite + nitrate) by purified iNOS (2 U), with substrates and NOS- cofactors as in Fig.2, in a total volume of 2 ml. If not specifically indicated, all
iNOS + L-Arg + Cofactors	0 min	30 min
24°C	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$16.2 \pm 1.1$
37 °C	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	$32 \pm 0.8$

assays contained NADPH (0.5 mM). Values are nmoles/mL  $\pm$  SD (n= 4). Initial (nitrite + nitrate) at t=0 was due to impurities.

NO emission from iNOS at optimal conditions: The observed NO by direct and indirect chemiluminescence was still less than indicated by the manufacturer. Therefore NO emission from a solution of iNOS was measured at 37 °C. At this condition NO emission from direct chemiluminiscence was 8 nmoles (not shown in the figure) and NO emission by indirect chemilumenscence was 32 nmoles which is double compared to NO emission at 24°C (Table 6).

According to the stoichiometry of the reaction (Stuehr *et al.*, 1991), NADPH consumption should be 1.5 fold the rate of NO formation. Here (Table 6), the rate of NADPH consumption was about 40 nmoles/h, corresponding to 27 nmoles/h of NO formed. The sum of the rate of NO emission by direct chemiluminescence (Fig 24) plus (nitrate + nitrite) formation (Table 5) was 22,4 nmoles/h, which comes close to the rate derived from NADPH oxidation. . Thus, direct + indirect chemiluminescence together appear reliable indicators of NOS activity *in vitro*.

Table 7 : NADPH oxidation by purified iNOS (1U/mL) in presence or absence of substrate L-Arginine and all cofactors. NADPH concentration (nmoles/mL,  $\pm$  SD, n= 4) was measured at time zero and after 30 minutes.

Time	NADPH (- L-Arg)	NADPH (+ L-Arg) + Cofactors
0	$98.07 \pm 0.7$	98.7 ± 0.62
30	94.4 ± 3.1	$58.05 \pm 10.56$

For comparison, we also measured NO-production by iNOS with DAF-2-fluorescence (Fig 25). Upon iNOS addition to the complete reaction mixture, DAF fluorescence increased with time for up to 60 min and then remained constant.



Figure 25: NO formation by commercial iNOS as detected by DAF-2 fluorescence. 2.5 U of iNOS were added at time 0.

## 5 Scavenging of NO by the mitochondria using NOS as a

#### source of NO:

As shown above, an iNOS assay resulted in a direct release of 3.8 nmoles NO into air and gave 15.4 nmoles of (nitrate + nitrite) in 30 min (Table). While a suspension of mitochondria was emitting NO from nitrite and NADH under anoxia, the rate of nitritedependent NO production detected by chemiluminescence was lower than consumption of NADH and nitrite (compare Table 3). In air, no NO emission was detectable and thus, all NO might have been oxidized, which would also prevent direct detection of NOS activity by chemiluminescence. For nitrite-dependent NO production, determination of NO oxidation to (nitrite + nitrate) was not possible because of the high nitrite background. In order to check whether mitochondria had NOS activity but would quantitatively oxidize the NO produced in air, a mitochondrial preparation was supplied with L-Arg plus NADPH and cofactors (Table 4). However, no NO was detected, neither directly nor as (nitrate plus nitrite), confirming complete absence of NOS activity in root mitochondria.

Due to the lipophilicity of NO, the NO concentrations in the lipid phase will be much higher than in the water phase, which might favour aerobic NO oxidation by mitochondria. Therefore, as an additional check for mitochondrial oxidation of NO, we used iNOS in mixture with mitochondrial membranes. NO emission from iNOS itself (without mitochondria added) was 4 nmoles  $h^{-1}$ . Here, the V(III) method gave 15.2 nmoles of (nitrate + nitrite) (Table 4). When mitochondrial membranes were injected into a sample of iNOS, direct NO emission was decreased by almost 2/3 (Fig 26), yet the amount of (nitrite + nitrate) was only slightly increased (by indirect chemiluminescence).

Therefore we measured the NOS activity in closed and open cuvette. In a closed cuvette nitrite+nitrate formation from purified NOS and also mixture of NOS+mitochondria were much higher than in the open cuvette suggesting that mitochondria do scavenge NO and the NO rapidly oxidized back to volatile compounds like  $N_2O_3$ . Therefore in closed cuvette Nitrite + Nitrate formation was increased (not shown)



Figure 26: Scavenging of NOS- derived NO by root mitochondria. NO emission was measured by chemiluminescence. After steady state was reached, 1ml of mitochondria (approximately 0.8 mg protein) was injected as indicated.

### Conclusions

Neither desalted root extracts nor purified mitochondria from roots contained a significant (constitutive) NOS-like activity, but appeared to produce NO only from nitrite. Anoxic reduction of nitrite to NO by root mitochondria required the electron transport chain, but no matrix components. NO produced by iNOS was partly oxidized back to nitrite (and nitrate). This NO oxidation was not accelerated by mitochondria, although direct NO emission by iNOS was lowered. It seems possible that mitochondria oxidized NO to a gaseous intermediate like N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which would largely escape from the solution together with NO. Nevertheless, if mitochondria would produce NO in air, at least some should be detectable as NO emission. However, this was not the case, confirming our previous conclusion that mitochondrial reduction of nitrite to NO is inhibited in air. Unfortunately, DAF and its derivatives, which are supposed to react with N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, behaved not as reliable NO indicators because of their unexpected response to NOS substrates, inhibitors and cofactors.

### Chapter 3:

## Role of nitrate and NO in plant/pathogen interaction:

#### Introduction

In incompatible plant–pathogen interactions, induction of defence involves signalling pathways leading ultimately to the manifestation of localized cell death, which is termed 'hypersensitive response' (HR). Reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species, primarly nitric oxide appear to be part of signalling pathways leading to the HR (Delledonne et al. 1998; Bolwell 1999; Durner & Klessig 1999; Clarke et al. 2000; Klessig et al. 2000; Neill et al. 2002; Lamotte et al. 2004; Zeier et al., 2004). Recently, the expression of a bacterial NO dioxygenase in transgenic plants to reduce NO levels has demonstrated the role of NO in the induction of cell death, the activation of the phenylpropanoid pathway, and initiation of the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS; Zeier et al., 2004). NO and ROS may rapidly react with each other to form highly toxic peroxynitrite, and they may be directly or indirectly (as peroxynitrite) involved in destroying both, cells of the pathogen and of the host, at least in high concentrations. Rises in plant NO production or NO synthase (NOS) activity after pathogen contact have been detected in various incompatible interactions, for instance after inoculation of soybean, Arabidopsis and tobacco with different avirulent strains of Pseudomonas syringae (Delledonne et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000; Conrath et al., 2004; Mur et al., 2006), after infection of tobacco with tobacco mosaic virus (TMV), or during the response of pepper to an incompatible isolate of Phytophtora capsici (Durner et al., 1998; Requena et al., 2005). Previous work has suggested that in plants, as in animals, a nitric-oxide-synthase NOS-like reaction should mediate NO production during the HR. But the existence of AtNOS1 has been questioned recently (Zemojtel et al., 2006, Crawford et al., 2006). Some reports suggested NR to play a role in

production of NO during the HR (Yamamoto-Katou *et al.*, 2003, 2006). Therefore the role of NR derived NO was assessed in tobacco plants in response to avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae*.

Pathogen-elicited NO production has been implicated as a critical component in the initiation of the hypersensitive cell death response (HR) (Delledonne et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000; Pedroso et al., 2000), and synergistic interactions between NO and ROS are likely to play a key role during this process (Delledonne *et al.*, 2001; Zeier *et* al., 2004a). Independently from ROS, NO has been shown to positively regulate the production of salicylic acid (SA), up-regulation of the SA-dependent pathogenesisrelated protein 1 (*PR-1*), and induction of further defence genes like *PAL* (phenylalanine ammonia lyase), PAD4 (phytoalexin-deficient 4), GST1 (glutathione-S-transferase 1), and AOX1 (alternative oxidase 1) (Durner et al., 1998; Delledonne et al, 1998; Huang et al., 2002a; Zeier et al., 2004a). In addition, phytoalexin accumulation in potato and soybean has been demonstrated to be mediated by NO (Noritake et al., 1996; Modolo et al., 2002) An involvement of NO in non-host and basal resistance was implicated more recently. The non-host HR of tobacco induced by P. syringae pv. phaseolicola (Psp) is thought to be preceded by NO formation, and cell death is delayed by the NOS inhibitor L-NAME or the NO scavenger cPTIO (Mur et al., 2006) Moreover, bacterial lipopolysaccharides, typical cell surface components of gram-negative bacteria, provoke NO formation, enhance NOS activity, and elicit defence gene induction in Arabidopsis (Zeidler et al., 2004). As these responses are attenuated in the putative Arabidopsis NO synthase mutant Atnos1 (Guo et al., 2003), and Atnos1 plants are more susceptible towards virulent *P. syringae*. Considering the lack of a plant NOS-like enzyme, it is astonishing that effects caused by inhibitors of mammalian NO synthases are still taken as evidence for an involvement of NO in plant processes. NOS inhibitors predominantly involve L-arginine derivatives, which in principle are able to block any L-Argdependent event in plants (Planchet and Kaiser, 2006).

# 1 Cryptogein induced cell death is independent of presence or absence of NR.

Recently our group has demonstrated (Planchet *et al.*, 2006) that when cryptogein (10 nM) was infiltrated into tobacco leaves from nitrate grown-plants (attached to the plant or detached with the petiole in nutrient solution), lesions became visible after 20–24 h. Here those experiments from Planchet *et al.*, 2006 were repeated. We examined whether NR was required for the cryptogein induced cell death. When leaves from plants totally devoid of NR activity, such as leaves from ammonium-grown plants, or from wild-type (WT) plants that had been cultivated on a medium containing 500  $\mu$ M sodium tungstate instead of molybdate, cryptogein-induced lesions developed normally. Only in the *nia30* mutant, lesion formation was somewhat slower than with WT leaves, but still clearly visible (Fig 27)



Figure 27: Lesion development after 24 hours in tobacco leaves (WT and antisense NiR transformant (271) upon infiltration with the fungal elicitor (20 nM) cryptogein. Symptoms were more frequent in both ammonium and nitrate grown plants. Figure shows one representative out of 4 independent experiments (Data repeated from Planchet *et al.*, 2006)

## 2 Is NR is required for hypersensitive response induced by TMV?

When tobacco plants were treated by injection with nitric oxide (NO)-releasing compounds, the sizes of lesions caused by tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) on the treated leaves and on upper nontreated leaves were significantly reduced (Song and Goodman, 2001). One conclusion was that reduction in TMV lesion size was caused by NO released from the NO donors. Since evidence has been accumulating that NOS like activity does not exist in plants, we studied whether NR dependent NO plays a role in the induction of HR in response to TMV. Here we used *Nicotiana rustica* which is best suitable plant for studies with TMV. For plants totally devoid of NR, we used leaves

from ammonium-grown plants and leaves from WT plants that were cultivated on a medium containing 500  $\mu$ M sodium tungstate instead of molybdate.



Figure 28: Lesion development in tobacco leaves of nitrate/ammonium grown plants 5 days after incoculation with Tobacco Mosaic Virus (Lesions become visible after a temperature shift from 32°C to 24°C (N-Nitrate, A-Ammonium)

When tobacco plants were inoculated with TMV and incubated at temperatures of  $>28^{\circ}$ C, the replication and spread of the TMV are not restricted, necrotic lesions are not formed, and PR genes are not induced. However, when the infected plants are moved to lower temperatures (22°C), PR proteins accumulate and the HR is rapidly activated. Therefore after inoculation plants were kept under 32°C for two days and then shifted to 24°C for 2 days. After two days the necrotic regions were observed in both nitrate and interestingly plants, indicating that the HR induced by TMV is independent of presence or absence of NR (Fig 28), as shown previously for the fungal elicitor Cryptogein (Planchet *et al.*, 2006).

# 3 Is NR required for HR induced by avirulent *Pseudomonas* syringae?

*Pseudomonas syringae* is a gram-negative bacterium with polar flagella (Agrios, 1997). In the tobacco-Pseudomonas solanacearum pathosystem, NO donors themselves were able to provoke a HR (Huang and Knopp, 1998) and to induce cell death in Arabidopsis cell suspension cultures when present at concentrations producing NO similar to amounts generated following challenge by avirulent pathogens (Clarke et al., 2000). Soybean and Arabidopsis cell suspensions inoculated with Pseudomonas syringae produced NO with a pattern similar to  $HO_2^{O_2}$  accumulation (Delledonne *et al.*, 1998; Clarke et al., 2000). In this case, an initial rapid, but transient, stimulation of NO accumulation is induced by both avirulent and virulent *Pseudomonas syringae* strains. However, this is followed by sustained production of NO only in the cells inoculated with the avirulent strain In their study by using EPR, Modolo et al. (2005) found nitrite as a major source of NO production by Arabidopsis thaliana in response to Pseudomonas syringae pv maculicola. They also found that mitochondrial electron transport was responsible for nitrite dependent NO production during the interaction. But in our case we could not find any nitrite dependent NO production from leaf mitochodria. The reason for this discrepancy is not clear so far.

To further investigate the role of NR dependent NO during the incompatible interaction different tobacco mutants (WT, NiR deficient line 271, nia mutant) were grown on either 5 mM NO<sub>3</sub> or 5 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl for one week and checked for NR activity. NO production from WT, or 271 leaves was  $2.4 \pm 0.4$  and  $22 \pm 3$  respectively. NO emission was negligible in all the lines grown on ammonium (Table 8).

Table: NO emission from tobacco leaves (*Nicotiana tabacum* cv Gatersleben or of NR deficient nia double mutant or NiR deficient clone '271') under light (air) grown for one

Plant type	NO ( nmol $g^{-1}$ FW $h^{-1}$ )
WT NO <sub>3</sub>	$2.4 \pm 0.4$
WT $NH_4^+$	nd
271 NO <sub>3</sub>	$22 \pm 3$
271 NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	nd
Nia NH4 <sup>+</sup>	nd

week on 5mM nitrate (for induction of NR) or 5mM ammonium (for suppression of NR activity). (nd-not detected)

When WT and 271 tobacco plants (grown on nitrate as a sole source of nitro gen) were challenged with *Psp* at concentrations of 0.01 OD, dry colorless lesions were developed on the site infiltration within 48 hours (Fig 29). These macroscopic lesions are characteristic of the HR. The lesion development was more severe in 271 leaves than in WT leaf which may indicate a correlation with NO production. (Table 8).

In contrast to nitate-grown plants, in ammonium grown plants there was no HR visible even after 4 days. This may indicate that the HR is indeed NO-dependent, contrary to the situation with Cryptogein or TMV. However, other reasons seem also possible which will be examined below.



Figure 29: Lesion development after 24 hours in tobacco leaves (WT and antisense NiR transformant (271) upon infiltration with avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae pv phaseolicola*. Symptoms were more frequent and severe in 271 than in WT (grown on nitrate). HR formation was strongly delayed in plants grown on ammonium. Figure shows one representative result out of 5 independent experiments.

#### 4 Is in vivo bacterial growth correlated with lesion formation?

The HR is a mechanism used by plants, to prevent the spread of infection by microbial pathogens. HR is characterized by the rapid death of cells in the region surrounding the infection. In a way HR is analogous to the innate immunity system found in animals and commonly precedes a slower systemic response, which ultimately leads to systemic aquired resistance (SAR). To test this hypothesis we quantified the bacterial spread in the leaves after infiltration of the bacteria. As expected, in WT and 271 plants grown on nitrate there was less bacterial growth when compare to the plants fed with ammonium. In 271 plants grown on ammonium and also in *nia* plants grown on ammonium, bacterial growth was higher than in WT grown on ammonium (Fig 30). Thus bacterial growth was negatively correlated with lesion formation.



Fig 30: Bacterial growth in different tobacco mutants and different growth conditions. One week before the experiment plants were transferred to nitrate (3 mM) or ammonium (3 mM). Suspensions of *P. syringae pv.phaseolicola* were adjusted to OD 0.01 and then pressure infiltrated in to the leaves. Bacterial growth was monitored after 24 hours ( $n = 6 \pm SD$ ). For further details compare material and methods.

## 5 Is bacterial growth correlated with sugar or amino acid contents in leaf cells or in the leaf apoplast?

The above result indicate that bacterial growth was favored in ammonium grown plants. There might be two reasons for this observation 1) Less nitric oxide production due to lack of NR expression 2) different growth conditions for the bacteria in these plants under ammonium.

To test the hypothesis we measured the total sugars and amino acids in these plants. Because of bacterial infection may interfere with HPLC analysis, sugars and amino acids were measured in uninoculated leaves.



Figure 31: Total Trioses (Glucose+Frutose) and Hexose (Sucose) levels ( $\mu$ moles g<sup>-1</sup> FW) in tobacco leaves (WT, NiR and *nia*). Data obtained each from eight leaves from different plants. (n = 8 ± SD)



Figure 32: Amino acid levels ( $\mu$ moles  $g^1$  FW) in tobacco leaves. (WT, NiR and *nia*). Data obtained from eight leaves of 8 independent plants. (n = 8 ± SD)

Indeed sugars and amino acids were higher in leaves of plants fed with ammonium (Fig 31 and 32) than on nitrate.

*Pseudomonas syringae* is a non-invasive, extracellular pathogen which colonizes the host intercellular spaces outside the plant cell wall at least in the early infection phases. Therefore in order to determine the key factors (amino acids and sugars) responsible for initial bacterial growth, apoplastic fluids were extracted from the leaves and measured bacterial growth was measured as before.

Apoplastic sugars (sucrose and fructose + glucose) contents were much higher in ammonium grown plants and followed the same patterns as total sugars (Fig 33). Due to very small volume of samples it was been impossible to measure amino acids.



Figure 33: Apoplastic sugar contents in the leaves of tobacco plants. Data obtained from 4 leaves form independent leaves. ( $n = 4 \pm SD$ ). Apoplastic solutions were obtained as described in Material and Methods.

# 6 To wahat extent is an HR indiced by cryptogein restricting bacterial growth?

As shown above, apoplastic nutrients appeared an important factor restricting bacterial growth in the leaves. However, defense reactions might add to that. To test this in to nia leaves grown on ammonium, bacteria were inoculated together with 20 nm cryptogein

or 10µM salicylic acid, and opticaldensity was measured in extracts after 8 hours and 14 hours. However ,it was first examined whether cryptogein or SA would by themselves effect the bacterial growth (Fig 34). Clearly, neither compounds had a direct effect.



Figure 34: Effect of 20 nM cryptogein or 10 mM Salycilic acid on in vitro Psp growth in ammonium grown *nia* leaves ( $n = 4 \pm SD$ ).

Next 20 nM cryptogein was coinfiltrated with Psp on one half of the leaf, and as a control Psp alone was injected in the other half of the leaf. Expectedly cryptogein caused a typical HR. *Psp* alone did not cause any visible HR. Bacterial growth was measured in those leaves in an undamaged area of 1 cm around the lesions. Psp growth was drastically suppressed in the presence of cryptogein. Growth of virulent Pst was also checked under the same condition and was also strongly reduced in the presence of cryptogein.



Figure 35: Effect of cryptogein on growth of avirulent and virulent *Pseudomonas* in *nia* plants after 48 hours. 20n M cryptogein was infiltrated along with the bacteria 0.01

concentration ( $n = 4 \pm SD$ ) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv *phaseolicola and Pseudomonas syringae* pv tomato were the avirulent and virulent strains respectively.

The above results demonstrate a heavy restriction of bacterial growth by the HR. Inorder to determine any NOS like activity besides NR to contribute to the HR a possible NO emission was measured from the ammonium leaves infected with *Psp*. There was no NO production in the light. After switching to the dark NO emission was increased a bit (0.12 ppb) but there was no further increase after 20 hours (Fig 36).



Figure 36: Time course of NO emission from tobacco *nia* leaves after infection with *Psp*. Data represent one representative experiment out of 4 independent measurements.

As pointed out before, direct measurement of NOS by NO emission may be masked by rapid NO oxidation. Therefore we measured (nitrite + nitrate) content in extracts from leaves infiltrated with Psp. There was no significant (nitrite + nitrate) indeed in the leaves (not shown).

In leaves from nitrate grown plant the HR rapidly developed, as shown before. In the leaves of ammonium grown plants the HR developed only very slowly. As a final control, leaves inoculated with *Psp* were exposed to NO gas (10 ppm for 24 hours), and PR-expression was followed by northen blotting.

Pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins are a group of plant proteins whose synthesis is induced in response to pathogen infection. Production of PR proteins has been related to disease resistance and is considered as an indication of a defense response. Figure 37 shows that *Psp* drastically increased the expression of PR-1 in leaves of both nitrate and ammonium grown plants, but induction was strong in leeves of nitrate grown plants as has to be expected in a classical HR.



Figure 37: PR-1 gene expression in response to *Pseudomonas syringae pv phaseolicola*. (N-Nitrate A-Ammonium, C-control, T-inoculated) (Northen blots were carried out with kind help from Dr. Joergen Zeier and Dr Tatiana Mishina, Botany II, Wuerzburg.

*Pseudomonas syringae pv phaseolicola* (OD 0.01) was infiltrated in to the leaves of 271 and WT Tobacco leaves and and samples are harvested after 24 h post infiltration. Total RNA from mock or *Pseudomonas* treated tobacco leaves was extracted separated by electrophoresis, blotted and hybridized with PR-1a tobacco cDNA probes, as described in Materials and Methods.

### **Concluding remarks:**

There has been increasing evidence that nitric oxide (NO) plays a central role in plantpathogen interactions. Many studies on the roles of NO focus on its effect in promoting the HR, but knowledge about other factors besides NO which may affect bacterial growth in the host are limited. Infiltration of avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae p.v. phaseolicola* into tobacco leaves of nitrite-reductase antisense transformants (which produces high nitric oxide levels) leads to a more rapid and severe HR compared to wild type. Similarily, growing plants on ammonium as the sole N-source, which prevents NO synthesis, strongly delayed lesion formation. Weak and slow lesion formation was expectedly correlated with high bacterial growth. This might point to the classical role of NO in the HR. However, apoplastic sugar and amino acid levels were much higher in plants grown on ammonium compared to nitrate-grown plants. Thus, levels of organic nutrients in the host leaf apoplast might also affect bacterial growth. Indeed, preliminary data indicate that flushing ammonium-grown leaves with NO does not affect bacterial growth.

### **C** Discussion:

#### **1 NO production by root mitochondria**

It was a major task of this work to analyse the function of mitochondria as a source of NO in higher plants. In plant organs that actively reduce nitrate, the product of the reaction nitrite, reaches only low concentrations. However, under hypoxia or anoxia, nitrite to ammonia reduction is impaired, and as a consequence nitrite accumulation takes place (Botrel *et al.*, 1996). Under such conditions, nitrite appears partly reduced to NO, by NR itself but also by plant mitochondria.

Root tissues, as well as mitochondria isolated from roots, were obviously able to reduce nitrite to NO via mitochondrial electron transport. This also indicates that nitrite at the concentrations used was able to enter cells and mitochondria *in situ*. The apparent NO production rate was extremely low in air, and much higher under hypoxia/anoxia. This behaviour is in marked contrast to that of purified NR, where nitrite to NO reduction was only slightly increased by anoxia (Planchet *et al.*, 2005). NO scavenging by respiring mitochondria was not sufficient to explain the large difference in aerobic and anoxic NO emission. The  $I_{50}$  was in the range of 0.05% oxygen, corresponding to an oxygen concentration in water of about 0.63 µM, at a nitrite concentration of 500 µM. Thus, without any further investigation of the reaction kinetics it appears that the oxygen affinity of the reaction site is several orders of magnitude higher than the affinity for nitrite.

The sensitivity of NO production to the complex III inhibitor, Myxothiazol, and to the AOX inhibitor, SHAM, suggests that both terminal oxidases (CytOx and AOX) may participate in NO production, in confirmation of previous data obtained with a green alga (Tischner *et al.*, 2004) or mitochondria purified from tobacco suspension cells

(Planchet *et al.*, 2005). Whether an additional nitrite-NO reductase protein is involved, or whether this activity is inherent to the terminal oxidases themselves is not clear yet.



Figure 38: Reduction of nitrite to NO by the electron transport chain of plant mitochondria. For reasons of simplicity, only one NADH dehydrogenase is shown in the diagram. According to the inhibition sites of Myxothiazol (complex III) and salicylhydroxamic acid (AOX), both terminal oxidases appear to posses nitrite:NO reducing activity, which would also explain a possible competition of nitrite and  $O_2$ , leading to a very low NO formation rate in air. It is also shown that superoxide ( $O_2^-$ ) can be produced at several different sites and in close neighborhood to NO, which would facilitate formation of oxidized NO species. Û inhibition, *UQ* ubiquinone, *succ* succinate, *cyt c* cytochrome c, *AOX* alternative oxidase, *Cyt ox* cytochrome oxidase

#### 2 Scavenging of NO by mitochondria:

As shown in the results section after injecting 186.2 pmol NO in to the pure water nearly 95% of the added NO was recovered. This indicates that NO is rather stable in water. But in the more complex mitochondrial buffer approximately 40% of NO was scavenged under the stream of air and 30% under the stream of nitrogen suggesting that components of the buffer already caused some quenching. Mitochondria suspension alone quenched 83% in air and 75% in nitrogen. Interestingly, mitochondria supplemented with 1mM substrate (NADH) scavenged approximately 25% more than mitochondria without substrate. 1 mM NADH alone and caused no scavenging. One possible explanation could be could be a generation of a more superoxide as in the presence of substrate. This superoxide would rapidly react with NO, as pointed out. In another set of experiments we checked the effect of changing concentration of mitochondria on NO quenching. Both under air or nitrogen it was observed that with increasing concentration of mitochondria the rate of NO consumption increased.

#### Another approach

With root mitochondria, nitrite-dependent NO production could be detected only under anoxia. There may be several reasons: Either, oxygen competes efficiently with nitrite at the terminal oxidase, as originally suggested. Or, NO is formed, yet rapidly oxidized thereby escaping detection by gas phase chemiluminescence. The problem is difficult to solve, since it is not possible to measure traces of nitrite+ nitrate (pmoles/mL) formed on the large background of added nitrite (100 nmoles/mL). It is also not possible to measure nitrite consumption, because nitrite could be 'recycled' through NO oxidation; and it is also not possible to measure NADH consumption, because in air NADH is much more rapidly consumed by respiratory electron flow to oxygen.

To tackle the problem, we have used iNOS as NO source in air, and checked for the effect of mitochondria on this NO emission. Addition of mitochondria to iNOS decreased direct NO emission, but without increasing (nitrite + nitrate) formation from NO. In closed vessels not flushed with air, (nitrite+ nitrate) formation was higher than in open vessels (not shown) under continuous shaking, which indicates that NO or oxidized gaseous intermediates like NO<sub>2</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> may have been formed. If

mitochondria accelerate the formation of the gaseous intermediates which escape together with NO in the chemiluminescence measurement, this might explain the above discrepancy between the decrease in NO emission without increase in the formation of (nitrite + nitrate).

#### **3** Why do leaf mitochondria not reduce nitrite to NO?

According to the above data and to literature, a mitochondrial 'nitrite:NO reductase activity' now appears to exist in bacteria, green algae, higher plants, and animals (Kozlov *et al.*, 1999; Tischner *et al.*, 2004; Planchet *et al.*, 2005). Considering the fact that this activity covers such a wide range of organisms including photoautotrophic algal cells, it is even more surprising that leaf mitochondria were not able to produce NO from nitrite. Whether that is due to a different property of leaf and root terminal oxidases, or to the absence from leaf mitochondria of an additional nitrite:NO reductase protein associated with the oxidases (see above), is not yet known. However, an absence of NO emission from leaf mitochondria is certainly not due to a specifically high scavenging capacity, as shown.

An obvious question then, is, why do root mitochondria make NO but leaf mitochondria do not. Anoxic mammalian mitochondria also produced NO from nitrite (Kozlov *et al.*, 1999) in a Myxothiazol-sensitive reaction. As mammalian mitochondria synthesize NO primarily via NOS (Giulivi, 1998), the reduction of nitrite to NO was considered secondary and was suggested to serve for a recycling of NO oxidation products (Kozlov *et al.*, 1999). This cannot be the purpose of nitrite to NO reduction in plants, where nitrite is a normal intermediate of N-metabolism.

Roots, in contrast to leaves, may frequently experience oxygen deficiency in waterlogged soils. Under those conditions, nitrate and nitrite may serve as alternative electron acceptors and may partly replace fermentation for NADH reoxidation during glycolysis, even though electron flow through NR was comparatively low (Stoimenova *et al.*, 2003). However, the above-described rates for the reduction of nitrite to NO are probably too low to be relevant as an electron sink for the maintenance of a minimum energy metabolism.

The lack of ability of leaf mitochondria to produce NO might somehow be related to photosynthesis. However, nitrite-dependent NO production was also lacking in mitochondria from other non-green tissues like potato tubers and cauliflower inflorescences (Table 1). On the other hand, as mentioned above, mitochondria from non-green tobacco cell suspensions reduced nitrite to NO, although with a lower capacity than root mitochondria (on a protein basis). Thus, more work is required to find out what the molecular basis for the observed differences is and which physiological purpose they might fulfil.

## 4 Possible roles of Nitrite-NO reduction by roots and root mitochondri

A specific property of roots with respect to nitrite-dependent NO production was also suggested by the detection of Stöhr and colleagues (Stöhr *et al.*, 2001; Stöhr and Ullrich, 2002; Meyer and Stöhr, 2004), of a nitrite:NO reductase associated with the PM-bound NR in roots. Thus roots, in contrast to leaves, would even have two separate membrane-bound systems to reduce nitrite to NO. Such redundancy suggests that nitrite-dependent NO formation in roots serves a specific and important purpose. As a secondary messenger, NO appears to trigger cell death in plant–pathogen interactions (Delledonne *et al.*, 1998; Wendehenne *et al.*, 2001, 2004) or in differentiating xylem elements (Gabaldon *et al.*, 2005). In roots and stems of flooding-tolerant plants, hypoxia leads to

the differentiation of air-conducting 'aerenchyma', which may involve the induction of lysigenous cell death. Ethylene biosynthesis also triggers aerenchyma formation. However, the conversion of ACC into ethylene requires oxygen and thus does not work under anoxia. On the other hand, NO production is optimal under anoxia, and thus NO might take over some of the signalling for lysigenous cell death when oxygen is rapidly and completely consumed. While this is speculative at this point, the fact that root and leaf mitochondria are different suggests at least some connection of NO production with specific aspects of root metabolism in relation to hypoxic/anoxic conditions.

Another interesting question is whether reduction of nitrite to NO by mitochondrial electron transport might provide an alternative to fermentation under anoxia. Ethanol and lactic acid are the major end products of fermentation in roots, and both are toxic if they accumulate to high concentrations. Reduction of nitrate to nitrite by cytosolic NR, and further reduction of nitrite to NO by NR or by mitochondria, might represent an alternative to fermentative NAD+ regeneration. Indeed, we could show that roots expressing NR, which accumulate nitrite under anoxia and emit NO, produce much less ethanol and lactate and acidify their cytosol less than NR-deficient roots, which do not form nitrite and, therefore, emit no NO (Stoimenova et al., 2003). In collaboration with the Robert Hill group we demonstrated that mitochondria readily oxidized NADPH and NADH in anoxia via externally facing dehydrogenases, using nitrite as an electron acceptor and forming NO, resulting in the production of ATP (Stoimenova et al., 2007) data not shown here. Anaerobic ATP production and NAD(P)H rates were higher in rice than in barley. This suggests that mitochondrial operation under anaerobic conditions may be more sustainable in the hypoxia-resistant plant (rice) than in the hypoxiasensitive plant (barley). This mitochondrial anaerobic process may contribute to the oxidation of reduced pyridine nucleotides formed in the cytosol during glycolysis and in

lipid breakdown, but it may also contribute to the oxidation of the intramitochondrial substrates, particularly in rice. NO is, at least, one of the products of nitrite reduction.



Fig 39: Operation of plant mitochondria under hypoxic conditions. Glycolytic fermentation and lipid breakdown in hypoxia result in the increase of cytosolic NADH and NADPH. Externally facing Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent mitochondrial dehydrogenases oxidize NADH and NADPH and transfer electrons to ubiquinone (*Q*). At levels of oxygen below saturation of cytochrome *c* oxidase (*COX*), nitrite can serve as an alternative electron acceptor at the sites of complex III and COX. Nitric oxide (*NO*) formed in this reaction is converted by hypoxically induced hemoglobin (*Hb*) to nitrate (NO  $_3^-$ ). The latter is reduced to nitrite (NO  $_2^-$ ) by hypoxically induced nitrate reductase (*NR*). ATP is synthesized due to proton pumping possibly at the sites of complex III (*bc*  $_1$ ) and COX. *IMS* intermembrane space of mitochondria (Figure from Stoimenova *et al.*, 2007)

#### **5 NOS or no NOS?**

The most commonly used NOS-activity measurement is based on the conversion of radiolabelled L-arginine to L-citrulline. The test has been recently questioned (Brooks 2004) since components of urea cycle can also perform this conversion without NO synthesis and are also sensitive to NOS inhibitors (Reisser, 2002). Our chemiluminescence system could directly detect NO emission from commercial iNOS, and 70 to 75% of the NO appeared oxidized to nitrite/nitrate. The sum of NO+ (nitrite + nitrate) formed by iNOS was within the rate range derived from NADPH oxidation. We could not detect any NO formation or (nitrite + nitrate) formation from mitochondria in air, using L-arginine, NADPH and all NOS cofactors. Thus, it is obvious that root mitochondria have no NOS activity. With desalted root extracts supplied with all NOS cofactors and substrates, we also found no direct NO emission. However, a low (nitrite + nitrate) formation was detected, which was responsive to L-Arg, but not to NADPH and NOS cofactors. Thus, as for mitochondria + DAF-2, this (nitrite + nitrate) formation of crude root extracts appeared not as a typical NOS activity.

#### 6 Is DAF a reliable NO indicator?

There is evidence that DAF-2 does not react directly with the NO free radical, but rather with oxidized forms e.g.  $N_2O_3$  (nitrous anhydride) (Kojima *et al.*, 1998, Espey *et al.*, 2001) which may be formed via NO<sub>2</sub> even in the absence of oxygen (Lim *et al.*, 2005), and which in aqueous solution should finally end up as nitrite. Here, we also observed DAF fluorescence from mitochondria. While this fluorescence was partly scavenged by cPTIO, some rather unusual observations suggest the fluorescence increase to be an artefact. These were mainly i) insensitivity to NOS inhibitors and ii) a negative response to NOS substrates. At this state, the mechanism behind the DAF-fluorescence increase observed with mitochondria remains unclear.

#### 7 Do nitrate and NR play a role in the HR?

In previous work, we have shown that NO emission from leaves, roots, cell suspensions or enzyme solutions into the gas phase can be quantified by chemiluminescence, which is highly sensitive and specific (Planchet et al., 2005). Accordingly, the method should be suited to quantify NO emission also during the HR. An early, transient 'NO burst' has been considered as a signal for the induction of cell death in the HR. We detected only very low NO in leaves from ammonium grown plants, which did not respond (increase) to *Pseudomonas* treatment. NOS seemed not involved in PCD, this prompted us initially to expect that NR might be involved in NO signalling. The interest in NR as a potential source for NO was further motivated by recent findings that an early cryptogeininduced event was a nitrate efflux (Wendehenne et al., 2002), and that NR was induced by pathogen signals (Yamamoto *et al.*, 2003) Previous results from our lab shown that the hypersensitive response (HR) of tobacco triggered by the fungal elicitor cryptogein occurred independent of the presence or absence of nitrate reductase (NR). One conclusion was that NR-dependent NO formation plays no role in the HR. The same trend was obvious when tobacco leaves Infected with TMV. Vast numbers of studies into the roles of NO focus on its effect in promoting the HR, but knowledge about other factors besides NO which may affect bacterial growth in the host are limited. In tobacco leaves of clone 271, which have a continuous and drastic overproduction of NO especially in the light, infiltration of avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae p.v. phaseolicola* lead to a more rapid and severe HR compared to wild type. Similarly, growing plants on ammonium as the sole N-source, which prevents NO synthesis, delayed lesion

formation. Weak and slow lesion formation was expectedly correlated with high bacterial growth. This might point to the classical role of NO in the HR. However, apoplastic sugar and amino acid levels, which were much higher in plants grown on ammonium compared to nitrate-grown plants. Thus, levels of organic nutrients in the host leaf apoplast might also affect bacterial growth. Indeed, preliminary data indicate that flushing ammonium-grown leaves with NO does not affect bacterial growth At the same time, PR-1-expression was induced in both systems, indicating a typical HR, and bacterial growth was reduced in the presence of cryptogein. Thus interdependence of bacterial growth, NO production and HR is complex and not unifactoral

#### **D** Material and methods:

#### **1 Plant materials:**

Wild-type (WT) plants, *nia* 30 double mutant, and clone 271 of *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. Gatersleben were cultivated in a vermiculite/sand mixture (2 parts vermiculite/1 part sand) for 2–3 weeks and from then on hydroponically for a further 3–4 weeks. Plants of growth stage 5–7 weeks that were selected for similar size for the experiments. During the sand/vermiculite phase plants were watered with full-strength nutrient solution twice a week.

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*. L cv *Cameron*) seeds were surface sterilized with 0.1%  $H_2O_2$ , washed four times with distilled water and then grown on hydroponically in plastic pots for 2 to 3 weeks in growth chambers with artificial illumination (HQI 400W, Schreder, Winterbach, Germany) at 300 µ mol m<sub>2</sub>s-1 (PAR), a day length of 16 hours and day/night temperatures of 25°C/20°C. The full strength nutrient solution (8L per 40 plants) for 'nitrate' grown plants contained: 5 mM KNO3, 1 mM CaCb, 1 mM MgSO4, 0.025 mM NaFe-EDTA, 1 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO4, 2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO4, 1 mM K<sub>2</sub>SO4 and trace elements according to (Johnson et al. 1957) respectively. The root medium was flushed continuously with pre-moisturized air. Fresh nutrient solution was provided every other day. During the first four days on hydroponics, plants were gradually adapted (1/10,  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4}$  and of full-strength) to full-strength nutrient solution.

#### 2. Preparation of root segments and leaf slices

#### 2.1 Root segments:

After a quick rinse with deionized water, root systems were carefully spread on a glass plate and gently blotted with tissue paper. One gram of the lowest 2–3 cm of the secondary and tertiary roots (including the root tips) were set aside, cut into 5 mm segments and submerged in a glass vessel containing 10 ml 20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.0, 5 mM KNO<sub>3</sub> (or 5 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, respectively) and 50 mM sucrose. Sucrose was added to make sure that no carbohydrate starvation took place.

#### 2.2 Leaf slices:

Freshly harvested leaves were double rinsed with deionized water and cut into 1 cm long and 1–3 mm wide segments, avoiding the mid-rib portion. The leaf segments (1 g FW) were vacuum infiltrated for 2–3 min in 10 ml 25 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4 and 0.5 mM CaSO<sub>4</sub>. After infiltration, segments were washed once and subsequently suspended in the same buffer for the NO measurements.

#### 3 Nicotiana tabacum cell suspension cultures

#### Linsmaier & Skoog medium (LS medium)

Macro-elements

$$\begin{array}{rl} NH_4 NO_3 & 20.61 \text{ mM} \\ KNO_3 & 18.79 \text{ mM} \\ MgSO_4, 7H_2 O & 1.50 \text{ mM} \\ KH_2 PO_4 & 1.25 \text{ mM} \\ CaCl_2, 2H_2 O & 2.99 \text{ mM} \end{array}$$

Micro-elements

 $Na_2EDTA, 2H_2O = 0.1 \text{ mM}$ 

	$\text{FeSO}_4, 7\text{H}_2$	0	0.1 mM
	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>		0.1 mM
	$MnSO_4, H_2$	0	0.1 mM
	$ZnSO_4, 7H_2$	0	29.91 µM
	KI	-	5.0 μM
	$Na_2MoO_4, Z$	$2H_2O$	1.03 µM
	$CuSO_4, 5H_2$	O	0.10 µM
	$\operatorname{CoCl}_2, \operatorname{6H}_2$	О	0.11 μM
<u>Vitamins</u>			
	Myo-inosito	ol 👔	$100.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$
	Thiamine H	CL	$0.40 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$
Ilonnonoo			
normones	Auxin	0.22 -	-1
	Crutalrinin	U.22 I	ng L -1
	Cytokinin	0.18 r	ng L

Sucrose  $30 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ 

The cell suspension derived from tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* cv. *Xanthi*) was cultured in 300 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 mL of LS medium pH 5.8 (Linsmaier and Skoog, 1965) at a constant temperature of 24°C and a continuous illumination (15  $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PAR), on a rotary shaker (New Brunswich Scientific, N.J., USA) at 100 rpm. Subcultures were made weekly by transferring 20 mL of the cell suspension into 80 mL of fresh growth medium. Three days after subculturing cells were used for the experiments.

Ammonium cell suspension cultures totally devoid of nitrate were grown on LS medium with small modifications: 1.5 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl instead of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and KNO<sub>3</sub>, and addition of 2.35 mM MES in order to maintain the pH around pH 5.5. Tungstate cell suspension cultures were similar to the nitrate cells or to the ammonium cells, but Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>, 2H<sub>2</sub>O was replaced by tungstate (150  $\mu$ M)

#### 4 Mitochondria preparation:

The isolation procedure followed previously published methods (Nishimura et al. 1982; Vanlerberghe et al. 1998) with slight modifications. All procedures were carried out at 4°C. Roots (25 to 30g fresh weight) and tissues were homogenized with an Ultra Turrax (IKA, Janke and Kunkel, Germany) in 250ml of homogenization medium containing 0.3 M sucrose, 100 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 0.1% (w/v) fat free milk powder, 0.6% PVP (w/v), 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM MgCb, 4 mM Cysteine, 5 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and a ready-to-use protease cocktail ('Complete', Roche, Mannheim, Germany, 1 tablet in 100 ml medium). The homogenate was filtered through 8 layers of cheesecloth. The filtered cell homogenate was centrifuged at 3000g for 15 minutes, and the supernatant was centrifuged again at 10 000g for 20 minutes. The resulting pellet was suspended in 4 ml of medium containing 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 0.3 M sucrose, 2 mM MgCb, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. The mitochondria were further purified on a discontinuous Percoll gradient composed of the following steps (bottom to top): 3 ml of 60% (v/v), 4 ml of 45% (v/v), 4 ml of 28% (v/v), 4 ml of 5% (v/v), all containing 250 mM sucrose, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6 and 0.1% defatted milk powder. The mitochondria (4 ml) were layered on top, and the gradient was centrifuged at 30,000g for 30 minutes. The mitochondrial fraction appeared at the interface between the 45 and 28% (v/v) layer and was collected, diluted in 8 ml suspension medium, centrifuged (17 000g, 10 min), and resuspended twice in 8 ml, in order to remove Percoll. The final mitochondrial pellet was

suspended in 8 ml medium.

**4.1 Fractionation of mitochondria**: Mitochondria were fractionated into membrane and matrixaccording to (Millar *et al.*, 2001). Approximately 1.5 mg of mitochondrial protein was incubated in 1 ml of 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), freeze-thawed in liquid

nitrogen three times and then centrifuged for 25 minutes at 20,000g. The supernatant was representing the soluble

Components of the matrix, and the pellet were retained as the total membrane fraction. Each fraction was diluted 1to3 fold for NO measurements and also for fluorescence measurements.

#### **5** Gas Phase NO measurements:

The detection of NO was performed by a NO analyzer (CLD 770 AL ppt, Eco-Physics, Dürnten, Switzerland). The principle for measuring NO is the measurement of light emission resulting from the reaction of nitric oxide (NO) with ozone  $(O_3)$ . NO is measured directly, NO<sub>2</sub> indirectly. The reactions between NO and ozone can be described by the following formulae:

 $NO + O_3 \longrightarrow NO_2 + O_2 \quad [1]$   $NO + O_3 \longrightarrow NO_2^* + O_2 \quad [2]$   $NO_2^* \longrightarrow NO_2 + hv \quad [3]$   $NO_2^* + M \longrightarrow NO_2 + M \quad [4]$ 

 $NO_2^*$ : the excited nitrogen dioxide molecule M: deactivating colliding partners (N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O)

The method is based on a first order chemical reaction between NO and an excess amount of ozone  $(O_3)$  [1]. A significant fraction of nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$  produced in the reaction is in an excited state  $NO_2^*$  [2]. The spontaneous deactivation of  $NO_2$  occurs with emission of light [3] where each molecule of  $NO_2^*$  emits one photon. By far the

larger fraction of  $NO_2^*$  loses its excitation energy without light emission by colliding with other molecules.

#### 5.1 Description of the analyzer CLD 770 AL ppt

The figure 39 shows the components of the analyzer. Despite the fact that the CLD 770 AL ppt analyzer contains two reactions chambers (pre- and main chamber), the instrumentation is in principle a one channel analyzer. In the small pre-chamber, NO reacts completely with ozone. All other reactive plant volatiles are carried out to the main reaction chamber where they react also under light emission. The measuring (NO) signal is the difference of two measurements: One without prechamber reaction, and one with it. Each reaction is usually followed by countining the photons emitted during 10 sec. Thus, one measuring point was usually collected every 20 sec. The pre-chamber serves also to determine the interference signal (pre-reaction) and the chemical zero point. The actual chemiluminescence reaction that produces the measurement signal takes place in the main reaction chamber. In order to maximize sensitivity, the reaction of NO with O<sub>2</sub> needs to take place under low pressure. A powerful, external vacuum pump generates a reaction chamber vacuum of approximately 15 mbar. The low pressure provided by a vacuum pump is driving both the gas sample and the ozone into the chamber. The photomultiplier collects the light directly and not through a mirror. It converts and amplifies the emitted light impulses into current pulses. To increase sensitivity, the PMT is thermoelectrically cooled to  $-10^{\circ}$ C. The inside of PMT housing is flushed with dry air in order to prevent condensation. Ozone is generated from molecular oxygen (dry at 99 %) by a high-voltage electrostatic ozone generator in large excess. A microprocessor calculates the NO signal in ppb. A customer made software
based on Visual Designer (PCI-20901SS, Ver. 4.0, Tuscon, Arizona, USA) was used to process the data



**Nitric Oxide Analyzer** 

Fig 39: Assembly of nitric oxide analyzer based on the principle of ozone-mediated chemiluminescence. NO from gaseous samples reacts with ozone under formation of electrical excited nitrogen dioxide. Emitted light (chemiluminescence) is detected by a photomultiplier tube (PMT).

#### 5.2 NO gas measurements

For experiments with detached leaves, the leaves were cut off from the plant and immediately placed in nutrient solution, where the petiole was cut off a second time below the solution surface. The leaves (petiole in nutrient solution) were placed in a transparent lid chamber with 2 or 4 L air volume, depending on leaf size and number. In the case of mitochondrial suspensions or enzyme solutions, a defined volume was placed in a Petri-dish in a transparent cuvette (1 L) mounted on a shaker or on a magnetic stirrer, depending on the experiments. A constant flow of measuring gas

(purified air or nitrogen) of 1.5 L/min was pulled through the chamber and subsequently through the chemiluminescence detector by a vacuum pump connected to an ozone destroyer. The measuring gas was made NO free by conducting it through a custom-made charcoal column (1 m long, 3 cm internal diameter, particle size 2 mm). Whether the experiment needed of a purified air devoid of  $CO_2$ , the obtained NO-free gas stream was immediately conducted afterwards in a column containing sodium lime. Calibration was routinely carried out with NO free air (0 ppt NO) and with various concentrations of NO (1 to 35 ppb) adjusted by mixing the calibration gas (500 ppb NO in nitrogen, Messer Griesheim, Darmstadt, Germany) with NO-free air. Flow controllers (FC-260, Tylan General, Eching, Germany) were used to adjust all gas flows. Light was provided by a 400 W HQi-lamp (Schreder, Winterbach, Germany) above the cuvette. Quantum flux density could be adjusted within limits (150-400  $\mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PAR) by changing the distance between lamp and cuvette. Air temperature in the cuvette was continuously monitored, and was usually about 20°C in the dark and 23 to 25°C in the light.

# 6 NO scavenging by mitochondria:

To check scavenging of NO by mitochondria, a solution containing a defined amount of NO was prepared by flushing 10 ml distilled water with gas containing 95 ppm NO in nitrogen. According to the solubility of NO in water at atmospheric pressure (1.9  $\mu$ mol ml<sup>-1</sup>), the NO concentration in the solution was 180 pmol ml<sup>-1</sup>. Aliquots (2 ml) of this NO solution were injected into 10 ml of water, mitochondrial buffer alone or buffer with mitochondria (1.5–2.5 mg protein in 10 ml buffer). All solutions were stirred in a head space cuvette flushed continuously with purified air or nitrogen, as indicated. Immediately after injection the NO starts to escape from the stirred solution into the gas phase, where its concentration was continuously measured for 20 min until NO emission

came to an end. Integration of the emission curve revealed the total amount of NO recovered. In order to determine whether NO scavenging by mitochondria (in air or nitrogen) would be different under respiratory or non-respiratory conditions, scavenging was also compared withor without the addition of 1 mM NADH.

# 7 DAF- and DAR-4M- fluorescence:

For fluorometric NO determination, the fluorophore 4,5-diaminofluorescein, (DAF-2), the cell-permeable diacetate (DAF-2DA), or, occasionally, diaminorhodamine-4M (DAR-4M) was used (Alexis Biochemicals, Gruenberg, Germany). Mitochondrial suspensions, membranes or pure enzyme solutions were preincubated with and without L-arginine and various cofactors and NOS inhibitors for 20 minutes in ice. Than 10  $\mu$ M DAF-2 was added to each aliquot and DAF-2T fluorescence was measured using a spectrofluorometer (Jasco FP-6500) with 495 nm excitation and 515 nm emission wavelength (3nm band width). For DAR-4M fluorescence, 560 nm excitation and 575 nm emission were used. Fluorescence was expressed as arbitrary fluorescence units (AU), and was measured at the same instrument settings in all experiments.

**8** Nitric Oxide synthase (NOS) assay: Measurement of NOS activity was performed in duplicate for each sample (root extract or mitochondria) in a reaction medium containing 1 mM LArg, 0.1 mM NADPH, 12  $\mu$ M tetrahydrobiopterin, 1 mM MgCl2, 10  $\mu$ g/ml calmodulin, 1.25 mM CaCl2 10  $\mu$ M FMN, and mitochondria or mitochondrial fractions, or root extracts or purified NOS, as indicated. The mixture was continuously shaken (125 rpm) in the head space cuvette and NO emission was measured by chemiluminescence.

**9 Nitrate/nitrite trace analysis** ("indirect chemiluminescence"): To check for traces of nitrate/nitrite produced by a potential NO oxidation, 100 to 500  $\mu$ l of the respective samples were injected into a reducing reaction mixture (50 mM Vanadium (III) chloride in 1M HCl) at 90°C, under continuous stirring. After the reaction chamber, the gas was passed through 100 ml of 1M KOH to protect the analyzer from HCl carried over. The production of NO was calculated by subtracting the 0 time value which represents non enzymatic NO production from (suspension medium and cell components) (Braman and Hendrix, 1989). An assay with commercial recombinant iNOS (mouse) was performed as a positive control. This iNOS assay contained 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 1 to 5 U of NOS, 1 mM L-Arg, 1 mM MgCl2 0.1 mM NADPH, 12  $\mu$ M tetrahydrobiopterin, 10  $\mu$ M FMN.

**10 Nitrite consumption:** Separate aliquots of the mitochondrial suspensions were rapidly mixed with a reaction mixture containing: 600  $\mu$ l sulphanilamide (1%), 600  $\mu$ l of N-(1-naphthyl)- ethylenediaminedihydrochloride (0.02 %), and 300  $\mu$ l of zinc acetate (0.5 M). After 25 min of incubation at 24 °C, the mixture was cleared by centrifugation (16 000 g, 5 min), and the nitrite content from the supernatant was determined photometrically (Hageman and Reed, 1980)

### 11 Measurement of NADH and NADPH oxidation: NADH or

NADPH oxidation by mitochondria was allowed to proceed for 60 minutes and stopped with 0.2M KOH. The NADH or NADPH content of samples at time 0 and after 60 min was measured spectrophotometrically at 340 nm after centrifugation of the samples at 15,000 g for 10 min

# 12 Growth of plant pathogens and infection:

12.1 Growth and treatment of *Pseudomonas* strains: *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* were grown at 28°C in King's B medium containing the appropriate antibiotics (Zeier *et al.*, 2004). Overnight log phase cultures were washed three times with 10 mM MgCl2 and diluted to a final concentration of OD 0.02, OD 0.005 or OD 0.002. The bacterial suspensions were infiltrated from the abaxial side into a sample leaf using a 1 ml syringe with a needle. Control inoculations were performed with 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Bacterial growth was assessed by homogenising disks originating from infiltrated areas of 3 different leaves in 1 ml 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, plating appropriate dilutions on King's B medium, and counting colony numbers after incubating the plates at 28 °C for two days.

#### **12.2 Cryptogein treatment:**

Young leaves, weighing about 2 g per leaf, were selected from four to eight week old tobacco plants. Leaves were directly infiltrated with cryptogein through the abaxial epidermal layer with a 2 mL plastic syringe without needle. The cryptogein was prepared from the stock solution by suitable dilution with buffer (5 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.0). Control leaves were treated in the same way with buffer only. The lesions were monitored and photographed, as indicated in the legends, with a digital camera (Fujifilm, FinePix S1 Pro).

### 12.3 TMV infection:

Tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabacum* cv *rustica*) were grown at 22°C in growth chambers programmed for a 14-hr light and 10-hr dark cycle. For high-temperature experiments, plants were transferred to 32°C Conviron chambers 2 to 3 days before inoculation. Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) strain U1 was used at a concentration of 1

pg/mL in 50 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 in all experiments. Two to three leaves were inoculated on each plant and harvested together and photographed with Nikon cool pix 5200.



Fig 40: Chemiluminescence set up.

# 13 Nitrate reductase activity and nitrite content

Following different treatments, leaf or root slices were cut into 0.5 to 1 cm pieces weighed and immediately quenched in liquid nitrogen. The leaf material was ground with liquid nitrogen to a fine powder in a porcelain mortar with a pestle and 2 ml of extraction buffer (100 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.6, 1 mM DTT, 10  $\mu$ M FAD, 10  $\mu$ M molybdate, 15 mM MgCl, 2 mM pefabloc, 50  $\mu$ M leupeptin, 50  $\mu$ M cantharidine, 0.5 % PVP, 0.5 % BSA and 0.3 % of Triton) was added to one gFW. Cantharidine (a PP2A inhibitor) was added 50  $\mu$ M in order to prevent dephosphorylation of NR. After continuous grinding until thawing, the suspension was centrifuged (14500g, 10 min, 4°C). After this centrifugation, aliquots of the extract were directly used for the

colorimetric determination of nitrite content. The remaining supernatant was desalted on sephadex G 25 spin columns (1.5 ml gel volume, 650  $\mu$ L extract, 4°C) equilibrated with the extraction buffer without the protease-inhibitors.

**14. Sugar and Amino acid analysis:** Major sugars (glucose, fructose and sucrose) were separated by anion-exchange chromatography (0.1 N NaOH as eluent) on a Carbopac column plus pre-column, and detected directly by pulsed amperometry (Dionex 4500 i; Dionex, Idstein, Germany). Ammonium was measured by flow injection analysis (GAT WESCAN 360; Gamma Analysentechnik, Bremerhaven, Germany). Total amino acids were measured by the ninhydrin method.

### **15. Northern Blotting**

#### **15.1 Total RNA isolation**

At different time points following infiltration of leaves with cryptogein or with the control, the infiltrated areas were precisely cut out. The plant tissue was ground with a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen. The isolation of total RNA was done using the *trizol reagent* (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Around 100 mg of tissue powder was mixed with 1 mL trizol. After 5 min incubation at RT, 200  $\mu$ l CHCL<sub>3</sub> was added. After a vigorous shaking, the lysate was centrifugated at 12000g for 15 min. Nucleic acids were precipitated with isopropanol (500  $\mu$ L). The supernatant was removed after 10 min incubation time at RT and after centrifugation (10 min at 12000g). The pellet was washed with 1 mL ethanol (75 %). After several step washing and brief centrifugation (5 min, 7500 g), the RNA pellet was dissolved in 44  $\mu$ L DEPC (diethyl pyrocarbonate)-Water and incubated for 10 min at 65°C. The

concentration of RNA was read in a spectophotometer (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm. A ratio of  $[E_{260}/E_{280}]$  with a value of 1.8-2.0 was used as a criterion for pure RNA with low protein contamination. The RNA was stored at -80°C.

#### 15.2 Synthesis of cDNA

In the reverse transcription reaction, oligonucleotide primers are annealed to an RNA population. Reverse transcriptase extends annealed primers, creating a DNA copy (cDNA) complementary to the RNA sequences. The iScript<sup>TM</sup> cDNA synthesis Kit (BIO-RAD, Munich, Germany) was used to cDNA production. The kit components used for the reaction were:

4 µL 5x iScript Reaction Mix

1 µl iScript Reverse Transcriptase

13 µL Nuclease-free water

 $2 \mu L$  RNA template (100 fg to  $1 \mu g$  Total RNA)

The complete reaction mixture was incubated as follows:

5 minutes at 25°C

30 minutes at 42°C

5 minutes at 85°C

Hold at 4°C

The cDNA then served as a template for amplification by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

#### **15.3 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)**

PCR was used as a tool for selective, exponential amplification of rare molecules in cDNA populations, to prove the identity of cloned fragments or to subclone the PCR products. The principle of this exponential amplification is based on the denaturation of the double stranded DNA templates where specially designed oligonucleotide molecules

(primers) anneal at the 3'- and 5'- end of the single stranded region of interest. These primers are elongated by a DNA- and  $Mg^{2+}$  dependent DNA polymerase in the presence of free deoxynucleoside-triphosphates (dNTPs). Repetition of denaturation, annealing and amplification cycles leads to an exponentially increasing copy number of the product. Regarding primer and template parameters suitable cycling parameters were chosen. 5 min extension at 72°C after the last cycle was included to ensure that all PCR products are full

length and 3' adenylated. The reactions were performed in a thermocycler (Hybaid, Heidelberg, Germany).

50 µl reaction: cDNA 2.0 µL

10x PCR magnesium buffer 5.0 µL

dNTPs (10 mM) 1.0 µL

Primer-F (10 µM) 1.0 µL

Primer-R (10 µM) 1.0 µL

Taq DNA-Polymerase (10 U/µl) 0.4 µL

H<sub>2</sub>O 39.6 μL

### **Oligo Nucleotide Primers:**

Name		
Nt-PR1a-F	5'-GTG CCC AAA ATT CTC AAC AAG-3'	67
Nt-PR1a-R	5'- TTA CGC CAA ACC ACC TG -3'	64

### **Cycling parameters:**

Denaturation	Annealing	Elongation	Cycle number
95°C - 5 min			1
92°C - 1 min	55°C - 1 min	72°C - 1.5 min	35
		72°C – 5 min	1

The PCR product was subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis, which is the easiest and most common way of separating and analysing DNA. The agarose (1.4 %) was melted in 0.5x TBE (Tris-Borate EDTA) (70 mL) and mixed with 7  $\mu$ L ethidium bromide after cooling to 50°C. The PCR product (30  $\mu$ L) was mixed with an appropriate amount of loading buffer (6x) and subjected to electrophoresis for 1 h at 100 V. 100 bp DNA ladder was used as molecule size marker (peqLab, Erlangen, Germany). The DNA fragment could be checked by the fluorescence of the DNA-intercalating dye ethidium bromide under UV illumination. Afterwards, the cDNA was obtained by gel extraction according to the manufacturer's protocol (E.Z.N.A. Gel Extraction Kit; PeqLab Biotechnologie, Erlangen, Germany).

#### Loading Buffer (6x)

50 % (w/v) sucrose in water

100 mM EDTA

0.25 % Bromophenol blue

5x TBE (for 1 L)

54 g Tris-HCL

27.5 g Boric acid

20 mL 0.5 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)

#### **15.4 Electrophoresis of RNA**

Total RNA was used for a denaturing electrophoresis using formaldehyde-agarose gels. Formaldehyde forms unstable Schiff bases with the single imino group of guanosine residues. These adducts maintain RNA in the denatured state by preventing intra-stand Watson-Crick base pairing.

One volume of RNA-Gel loading buffer were added to  $4 \mu L$  total RNA and denaturated at 65°C for 10 min. After 2 min on ice, the individual RNAs were size fractionated in a

1x MOPS agarose-formaldehyde gel (100 V), ensuring that all RNA molecules have an unfolded, linear conformation.

10 x MOPS-buffer

0.2 M MOPS (Morpholinopropane sulfuric acid)

0.01 M EDTA

0.08 M Sodium acetate pH 7.0

RNA-Gel Loading buffer

1000 µl Formamide

100 µl 10x MOPS

350 µl 37 % Formaldehyde

180 µl H<sub>2</sub>O

100 µl 80 % Glycerol

80 µl 2 % Bromophenol blue solution

10 µl 1 % Ethidium bromide

Formaldehyde-Agarose-Gel

1.5 g Agarose

90.0 ml  $H_2$ O dissolved in the microwave (1-2 min)

12.5 ml 10x MOPS

22.5 ml formaldehyde

The typical rRNA pattern could be visualized under UV-light (ImageMaster VDS, Pharmacia Biotech) due to the fluorescence of the intercalating ethidium bromide. Distinct clear bands prove the quality of the preparation. Afterwards, the gel was washed four times with  $H_2O$  (5 min) and 60 min with 10x SSC.

<u>10x SSC</u>

1.5 M NaCl

### pH 7.0 (HCl)

#### 15.5 Transfer of RNA

The transfer was achieved by "capillary blotting" after electophoretic separation of the RNA. Large volumes of buffer (10x SSC) were drawn through the gel and the membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), thus transferring the RNA from the gel to the membrane. The RNA was fixed on the membrane by 120 mJoules of UV-light (UVStratalinker 2400, Stratagene). The membrane could then be subjected to a radioactive hybridization.

#### 15.6 Radioactive labelling of nucleic acids and hybridization of nucleic Acids

The Oligolabelling method by "Random Primers DNA Labelling System (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) is based on a process developed by Feinberg and Vogelstein (1984). It was used for labeling DNA restriction fragments for use as hybridization probes. The procedure was done following the manufacturers protocol. The DNA (3  $\mu$ l DNA (25 ng) + 20  $\mu$ l H<sub>2</sub>O) was denatured (5 min, 100°C) and then mixed with dNTPs (dGTP, dATP, dTTP), 1  $\mu$ l Klenow-Polymerase (7-12 U, FPLC*pure*<sup>TM</sup>; GIBCO, Karlsruhe, Germany), 15  $\mu$ l Random Primer buffer. These "random oligomers" annealed to random sites on the DNA and then served as primers for DNA synthesis by a DNA polymerase. With 40  $\mu$ Ci

[a- P]dCTP present during this synthesis, labeled DNA was generated during a 1h incubation step at RT. Micro Bio-spin P-30 Tris Chromatography columns (Bio-RAD) were used to remove nucleotides which were not incorporated. The procedure was done following the manufactures protocol.

The pre-hybridization step was performed in 20 ml (65°C, 1 h) of church buffer (1 % BSA, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 7 % SDS, pH 7.2). After addition of the denatured radioactive probe (see part 7.6) to a final specific activity of at least 10  $\mu$ ci/ $\mu$ L dCTP the hybridization proceeded over night (16 h, 65°C) in a special glass tube for hybridization incubators (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany). The next day, unhybridized probe was removed by washing the membrane in several steps at 65°C with increasing stringency:

Immediately wash I : 2 x SSC, 0.1 % SDS

2x 30 min wash I

2x 15 min wash II : 0.2 x SSC, 0.1 % SDS

The membrane was sealed in a plastic wrap and exposed to a X-Ray film (Kodak Xomat DS film) at -80°C in a hyper cassette (Amersham Life Science, UK). For developing the film after an appropriate time period, the X-Ray developer (Kodak) and the X-Ray fixer (Kodak) were used.

# **E** References:

Adak S, Bilewes AM, Panda K, Hosfield D, Aulak KS, McDonald JF, Tainer JA, Getzoff ED, Crane BR, Stuehr DJ. 2003. Cloning, expression, and characterization of a nitric oxide synthase protein from *Deinococcus radiodurans*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 99: 107–112.

Agrios GN. 1997. Plant Pathology (San Diego: Academic Press).

Alderton WK, Cooper CE, Knowles RG. 2001. Nitric oxide synthases: structure, function and inhibition. *Biochemical Journal* 357: 593–615

**Allen GJ, Muir SR, Sanders D.** 1995. Release of Ca<sub>2</sub>C from individual plant vacuoles by both InsP3 and cyclic ADPribose. *Science* 268: 735–37

**Arnold WP, Mittal CK, Katsuki S, Murad F**. 1977. Nitric oxide activates guanylate cyclase and increase guanosine 30, 50-cyclic monophosphate levels in various tissue preparations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 74:3203–7

**Bachmann M, Huber JL, Athwal GS, Wu K, Ferl RJ, Huber SC.** 1996b. 14-3-3 proteins associate with the regulatory phosphorylation site of spinach leaf nitrate reductase in an isoform-specific manner and reduce dephosphorylation of Ser-543 by endogenous protein phosphatases. *FEBS Letters* 398: 26–30

Bachmann M, Shiraishi N, Campbell WH, Yoo B-C, Harmon AC, Huber SC. 1996a. Identification of Ser-543 as the major regulatory phosphoprotein site in spinach leaf nitrate reductase. *Plant Cell* 8: 505–517

**Barber MJ, Kay CJ.** 1996. Super oxide production during reduction of molecular oxygen by assimilatory nitrate reductase. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 326: 227-232.

Barroso JB, Corpas FJ, Carreras A, Sandalio LM, Valderrama R, Palma JM, Lupianez JA, del Rio LA. 1999 Localization of nitric-oxide synthase in plant peroxisomes. *J Biol Chem* 274: 36729–36733 **Beligni MV, Lamattina L.** 2000. Nitric oxide stimulates seed germination and deetiolation, and inhibits hypocotyl elongation, three light-inducible responses in plants. *Planta* 210:215–22

**Bethke PC, Badger MR, Jones RL.** 2004. Apoplastic synthesis of nitric oxide by plant tissues. *Plant Cell* 16: 332–341

**Botrel A, Kaiser WM.** 1997. Nitrate reductase activation state in barley roots in relation to the energy and carbohydrate status *Planta* 201 (4): 496-501

**Botrel A, Magne A C, Kaiser WM.** 1996. Nitrate reduction, nitrite reduction and ammonium assimilation in barley roots in response to anoxia. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 34:645-652.

**Bolwell GP.** 1999. Role of active oxygen species and NO in plant defence responses. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **2**: 287–294.

**Braman RS, and Hendrix SA.** 1989. Nanogram nitrite and nitrate determination in environmental and biological materials by vanadium (III) reduction with chemiluminescence detection. *Anal Chem* 61: 2715-2718

**Bredt DS, Snyder SH.** 1989. Nitric oxide mediates glutamate-linked enhancement of cGMP levels in the cerebellum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 86: 9030–9033

Bremner JM. 1997. Sources of nitrous oxide in soils. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 49:7-16

**Bredt DS, Hwang PM, Glatt CE, Lowenstein C, Reed RR, Snyder SH.** 1991. Cloned and expressed nitric oxide synthase structurally resembles cytochrome P-450 reductase. *Nature* 351:714–718

**Bredt DS, Snyder SH.** 1990. Isolation of nitric oxide synthetase, a calmodulinrequiring enzyme. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 87: 682–685 Bright J, Desikan R, Hancock JT, Weir IS, Neill SJ. 2006. ABA-induced NO generation and stomatal closure in Arabidopsis are dependent on  $H_2O_2$  synthesis. *Plant J* 45:113–122

Brooks PS. 2004. Mitochondrial nitric oxide synthase. Mitochondrion 3:187-204

**Brown NAC, Van Staden J.** 1997. Smoke as a germination cue: a review. *Plant Growth Regul* 22:115–124

**Butt YKC, Lum JHK, Lo DCL.** 2003 Proteomic identi.cation of plant proteins probed by mammalian nitric oxide synthase antibodies. *Planta* 216:762–771

**Cooper CE.** (2002) Nitric oxide and cytochrome oxidase: substrate, inhibitor or effector? *Trends Biochem Sci* 27: 33–39

Campbell WH. 1996. Nitrate reductase biochemistry comes of age. *Plant Physiology* 111: 355–361.

**Castello PR, David PS, McClure T, Crook Z, Poyton RO.** 2006. mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase produces nitric oxide under hypoxic conditions: Implications for oxygen sensing and hypoxic signalling in eukaryotes. *Cell Metabolism* 3: 277–287

**Conrath U, Amoroso G, Kohle H, Sultemeyer DF.** 2004. Non-invasive online detection of nitric oxide from plants and some other organisms by mass spectrometry. *Plant J* 38: 1015–1022

**Cooney RV, Harwood PJ, Custer LJ, Franke AA.** 1994. Light-mediated conversion of nitrogen dioxide to nitric oxide by carotenoids. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 102: 460-462.

Clarke A., Desikan R., Hurst R., Hancock J. & Neill S. 2000. NO way back: nitric oxide and programmed cell death in Arabidopsis thaliana suspension cultures. *Plant Journal* 24: 667–677

**Caro A, Puntarulo S** 1998. Nitric oxide decreases superoxide anion generation by microsomes from soybean embryonic axes. *Physiol Plant* 104:357–364

**Colliver BB, Stephenson T.** 2000. Production of nitrogen oxide and dinitrogen oxide by autotrophic nitrifiers. *Biotechnol Adv* 18:219–232

**Conrad R.** 1995. Soil microbial processes involved in production and consumption of atmospheric trace gases. *Adv Microb Ecol* 14: 207–250

**Crawford NM.** 2006. Mechanisms for nitric oxide synthesis in plants. *J Exp Bot* 57(3):471-478

Crawford NM, Guo FQ. 2005 New insights into nitric oxide metabolism and functions. *Trends Plant Sci* 10: 195–200

Catoni E, Desimone M, Hilpert M, Wipf D, Kunze R, Schneider A, Flügge UI, Schumacher K, Frommer WB. 2003. Expression pattern of a nuclear encoded mitochondrialarginine-ornithine translocator gene from Arabidopsis. *BMC Plant Biology* 3:1

Cueto M, Herna'ndez-Perera O, Martý' n R, Bentura ML, Rodrigo J, Lamas S, Golvano MP. 1996. Presence of nitric oxide synthase activity in roots and nodules of Lupinus albus. *FEBS Lett* 398: 159–164.

**Cooper CE.** 2002. Nitric oxide and cytochrome oxidase: substrate, inhibitor or effector? *Trends Biochem Sci* 27: 33–39

**Caro A, Puntarulo S.** 1999. Nitric oxide generation by soybean embryonic axes. Possible effect on mitochondrial function. *Free Rad Res* 31: S205–S212.

**Cooney RV, Harwood PJ, Custer LJ, Franke AA.** 1994. Light mediated conversion of nitrogen dioxide to nitric oxide by carotenoids. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 102: 460-46.

**Correa-Aragunde N, Graziano M, Lamattina L** 2004. Nitric oxide plays a central role in determining lateral root development in tomato. *Planta* 218: 900–905

**Correa-Aragunde N, GrazianoM, Chevalier C, Lamattina L.** 2006. Nitric oxide modulates the expression of cell cycle regulatory genes during lateral root formation in tomato. *J Exp Bot* 57: 581–588

Corpas FJ, Barroso JB, Carreras A, Valderrama R, Palma JM, Leo'n, AM, Sandalio LM, del Ri'o, LA. 2006. Constitutive arginine-dependent nitric oxide synthase activity in different organs of pea seedlings during plant development. *Planta*, 224: 246-254.

Crawford, N.M., Galli, M., Tischner, R, Heimer, YM., Okamoto, M., Mack, A 2006. Plant nitric oxide synthase: back to square one. *Trends in Plant Sci*, 11 (11): 526-527

**Durner J, Wendehenne D, Klessig DF**. 1998. Defence gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide, cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADP-ribose. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95: 10328-33

**De la Haba P, Agüera E, Benítez L, Maldonado JM.** 2001. Modulation of nitrite reductase activity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) roots. *Plant Science* 161: 231–237.

**Delledonne M., Xia Y., Dixon R.A. & Lamb C.** 1998. Nitric oxide functions as a signal in plant disease resistance. *Nature* 394: 585–588.

**Durner J, & Klessig DF.** 1999. Nitric oxide as a signal in plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 2: 369–374.

**De Lange JH, Boucher C.** 1990. Autoecological studies on *Audouinia capitata* (Bruniaceae). I. Plant-derived smoke as seed germination cue. *S Afr J Bot* 56: 585–588

**Davidson EA, Kingerlee W.** 1997. A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 48:37–50

**Dean JV, Harper JE.** 1986. Nitric oxide and nitrous oxide production by soybean and winged bean during the *in vivo* nitrate reductase assay. *Plant Physiology* 82: 718–723

**Dean JV, Harper JE.** 1988. The conversion of nitrite to nitrogen oxide (s) by the constitutive NAD (P) H nitrate reductase enzyme from soybean. *Plant Physiology* 88: 389–395

**Dean JV, Harper JE.** 1986. Nitric oxide and nitrous oxide production by soybean and winged bean during the *in vivo* nitrate reductase assay. *Plant Physiology* 82: 718–723

**Durner J, Wendehenne D, Klessig DF.** 1998. Defense gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide, cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADP ribose. *Proc Natl Acad Sci. USA* 95: 10328–33

**Desikan R, Griffiths R, Hancock J, Neill S.** 2002. A new role for an old enzyme: nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide generation is required for abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci. USA* 99 (25) 16314-16318

Delledonne M, Xia YJ, Dixon RA, Lamb C. 1998. Nitric oxide functions as a signal in

plant disease resistance. Nature 394: 585-588

**Durner J, Wendehenne D, Klessig DF.** 1998. Defense gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide, cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADPribose. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 95: 10328–10333.

**Dean JV, Harper JE** (1988). The conversion of nitrite to nitrogen oxide(s) by the constitutive NAD(P)H-nitrate reductase enzyme from soybean. *Plant Physiology* 88: 389-395.

**Dordas C, HasinoffB, Igamberdiev A.U, Manach N, Rivoal J, Hill R.D.** 2003. The Expression of stress-induced hemoglobin affects NO levels produced by alfalfa root cultures under hypoxic stress. *Plant Journal* 8: 763-770

**Douglas D, Thomas, Xiaoping Liu, Stephen P, and Jack R. Lancaster.** 2001. The biological lifetime of nitric oxide: Implications for the per vascular dynamics of NO and O2 *Proceedings of the National academy of Sciences USA* 98: 355–360

**Desikan R, Cheung MK, Bright J, Henson D, Hancock JT, Neill SJ.** 2004. ABA, hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide signalling in stomatal guard cells. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55: 205-212

**Deng M, Moureaux T, Caboche M.** 1989. Tungstate, a molybdate analog inactivating nitrate reductase, deregulates the expression of the nitrate reductase structural gene. *Plant Physiology* 91: 304–309

**Elstner EF, Oßwald W.** 1991. Air pollution: involvement of oxygen radicals. *Free Radic Res Commun* 12–13: 795–807

Espey MG, Miranda KM, Thomas DD, Wink DA. 2001. Distinction between nitrosating mechanisms within human cells and aqueous solution. *J Biol Che* 276:30085–30091

**Forstermann U, Pollock JS, Schmidt HH, Heller M, Murad F.** 1991. Calmodulindependent endothelium-derived relaxing factor/nitric oxide synthase activity is present in the particulate and cytosolic fractions of bovine aortic endothelial cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 88: 1788–1792.

**Finlay BJ, Span ASW, Harman JMP.** 1983. Nitrate respiration in primitive eukaryotes. *Nature* 303: 333–336

Fehsenfeld F, Meagher J, Cowling E. 1993. Southern Oxidants Study Annual Report, pp. 47–61. Raleigh, North Carolina

**Foissner I, Wendehenne D, Langebartels C, Durner J.** 2000. In vivo imaging of an elicitor-induced nitric oxide burst in tobacco. *Plant J* 23: 817–824

Gabaldon C, Gomez Ros LV, Pedreno MA, Ros Barcelo A. 2005. Nitric oxide production by the differentiating xylem of *Zinnia elegans*. *New Phytologist* 165, 121–130

**Goshima N, Mukai T, Suemori M, Takahashi M, Caboche M, Morikawa H**. 1999. Emission of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) from transgenic tobacco expressing antisense NiR mRNA. *The Plant Journal* 19: 75-80

**Grubusic D, Konjevic R.** 1990. Light and nitrate interaction in phytochrome controlled germination of *Paulownia tormentosa* seeds. *Planta* 181:239–43

**Grubusic D, Giba Z, Konjevic R.** 1992. The effect of organic nitrates in phytochromecontrolled germination of *Paulownia tormentosa* seeds. *Photochem. Photobiol* 56: 629–32

**Guo FQ, Crawford NM**. (2005). Arabidopsis nitric oxide synthase1 is targeted to mitochondria and protects against oxidative damage and dark-induced senescence. *Plant Cell* 17: 3436–3450

**Guo FQ, Okamoto M, Crawford NM.** (2003). Identification of a plant nitric oxide synthase gene involved in hormonal signalling. *Science* 302: 100–104

**Giulivi C, Poderoso JJ, Boveris A.** 1998 Production of nitric oxide by mitochondria. *J Biol Chem* 273: 11038–11043

**Graziano M, Beligni MV, Lamattina L.** 2002. Nitric oxide improves iron availability in plants. *Plant Physiology* 130: 1852–1859.

**Ghafourifar P, Richter C**. (1997) Nitric oxide synthase activity in mitochondria. *FEBS Lett* 418: 291–296

Green LC, Ruiz de Luzuriaga K, Wagner DA, Rand W, Istfan N, Young VR, Tannenbaum SR. 1981*a*. Nitrate biosynthesis in man. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 78: 7764–7768

Green LC, Tannenbaum SR, Goldman P. 1981b. Nitrate synthesis in the germfree and conventional rat. *Science* 212: 56–58

**Giba Z, Grubi?si´c D, Todorovi´c S, Sajc L, Stojakoci´c D, Konjevi´c R** 1998. Effect of nitric oxide-releasing compounds on phytochrome-controlled germination of Empress tree seeds. *Plant Growth Regul* 26: 175–181

**Giba Z, Grubi?si'c D, Konjevi'c R**. 1999. How the seeds sense environmental changes? The role of nitrogenous compounds. *Arch Biol Sci* 51: 121–129

Graziano M, Beligni MV, Lamattina L. 2002. Nitric oxide improves internal iron availability in plants. *Plant Physiol* 130: 1852–1859

**Giraudat J, Parcy F, Bertauche N, Gosti F, Leung J, et al.** 1994. Current advances in abscisic acid action and signalling. *Plant Mol Biol* 26: 1557–77

Garcia-Mata C, Lamattina L 2002. Nitric oxide and abscisic acid cross talk in guard cells. *Plant Physiol* 128: 790–792

**Giba Z, Grubisic D, Todorovic S, Sajc L, Stojakovic D, Konjevic R.** 1998. Effect of nitric oxide-releasing compounds on phytochrome-controlled germination of Empress tree seeds. *Plant Growth Regulation* 26: 175–181.

Gouvêa CMCP, Souza JF, Magalhães ACN, Martins IS. 1997. NO-releasing substances that induce growth elongation in maize root segments. *Plant Growth Regul* 21: 183–187

**Harper JE.** 1981. Evolution of nitrogen oxide(s) during in vivo nitrate reductase assay of soybean leaves. *Plant Physiology* 68: 1488-1493

Hoff T, Truong H-N, Caboche M. 1994. Use of mutants and transgenic plants to study nitrate assimilation. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 17: 489–506.

**Huber SC, Bachmann M, Huber JL.** 1996. Post-translational regulation of nitrate reductase activity: a role for Ca<sup>2+</sup> and 14-3-3-proteins. *Trends in Plant Science* 12: 432–438

Hu X, Neill S, Tang Z, CaiW. (2005) Nitric oxide mediates gravitropic bending in soybean roots. *Plant Physiol* 137: 663–670

Himanen K, Boucheron E, Vanneste S, de Almeida Engler J, Inze D, Beeckman T (2002) Auxin-mediated cell cycle activation during early lateral root initiation. *Plant Cell* 14: 2339–2351

Hetherington AM. 2001. Guard cell signalling. Cell 107: 711-714

**Hoyos ME, Palmieri L, Wertin T, Arrigoni R, Polacco J, Palmieri F.** 2003. Identification of a mitochondrial transporter for basic amino acids in Arabidopsis thaliana by functional reconstitution into liposomes and complementation in yeast. *Plant J* 33: 1027–1033

**Hibbs Jr JB, Taintor RR, Vavrin Z.** 1987. Macrophage cytotoxicity: role for Larginine deiminase and imino nitrogen oxidation to nitrite. *Science* 235: 473–476

He J, Xu H, She X, Song X, Zhao W 2005. The role and the interrelationship of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide in the UV-B-induced stomatal closure in broad bean. *Funct Plant Biol* 32: 237–247

He Y, Tang RH, Hao Y, Stevens RD, Cook CW, Ahn SM, Jing L, Yang Z, Chen L, Guo F, Fiorani F, Jackson RB, Crawford NM, Pei ZM. 2004. Nitric oxide represses the Arabidopsis floral transition. *Science* 305: 1968–1971

Henry YA, Ducastel B, Guissani A. 1997. Basic chemistry of nitric oxide and related nitrogen oxides. In: Henry YA, Guissani A, Ducastel B, eds. Nitric oxide research from chemistry to biology. Landes Company. 15-46

Huie RE, Padmaja S. 1993. The reaction of NO with superoxide. *Free Radical Research Communications* 18: 195-199

Huang X, von Rad U, Durner J. 2002. Nitric oxide induces transcriptional activation of the nitric oxidetolerant alternative oxidase in Arabidopsis suspension cells. *Planta* 215:914-23

**Huang JS. & Knopp JA.** 1998. Involvement of nitric oxide in Ralstonia solanacearuminduced hypersensitive reaction in tobacco. In Bacterial Wilt Disease: Molecular and Ecological Aspects (eds P. Prior, J. Elphinstone & C. Allen), pp. 218–224. INRA and Springer Editions, Berlin, Germany

Harper JE. 1981. Evolution of nitrogen oxide (s) during *in vivo* nitrate reductase assay of soybean leaves. *Plant Physiology* 68: 1488–1493

Hageman RH, Reed AJ 1980. Nitrate reductase from higher plants. *Methods Enzymol* 69: 270–280

Harrison R. 2002. Structure and function of xanthine oxidoreductase: Where are we now? *Free Radical Biology Medicine* 33: 774–797

**Iyengar R, Stuehr DJ, Marletta MA.** 1987. Macrophage synthesis of nitrite, nitrate, and N-nitrosamines: precursors and role of the respiratory burst. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 84: 6369–6373

**Igamberdiev AU, Seregelyes C, Manac'h N, Hill RD.** 2004. NADH-dependent metabolism of nitric oxide in alfalfa root cultures expressing barley hemoglobin. *Planta* 219, 95–102

**Ignarro LJ.** 1989. Endothelium-derived nitric oxide: actions and properties *The FASEB Journal* 3:31-36 Ignarro LJ. 2000. Nitric oxide, biology and pathobiology. San Diego: Academic Press

Malamy J, Hennig J, and Klessig DF. 1992. Temperature-Dependent Induction of Salicylic Acid and Its conjugates during the Resistance Response to TobaccoMosaic Virus Infection The *Plant Cell* 4: 359-366

**Klessig D.F., Durner J., Noad R., et al.** 2000. Nitric oxide and salicylic acid signalling in plant defense. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 97: 8849–8855

Kaiser WM, Weiner H, Kandlbimder A, Tsai CB, Rockel P, Sonoda M, PlanchetE. 2002. Modulation of nitrate reductase: some new insights, an unusual case and a potentially important side reaction. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53: 875–882

**Kaiser WM, Weiner H, Huber SC.** 1999. Nitrate reductase in higher plants: a case and a potentially important side reaction. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53: 875-882.

**Kaiser WM, Huber SC.** 2001. Post-translational regulation of nitrate reductase: meachnisms, physiological relevance and environmental triggers. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 52: 1981–1989.

Klessig DF, Durner J, Noad R, Navarre DA, Wendehenne D, et al. 2000. Nitric oxide and salicylic acid signalling in plant defense. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97: 8849–55

Kosaka, H., Watanabe, M., Yoshihara, H., Harada, N., and Shiga, T. 1992. Detection of nitric oxide production in lipopolysaccharide-treated rats by ESR using carbon monoxide hemoglobin. Biochem. *Biophys. Res. Commun* 184:1119-1124

**Kramlich JC, Linak WP.** 1994. Nitrous oxide behaviour in the atmosphere, and in combustion and industrial systems. *Prog. Energ. Combust.* 20: 149–202

**Kikuchi K, Nagano T, Hirobe M.** 1996. Novel detection method of nitric oxide using horseradish peroxidase. *Biol.Pharm. Bull.* 19: 649–51

**Kuo WN, Ku TW, Jones DL, Baptiste J**. 1995. Nitric oxide synthase immunoreactivity in baker's yeasts, lobster and wheat germ. *Biochem Arch* 11:73–78

Kers JA, Wach MJ, Krasnoff SB, Widom J, Cameron KD, Bukhalid RA, Gibson DM, Crane BR, Loria R. 2004. Nitration of a peptide phytotoxin by bacterial nitric oxide synthase. *Nature* 429:79–82

**Kozlov AV, Staniek K, Nohl H.** 1999. Nitrite reductase activity is a novel function of mammalian mitochondria. *FEBS Letters* 454: 127–130

Kojima H, Hirotani M, Nakatsubo N, Kikuchi K, Urano, Y, Higuchi, T, Hirata, Y. and Nagano, T. 2001. Bioimaging of nitric oxide with fluorescent indicators based on the rhodamine chromophore. *Anal Chem* 73: 1967–1973

Kojima, H., Hirotani, M., Urano, Y., Kikuchi, K., Higuchi, T. and Nagano, T. 2000. Fluorescence indicators for nitric oxide based on rhodamine chromophore. *Tetrahedron Lett* 41: 69–72

Kobayashi M, Matsuo Y, Takimoto A, Suzuki S, Maruo F, Shoun H. 1996. Denitrification, a novel type of respiratory metabolism in fungal mitochondrion. *J Biol Chem* 271: 16263–16267

Lamotte O., Gould K., Lecourieux D., Sequiera-Legrand A., Lebrun-Garcia A., Durner J., Pugin A. & Wendehenne D. 2004. Analysis of nitric oxide signalling functions in tobacco cells challenged by the elicitor cryptogein Plant Physiology 135: 1–14

Leshem YY. 1996. Nitric oxide in biological systems. Plant Growth Regul 18: 155–159

Leshem, Y. Y., and Harammaty, E. 1996. The characterization and contrasting effects of the nitric oxide free radical in vegetative stress and senescence of *Pisum sativum Linn*. foliage. *J Plant Physiol* 148:258-263.

Lamattina L, García-Mata C, Graziano M, Pagnussat G. 2003. Nitric oxide: The versatility of an extensive signal molecule. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 54: 109–136

Lamas S, Marsden PA, Li GK, Tempst P, Michel T. 1992. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase: molecular cloning and characterization of a distinct constitutive enzyme isoform. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 89: 6348–6352.

Li H, Poulos TL. 2005. Structure–function studies on nitric oxide synthases. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* 99: 293–305

Lindermayr C, Saalbach G, Bahnweg G, Durner, J. 2006: Differential inhibition of *Arabidopsis* methionine adenosyltranferases by protein *S*-nitrosylation. *J Biol Chem.* 281, 4285-4291

Leshem YY, Haramaty E, Iluz D, Malik Z, Sofer Y, Roitman L, Leshem Y. 1997. Effect of stress nitric oxide (NO): interaction between chlorophyll fluorescence, galactolipid fluidity and lipoxygenase activity. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 35: 573–579

**Leshem YY, Haramaty E.** 1996. The characterisation and contrasting effects of the nitric oxide free radical in vegetative stress and senescence of *Pisum sativum* Linn. foliage. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 148: 258–263

Lea PJ. 1999. Nitrate assimilation. In: Lea PJ, Leegood RC, eds. Plant Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. London, UK: Wiley, 163–192

Lo DCL, Butt YKC, Chan YSG. 2000. False nitric oxide synthase immunoreactivity in *Asparagus* Bean (*Vigna sesquipdalis*). *Nitric Oxide: Biol Chem* 4: 175

Lombardo MC, GrazianoM, Polacco J, Lamattina L 2006. Nitric oxide functions as a positive regulator of root hair development. *Plant Signal Behav* 1: 28–33

**Leshem YY,Wills RBH, Ku VVV.** 1998. Evidence for the function of the free radical gas nitric oxide (NO) as an endogenous regulating factor in higher plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 36: 825–33

**Leshem YY, Pinchasov Y.** 2002. Noninvasive photoacustic spectroscopic determination of relative endogenous nitric oxide and ethylene content stoichiometry during the ripening of strawberries *Fragaria anannasa* (Duch) and avocados *Persea americana* (Mill.). *J Exp Bot* 51: 1471–73

Leshem Y. 2001. Nitric oxide in plants. London, UK: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Lacza Z, Horn TFW, Snipes JA, Zhang J, Roychowdhury S, Horvath EM, Figueroa JP, Kollai M, Szabo C, Busija DW. 2004. Lack of mitochondrial nitric oxide production in the mouse brain *Journal of Neurochemistry* 90(4): 942-951

Lim MD, Lorkovic IM, Ford PC. 2005. The preparation of anaerobic nitric oxide solutions for the study of heme model systems in aqueous and nonaqueous media: Some consequences of  $NO_x$  impurities. (In: Packer, L., Cadenas, E., Eds), Methods in Enzymology Vol 396 Nitric oxide, Part E, San Diego Elsevier: pp. 3-17

**Linsmaier EM, Skoog F.** 1965. Organic Growth Factor Requirements of Tobacco. Tissue Cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 18: 100-127

**Leshem YY, Pinchasov Y.** 2000. Non-invasive photoacoustic spectroscopic determination of relative endogenous nitric oxide and ethylene content stoichiometry during the ripening of strawberries *Fragaria anannasa* (Duch.) and avocados *Persea americana* (Mill.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* 51: 1471–1473

**Manahan SE** 1994. Gaseous inorganic air pollutants. In: Environmental chemistry, 6<sup>th</sup> edn. Lewis, Boca Raton, FL11:338–345

Miller AJ, Smith SJ. 1996. Nitrate transport and compartmentation in cereal root cells. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 47: 843–854 **Millar AH, Day DA, Mathieu C.** 2004. Nitric oxide synthesis by plants and its potential impact on nitrogen and respiratory metabolism. In: Foyer CH, Noctor G, eds. *Photosynthetic nitrogen assimilation and associated carbon and respiratory metabolism.* Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 193–204

Morot-Gaudry-Talarmain Y, Rockel P, Moureaux T, Quileré I, Leydecker MT, Kaiser WM, Morot-Gaudry JF. 2002. Nitrite accumulation and nitric oxide emission in relation to cellular signalling in nitrite reductase antisense plants. *Planta* 215: 708– 715

**Moorhead G, Douglas P, Morrice N, Scarabel M, Aitken A, MacKintosh C.** 1996. Phosphorylated nitrate reductase from spinach leaves is inhibited by 14-3-3 proteins and activated by fusicoccin. *Current Biology* 6: 1104

Magalhaes JR, Monte DC, Durzan D. 2000. Nitric oxide and ethylene emission in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants* 6: 117–127

**Murphy ME, Noack E.** 1994. Nitric oxide assay using haemoglobin method. *Methods in Enzymology* 233: 240–250

Malinski T, Taha Z. 1992. Nitric oxide release from a single cell measured in situ by a porphyrinic-based microsensor. *Nature* 358: 676–78

Marletta MA, Yoon PS, Iyengar R, Leaf CD, Wishnok JS. 1988. Macrophage oxidation of L-arginine to nitrite and nitrate: nitric oxide is an intermediate. *Biochemistry* 27:8706–8711

**Meyer C, Stöhr C.** 2004. Nitrate reductase and nitrite reductase. In: Foyer CH, Noctor G, eds. *Photosynthetic nitrogen assimilation and associated carbon metabolism*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 49–62

**Modolo LV, Cunha FQ, Braga MR, Salgado I.** 2002. Nitric oxide synthase-mediated phytoalexin accumulation in soybeancotyledons in response to the Diaporthe phaseolorum f. sp. meridionalis elicitor. *Plant Physiol* 130: 1288–1297

Millar TM, Stevens CR, Blake DR. 1997. Xanthine oxidase can generate nitric oxide from nitrate in ischaemia. *Biochemical Society of Transactions* 25: 528

Millar AH, Sweetlove, LJ, Giege' P, Leaver CJ. 2001. Analysis of the Arabidopsis Mitochondrial Proteome. *Plant Physiol* 127: 1711–1727

**Moore AL, Siedow JN.** 1991. The regulation and nature of the cyanide-resistant alternative oxidase of plant mitochondria. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1059: 121-140

Mordvintcev, P., Musch, A., Busse, R., and Vanin, A. 1991. On-line detection of nitric oxide formation in liquid aqueous phase by electronparamagnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Anal Biochem* 199:142-146

Miller AH, Day DA. 1996. Nitric oxide inhibits the cytochrome oxidase but not the alternative oxidase of plant mitochondria. *FEBS Lett* 398: 155–158

Mathieu C, Moreau S, Frendo P, Puppo A, Davies MJ. 1998. Direct detection of radicals in intact soybean nodules: presence of nitric oxide-leghemoglobin complexes Free Radical Biology and Medicine, Volume 24, Number 7, May 1998, pp. 1242-1249(8)

**Muller F, Crofts AR, Kramer DM.** 2002. Multiple Q-cycle bypass reactions at the Q<sub>o</sub> site of the cytochrome *bc*<sub>1</sub> complex. *Biochemistry* 41:7866–7874

**Mur LAJ, Carver TLW, Prats E** 2006. NO way to live; the various roles of nitric oxide in plant pathogen interactions. *J Exp Bot* 57:489-505

Ninnemann H, Maier J. 1996. Indications for the occurrence of nitric oxide synthases in fungi and plants, and the involvement in photoconidiation of Neurospora crassa. Photochem. Photobiol. 64: 393–398

Noritake T, Kawakita K, Doke N. 1996. Nitric oxide induces phytoalexin accumulation in potato tuber tissues. *Plant Cell Physiol* 37: 113-16

Notton BA, Hewitt EJ. 1971b. Reversible cyanide inhibition of spinach (*Spinacea oleracea L.*) nitriate reductase and non-exchangeability *in vitro* of protein bound molybdenum and tungsten. *FEBS Letters* 18: 19–22

Neill SJ, Desikan R, Hancock JT. 2003. Nitric oxide signalling in plants. *New Phytol* 159: 11–35

Nims RW, Cook JC, Krishna MC, Christodoulou D, Poore CMB, et al. 1996. Colorimetric

assays for nitric oxide stock solutions and donor compounds. *Methods Enzymol.* 268: 93–105

**Neill SJ, Desikan R, Clarke A, Hancock JT.** 2002a. Nitric oxide is a novel component of abscisic acid signalling in stomatal guard cells. *Plant Physiology* 128: 13–16

**Neill SJ, Desikan R, Clarke A, Hurst RD, & Hancock JT.** (2002). Hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide as signalling molecules in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53:1237–1247

Notton BA, Hewitt EJ. 1971a. The role of tungsten in the inhibition of nitrate reductase activity in spinach (*Spinacea oleracea L*) leaves. *Biochemical Biophysical Research Communications* 44: 702–710

**Otvos K, Pasternak TP, Miskolczi P, DomokiM, DorjgotovD, Szucs A, Bottka S, DuditsD, Feher A** (2005) Nitric oxide is required for, and promotes auxin-mediated activation of, cell division and embryogenic cell formation but does not influence cell cycle progression in alfalfa cell cultures. *Plant J* 43: 849–860

Paul JW, Beauchamp EG, Zhang X. 1993. Nitrous and nitric oxide emission during nitri.-

cation and denitri.cation from manure-amended soil in the laboratory. *Can J Soil Sci* 73: 539–553

Pedroso MC, Magalhaes JR, Durzan D. 2000. Nitric oxide induces cell death in *Taxus* cells. *Plant Sci* 157: 173-80.

**Pedroso MC, Magalhaes J.R, Durzan D.** 2000. A nitric oxide burst precedes apoptosis in angiosperm and gymnosperm callus cells and foliar tissues. *J Exp Bot* 51: 1027–1036

Pattanayak D, Chatterjee SR. 1998. Recent advances in structure and function of higher plant nitrate reductase. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 36: 644–650
Pool RK, Hughes MN. 2000. New functions for the ancient globin family: bacterial responses to nitric oxide and nitrosative stress. *Molecular Microbiology* 36, 775–783

**Pollock JK, Forstermann U, Mitchell JA, Warner TD, Schmidt HHW, Nakane M, Murad F.** 1991. Purification and characterization of particulate endothelium-derived relaxing factor synthase from cultured and native bovine aortic endothelial cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 88: 10480–10484

Pagnussat G, Simontacchi M, Puntarulo S, Lamattina L. 2002. Nitric oxide is required for root organogenesis. *Plant Physiol* 129: 954–956

**Pagnussat GC, Lanteri ML, Lombardo MC, Lamattina L.** 2004. Nitric oxide mediates the indole acetic acid induction activation of a mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade involved in adventitious root development. *Plant Physiol* 135: 279–286

Planchet E, Sonoda M, Zeier J, Kaiser WM. 2006. Nitric oxide (NO) as an intermediate in the cryptogein-induced hypersensitive response--a critical re-evaluation. *Plant Cell Environ* 29(1): 59-69

**Planchet E, Gupta KJ, Sonoda M, Kaiser WM.** 2005. Nitric oxide emission from tobacco leaves and cell suspensions: rate-limiting factors and evidence for the involvement of mitochondrial electron transport. *The Plant Journal* 41:732–743

**Planchet E, Kaiser WM**. 2006. Nitric oxide (NO) detection by DAF fluorescence and chemiluminescence: a comparison using abiotic and biotic NO sources. *J Exp Bot* 57(12): 3043-55.

Rowland A, Murray AJ, Welburn AR. 1985. Oxides of nitrogen and their impact upon vegetation. *Rev Environ. Health* 5: 295–342

**Ribiero EA, Cunha FQ, Tamashino WMSC, Martins IS.** 1999. Growth phasedependent subcellular localization of nitric oxide synthase in maize cells. *FEBS Lett* 445: 283–286

**Reisser D, Onier-Cherix N, and Jeannin JF.** 2002. Arginase activity is inhibited by L-NAME, both in vitro and in vivo. *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem* 17:267–270

**Requena ME, Egea-Gilabert C, Candela ME**. 2005. Nitric oxide generation during the interaction with *Phytophthora capsici* of two *Capsicum annuum* varieties showing different degrees of sensitivity. *Physiol Plant* 124: 50-60

**Rouze P, Caboche M.** 1992. Nitrate reduction in higher plants: Molecular approaches to function and regulation. In: Wray JL, ed. Society for Experimental Biology seminar. Series 49: inducible plant proteins. London, UK : Cambridge University Press, 45–77

**Rockel P, Strube F, Rockel A, Wildt J, Kaiser WM.** 2002. Regulation of nitric oxide (NO) production by plant nitrate reductase *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53: 103–110

**Ribiero EA, Cunha FQ, Tamashino WMSC, Martins IS.** 1999. Growth phasedependent subcellular localization of nitric oxide synthase in maize cells. *FEBS Lett* 445: 283–286

**Pollock JK, Forstermann U, Mitchell JA, Warner TD, Schmidt HHW, Nakane M, Murad F.** 1991. Purification and characterization of particulate endothelium-derived relaxing factor synthase from cultured and native bovine aortic endothelial cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 88: 10480–10484

Stevens RJ, Laughlin RJ, Malone JP. 1998. Soil pH affects the processes reducing nitrate to nitrous oxide and di-nitrogen. *Soil Biol Biochem* 30: 1119–1126

Stamler JS, Singel DJ, Loscalzo J. 1992. Biochemistry of nitric oxide and its redox activated forms. *Science* 258: 1898–1902

**Streatfield SJ, Weber A, Kinsman EA, Hausler RE, Li J, Post-Beittenmiller D, Kaiser WM, Pyke KA, Flugge UI, Chory J.** 1999. The phosphoenolpyruvate/phosphate translocator is required for phenolic metabolism, palisade cell development, and plastid-dependent nuclear gene expression. *The Plant Cell* 11, 1609–1622

Stuehr DJ, Kwon NS, Nathan CF, Griffith OW, Feldman PL, and Wiseman J. 1991. N omega-hydroxy-L-arginine is an intermediate in the biosynthesis of nitric oxide from L-arginine. *J Biol Chem* 266(10): 6259-6263

Sakuma I, Stuehr DJ, Gross SS, Nathan C, Levi R. 1988. Identification of arginine as a precursor of endothelium-derived relaxing factor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 85: 8664–8667

Stöhr C, Ullrich WR. 2002. Generation and possible roles of NO in plant roots and their apoplastic space. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53, 2293–2303

Stöhr C, Strube F, Marx G, Ullrich WR, Rockel P. 2001. A plasma membrane-bound enzyme of tobacco roots catalyses the formation of nitric oxide from nitrite. *Planta* 212, 835–841

**Stuehr DJ, Marletta MA.** 1985. Mammalian nitrate biosynthesis: mouse macrophages produce nitrite and nitrate in response to *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 82: 7738–7742

**Squadrito GL, & Pryor WA.** 1998. Oxidative chemistry of nitric oxide: The roles of superoxide, peroxynitrite, and carbon dioxide. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 25, 392–403

Sakihama Y, Nakamura S, Yamasaki H. 2002. Nitric oxide production mediated by nitrate reductase in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: an alternative NO

production pathway in photosynthesis organisms. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 43: 290–297

Skipper L, Campbell WH, Mertens J, Lowe D. 2001. Pre-steady-state kinetic analysis of recombinant *Arabidopsis* NADH: nitrate reductase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276: 26995–27002.

Schroeder JI, Allen GJ, Hugouvieux V, Kwak JM, Waner D. 2001. Guard cell signal transduction. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 52: 627–658

Siegel-Itzcovich J. 1999. Viagra makes flowers stand up straight. West J. Med. 171:38

**Stuehr DJ.** 1999. Mammalian nitric oxide synthases. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1411:217–230

**Sen S, Cheema IR.** 1995. Nitric oxide synthase and calmodulin immunoreactivity in plant embryonic tissue. *Biochem Arch* 11: 221–227

Simontacchi M, Jasid S, Puntarulo. 2004. Nitric oxide generation during early germination of Sorghum seeds. *Plant Sci.* 167(4): 839-847

Shiva S, Brookes PS, Patel RP, Anderson PG, Darley-Usmar VM. 2001. Nitric oxide partitioning in to mitochondrial membranes and control of respiration at cytochrome c Oxidase. *Proceedings of the National academy of Sciences USA* 98: 7212-7217.

**Stouthamer AH.** 1991. Metabolic regulation including anaerobic metabolism in *Paracoccus denitrificans. J Bioenerg Biomembr* 23: 163–18

**Song F, Goodman RM.** 2001. Activity of nitric oxide is dependent on, but is partially required for function of, salicylic acid in the signalling pathway in tobacco systemic acquired resistance. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 14(12): 1458-62

Stoimenova M, Libourel IGL, Ratcliffe RG, Kaiser WM. 2003. The role of root nitrate reduction in the anoxic metabolism of roots. II. Anoxic metabolism of tobacco roots with or without nitrate reductase activity. *Plant and Soil* 253, 155–167

**Tarpey MM, Wink DA, Grisham MB.** 2004. Methods for detection of reactive metabolites of oxygen and nitrogen: *in vitro* and *in vivo* considerations. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 286: 431-444

**Thanos CA, Georghiou K.** 1988. Ecophysiology of fire-stimulated seed germination in *Cistus incanus* ssp *creticus* (L.) Heywood and *C. salvifolius* L. *Plant Cell Environ* 11: 841–849

**Torreilles J.** 2001. Nitric oxide: one of the more conserved and widespread signalling molecules. *Frontiers in Bioscience* 6: 1161–1172

**Tornton FC, Valente RJ**. 1996. Soil emissions of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide from no-till corn. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 60: 1127–1133

**Takahashi S, Yamasaki H.** 2002. Reversible inhibition of photophosphorylation in chloroplasts by nitric oxide. *FEBS Letters* 512: 145–148

**Tischner R, Galli M , Heimer YM, Bielefeld S, Okamoto M, Mack A, Crawford NM.** 2007. Interference with the citrulline-based nitric oxide synthase assay by argininosuccinate lyase activity in Arabidopsis extracts *FEBS J* 274(16): 4238-45

**Tian QY, Sun DH, Zhao MG & Zhang WH.** 2007. Inhibition of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) underlies aluminum- induced inhibition of root elongation in Hibiscus moscheutos. *New Phytol* 174: 322–331

**Tun N.N, Holk A, Scherer GFE.** 2001. Rapid increase of NO release in plant cell cultures induced by cytokinin *FEBS Letters* 509 (2): 174-176.
**Tielens AGM, Rotte C, van Hellemond JJ, Martin W.** 2002. Mitochondria as we don't know them. *Trends Biochem Sci* 27: 564–572

**Tun NN, Santa-Catarina C, Begum T, Silveira V, Handro W, Floh EI, Scherer GF**. 2006. Polyamines induce rapid biosynthesis of nitric oxide (NO) in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings. *Plant Cell Physiol* 47(3): 346-54

**Tischner R, Planchet E, Kaiser WM.** 2004. Mitochondrial electron transport as a source for nitric oxide in the unicellular green alga *Chlorella sorokiniana*. *FEBS Letters* 576, 151–155

Vincentz M, Caboche M. 1991. Constitutive expression of nitrate reductase allows normal growth and development of *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* plants. *EMBO Journal* 10: 1027–1035

Vanlerberghe GC McIntosh L. 1996. Signals Regulating the Expression of the Nuclear Gene Encoding Alternative Oxidase of Plant Mitochondria. *Plant Physiology*, 111(2): 589-595

Vanlerberghe GC, Day DA, Wiskich JT, Vanlerberghe AE, McIntosh L. 1995. Alternative oxidase activity in tobacco leaf mitochondria. Dependence on tricarboxylic acid cycle-mediated redox regulation and pyruvate activation. *Plant Physiology* 109: 353–361

**Wilkinson JQ, Crawford NM.** 1993. Identification and characterisation of a chlorateresistant mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* with mutations in both nitrate reductase structural genes *NIA1* and *NIA2*. *Molecular and General Genetics* 239: 289–297.

Wendehenne D., Durner J. & Klessig D. 2004. Nitric oxide: a new player in plant signalling and defence responses. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 7: 1–14

Wendehenne D, Pugin A, Klessig DF, Durner J. 2001. Nitric oxide: comparative synthesis and signalling in animal and plant cells. *Trends in Plant Science* **6**, 177–183

**Wagner DA, Young VR, Tannenbaum SR.** 1983. Mammalian nitrate biosynthesis: incorporation of <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> into nitrate is enhanced by endotoxin treatment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 80: 4518–4521.

**Wellburn AR.** 1990. Why are atmospheric oxides of nitrogen usually phytotoxic and not alternative fertilizers? *New Phytol.* 115:395–429

**Xu YC. Cao YL, Guo P, Tao Y, Zhao BL.** 2004. Detection of Nitric Oxide in Plants by Electron Spin Resonance 94. *Phytopathology* (4)402-406

Xu MJ, Dong JF & Zhu MY. 2005. Nitric oxide mediates the fungal elicitor-induced hypericin production of Hypericum perforatum cell suspension cultures through a jasmonic-acid-dependent signal pathway. *Plant Physiol* 139: 991–998

Xie QW, Cho HJ, Calaycay J, Mumford RA, Swiderek KM, Lee TD, Ding A, Troso T, Nathan C. 1992. Cloning and characterization of inducible nitric oxide synthase from mouse macrophages. *Science* 256: 225–228.

**Yamasaki H, Sakihama Y. 2000.** Simultaneous production of nitric oxide and peroxynitrite by plant nitrate reductase: *in vitro* evidence for the NR-dependent formation. *FEBS Letters* 468: 89–92

Yamamoto-Katou A, Katou S, Yoshioka H, Doke N, Kawakita K 2006. Nitrate reductase is responsible for elicitin-induced nitric oxide production in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 47(6):726-35

Yamamoto A, Katou S, Yoshioka H, Doke N, Kawakita K. 2003. Nitrate reductase, a nitric oxide-producing enzyme: induction by pathogens signals. *Journal of General Plant Pathology* 69: 218-229.

**Yamasaki H, Sakihama Y, Takahashi S**. 1999. An alternative pathway for nitric oxide production in plants: new features of an old enzyme. *Trends Plant Sci* 4: 128–129

Zeidler D, Zähringer U, Gerber I, Dubey I, Hartung T, Bors W, Hutzler P. & Durner J. 2004. Innate immunity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Lipopolysaccharides activate nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and induce defense genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 101, 15811–15816.

Zeier J, Delledonne M, Mishina T, Severi E, Sonoda M. & Lamb C. 2004. Genetic elucidation of nitric oxide signalling in incompatible plant–pathogen interactions. *Plant Physiology* 136: 2875–2886

Zumft WG. 1997. Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 61: 533–616

**Zemojtel T, Wade RC, Dandekar T.** 2003. In search of the prototype of nitric oxide synthase. *FEBS Letters* 554: 1–5

Zemojtel T, Frohlich A, Palmieri MC, Kolanczyk M, Mikula I, Wyrwicz LS, Wanker EE, Mundlos S, Vingron M, Martasek P, Durner J. 2006. Plant nitric oxide synthase: a never-ending story?. *Trends Plant Sci*.11(11: 524-525.

**Zhao DY, Tian QY, Li LH, Zhang WH.** 2007. Nitric Oxide is involved in Nitrateinduced Inhibition of Root Elongation in Zea mays. *Ann Bot (Lond)*. 100(3): 497-503

**Zhao J, Fujita K, Sakai K.** 2007. Reactive oxygen species, nitric oxide, and their interactions play different roles in Cupressus lusitanica cell death and phytoalexin biosynthesis.

New Phytol 175(2): 215-29

**Zhang A, Jiang M, Zhang J, Ding H, Xu S, Hu X, Tan M.** 2007. Nitric oxide induced by hydrogen peroxide mediates abscisic acid-induced activation of the mitogenactivated protein kinase cascade involved in antioxidant defense in maize leaves. New Phytol 175(1): 36-50

# F Appendix

## List of chemicals

Calmodulin	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
c-PTI	Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan
c-PTIO	Alexis, Grünberg, Germany
СМ	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
DPI	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
FMN	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
L-NAME	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
L-NIL	Biomol, Hamburg, Germany
L-NMMA	Calbiochem, Schwalbach, Germany
NADPH	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
PTIO	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
Sodium Lime	Merck, Darmstadt, Germany
SNP	Merck, Darmstadt, Germany
Tetrahydrobiopterin	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
Myxothiazol	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
Enzymes:	
Catalase	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
Nitrate reductase from corn	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
	NECi, Lake Linden, MI
Nitric oxide synthase (Mouse recombinant)	Axxora
SOD	Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany
Gas:	
Nitric oxide 500 ppb in nitrogen	Messer, Griesheim, Darmstadt, Germany
Nitric oxide 100 ppm in nitrogen	Messer, Griesheim, Darmstadt, Germany

## Abbreviations

%	percent
°C	degree Celsius
А	Adenine
ABA	Abscisic Acid
AMP	Adenosine-5'-monophosphate
AOX	Alternative Oxidase
ATP	Adenosine-5'-triphosphate
$BH_4$	Tetrahydrobiopterin
BSA	Bovine Serum Albumin
С	Cytosine
cADPR	cyclic ADP Ribose
cDNA	Complementary DNA
cGMP	cyclic Guanosine 3´,5´-Monophosphate
CHX	Cycloheximide
Cm	centimeter
СМ	Carboxymethoxylamine
cNR	constitutive Nitrate Reductase
c-PTIO	2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-
	tetramethylimidazolinone-3-oxide-1-oxyl
DAF-2	4,5-diaminofluorescein
DAF-2DA	4,5-diaminofluorescein diacetate
DAF-2T	4,5-diaminofluorescein triazole
dATP	deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate
DEPC	dietyl pyrocarbonate
DANN	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
dNTP	2'-desoxyribonucleosid-5'-triphosphate

Diphenyleneiodonium
1,4-dithiotreitol
Endothelium-Derived Relaxing Factor
Ethylenediamine-tetraacetate
exempli gratia (= for example)
Electron Paramagnetic Resonance
endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase
Flavin Adenine Nucleotide
Flavin Mononucleotide
Fresh Weight
gram
Guanine
Guanylate Cyclase
Glycine Decarboxylase
hour
N-2-hydrovyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid
Housing Quality Indicators
Hypersensitive Response
inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase
inducible Nitrate Reductase
Inactivator Protein
Jasmonic Acid

DPI

DTT

EDRF

EDTA

e.g.

EPR

eNOS

FAD

FMN

FW

G

G

GC

GDC

HEPES

HQI

HR

iNOS

iNR

IP

JA

Kb

kBq

kDa

L

Lb

kilo base

kiloBecquerel

Leghemoglobin

kilo Dalton

Liter

Η

L-NAME	N? -nitro- L - arginine methyl ester hydrochloride
L-NIL	L-N6-(1-Iminoethyl)-lysine, acetate
L-NMMA	NG-Monomethyl-L-arginine, Monoacetate salt
LS	Linsmaier & Skoog
М	meter
М	Molar
МАРК	Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase
Max	maximal
MES	4-morpholinic-ethanesulfonic acid
Mg	milligram
Min	minutes
mL	milliliter
mm	millimeter
mM	millimolar
MoCo	Molybdenum Cofactor
MOPS	4-morpholinic -propansulfonic acid
mRNA	messenger RNA
n	Number of samples
N <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen
$\mathbf{NAD}^+$	Nicotinamide adenine dinucle otide (oxydized)
NADH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (reduced)
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (reduced)
N.D.	Non Detected
Ng	nanogram
NI-NOR	Nitrite: NO-reductase
NiR	Nitrite Reductase
nNOS neuronal	Nitric Oxide Synthase

NO	Nitric Oxide
NOS	Nitric Oxide Synthase
NR	Nitrate Reductase
NRA	Nitrate Reductase Activity
PAL	Phenylalanine Ammonia Lyase
PAR	Photosynthetic Active Radiation
PCD	Programmed Cell Death
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
pН	Potential of Hydrogen
PM	Plasma Membrane
Pmol	picomol = 10-12 mol
PMS	Phenasine Methosulphate
РМТ	Photomultiplier Tube
Ppb	part per billion
Ppm	part per million
Ppt	part per trillion
PR	Pathogenesis related
PTIO	2-phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazolinone-3-oxide-1-oxyl
PVP	Polyvinyl pirrolidone
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
rRNA	ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid
ROS	Reactive Oxygen Species
Rpm	rounds per minute
RSNO	S-nitrosothiols
RT	Room Temperature
S	second
SA	Salicylic Acid

SAR	Systemic Acquired Resistance
SD	Standard Deviation
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
SNP	Sodium Nitroprusside
SOD	Superoxide Dismutase
SSC	Sodium Chloride/Sodium Citrate
Т	Thymine
Taq	Thermus aquatic
TBE	Tris-borate EDTA
Tm	melting Temperature
TMV	Tobacco Mosaic Virus
U	Unit
μCi	micro-Curie
μL	micro-Liter
μΜ	micro-Molar
UV	Ultra-Violet
V	Volt
w/v	weight/volume
WT	Wild Type
XDH	Xanthine Dehydrogenase
XO	Xanthine Oxidase
XOR	Xanthine Oxidoreductase

### Papers published

1) Stoimenova M, Igamberdev AU, **Gupta KJ**, Hill RD: Nitrite driven anaerobic ATP synthesis by barley and rice root mitochondria. Planta 2007 July 226(2) 465-474 (Evaluated by Faculty of 1000 Biology)

2) Kaiser WM, **Gupta KJ**, Planchet E: Higher plant mitochondria as source of NO. Nitric Oxide in Plant Growth Development and Stress Physiology Lamattina L, Polacco JC (Eds) Plant Cell monographs (2007) pp 1-14

3) **Gupta KJ**, Stiomenova M, Kaiser WM: In higher plants, only root mitochondria, but not leaf mitochondria reduce nitrite to NO, *in vitro* and *in situ*. Journal of Experimental Botany 2005 Oct: 56(420):2601-9

4) Planchet E, **Gupta KJ**, Sonoda M, Kaiser WM. Nitric oxide emission from tobacco leaves and cell suspensions: rate-limiting factors and evidence for the involvement of mitochondrial electron transport. The Plant Journal 2005 41, 732–743

#### Manuscripts under preparation/ submitted:

**Gupta KJ** and Kaiser WM: Absence of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity in roots and root mitochondria (in preparation)

**Gupta KJ**, Mishina T, Zeier J, Kaiser WM: Hypersensitive response elicited by *pseudomonas syrengae* is modified by ammonium *vs* Nitrate nutrition (in preparation)

#### **Conference contributions:**

#### **Oral presentations:**

**Gupta KJ**, Planchet E, Stoimenova M and Kaiser WM: Plant mitochondria as a source for NO (SEB Barcelona, Spain 2005) Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A 141 (2005) S: 237-238

#### **Poster Presentations:**

**Gupta KJ**, Mishina T, Zeier J, Kaiser WM: Hypersensitive response elicited by *pseudomonas syrengae* is modified by ammonium *vs* Nitrate nutrition (Plant NO club meeting Verona Italy 2006).

**Gupta KJ** and Kaiser WM: Mitochondrial pathways of nitric oxide production in plants: Some new Insights (Plant NO club meeting Verona Italy 2006)

**Gupta KJ** and Kaiser WM: Factors responsible for HR formation in tobacco plants challenged with avirulent bacteria (Pseudomonas syringae) (Botanical Congress Hamburg, Germany 2007)

### Acknowledgements

I wish to express my deep thanks to the number of people who have contributed to this research in many ways.

First of all, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Werner M Kaiser for your excellent scientific supervision, advises, great support, during my stay. I am grateful to Werner for everything (**'Werner**, you are the best!!'')

Special thanks to Maria Lesch. Maria you have always been great. Thanks for every thing.

I would like thank Eva Wirth for your help especially for sugar analysis.

I would like address special thank to Dr Maria Stoimenova for introducing the mitochondria project to me.

I was lucky to have excellent lab mates, who have made the lab an enjoyable place to work. Special thanks to Dr Elisabeth Planchet and Armin for scientific discussions and creating pleasant atmosphere in lab and office as well.

I am also grateful to Prof. Dr. Martin J. Müller for having accepted to be my referee during the defense

I wish to thank Dr. Juergen Zeier and Dr. Tatiana Mishina for help in Northern Blot analysis.

This thesis was kindly supported by a grant of the Deutsche Forschung Gemeinschaft (DFG, Bonn, Germany) through Sonderforschungsbereich (SFB 567: "Mechanismen der interspezifischen Interaktion von Organismen").

I would like to take the opportunity to thank to Prof.AS. Raghavendra who introduced me to the plant physiology.

I would like to thank all my long standing friends, who helped me in innumerable ways, both in my 'academic' and 'non-academic' ventures. Thanks to Rashmi Mysore, Vissu, Suhi, Sudha for their phone calls and emails. Thanks to Shruthi SV and Sirisha T for adding colors to some of the figures in the thesis. I owe my thanks to Vijay, Chakri, Amiya, Elena, Sashi, Naresh mama, Jaya for spending quality of time in their company.

Finally I am grateful to my parents, sisters, brother and all other family members for their love and constant encouragement during my studies. They have been a source of inspiration throughout my academic life.

### **Curriculum Vitae**

**Personal Data:** 

Name: Jagadis Gupta Kapuganti Date of Birth: 01-08-1980 Place of Birth: Dharmavaram, India Marital Status : Single Nationality: Indian

#### **Education:**

- 2004-2007 **P.hD.** Student in Plant Physiology Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Werner M. Kaiser University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany
- 2001-2003 **M.Sc.** Plant Sciences, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- 1998-2001 **B.Sc.** (Botany, Microbiology, Chemistry) Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur, India

#### Fellowships/Awards/Distinctions

• 2004 June- 2007 October 2007: **Doctoral Fellowship from German Research Foundation** (DFG/SFB) in research centre of mechanism of inters specific interaction of organisms.

• 2004 Jan-2004 May: Short term fellowship from International **Max Planck Research School**, Jena (Exploration of Ecological Interaction with chemical and molecular Techniques). Host Professor Ian Thomas Baldwin, Department of Molecular Ecology.

• 2003 June-2003 Dec: Short term visiting fellowship from Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore India.

• Graduate Aptitude Testing Examination (GATE) 2003, Life sciences division score 96.36 percentile.

• University of merit scholarship during Masters in University of Hyderabad, India.

• Certificate of Excellence in Bachelor of Science for excellent performance in all subjects.

• **Two Merit certificates** (Botany and Microbiology) in Bachelor of Science. **Project experience:** 

• Nitric Oxide signaling in *Pseudomonas syringae* - Tobacco Interaction. Effect of Ammonium *vs.* Nitrate nutrient on Hypersensitive Response induced by *Pseudomonas strains* (**Part of PhD work**).

• Nitric Oxide production by mitochondria and its implications on hypoxic stress (Part of PhD work).

• Duration and frequency of Alpha DOX Gene expression in *Nicotiana attenuata* in response to herbivore attack (**Training at Max Planck Institute Jena**).

• Studies on Cytochrome-Alternative pathways of plant mitochondria in response to osmotic stress (**Project during Masters Degree**).

## ERKLÄRUNG

Hiermit erkläre ich, dass ich die vorliegende Dissertation in allen Teilen selbst angefertigt und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel verwendet habe.

Ich habe die Dissertation weder in gleicher noch in ähnlicher Form in anderen Prüfungsverfahren vorgelegt.

Ich erkläre weiterhin, dass ich bislang noch keine weiteren akademischen Grade erworben oder zu erwerben versucht habe.

Würzburg,

October 2007