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#### Abstract

We prove a sharp Bernstein-type inequality for complex polynomials which are positive and satisfy a polynomial growth condition on the positive real axis. This leads to an improved upper estimate in the recent work of Culiuc and Treil (Int. Math. Res. Not. 2019: 3301-3312, 2019) on the weighted martingale Carleson embedding theorem with matrix weights. In the scalar case this new upper bound is optimal.
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## 1 Result

Lemma 1.1 Let $n$ be a positive integer and $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a polynomial such that $p(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(s)| \leq s^{-1}(1+s)^{n} \quad \text { for all } s>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(0)| \leq n^{2}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with equality if
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(s)=p_{n}(s):=\frac{1}{2} \frac{(s+1)^{n}}{s}\left(1-T_{n}\left(\frac{1-s}{1+s}\right)\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here, $T_{n}(x)=\cos (n \arccos x)$ is the $n$-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.
The source of motivation for Lemma 1.1 has been the recent work of Culiuc and Treil [1] on the Carleson embedding theorem with matrix weights. In fact, Lemma 2.2 in [1], which they attribute to F. Nazarov and M. Sodin, provides the (weaker) estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(0)| \leq e^{2} n^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any polynomial $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (1.1). Developing a sophisticated Bellman function technique and making use of estimate (1.4), Culiuc and Treil [1] proved the following result ([1, Theorem 1.2]). We refer to [1] for the relevant terminology and notation.

Theorem A (Carleson embedding theorem for matrix weights) Let $W$ be a $d \times d$ matrix-valued measure and let $A_{I}, I \in \mathcal{D}$ be a sequence of positive semidefinite $d \times d$ matrices. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}}\left\|A_{I}^{1 / 2}\left\langle W^{1 / 2} f\right\rangle_{I}\right\|^{2}|I| \leq A\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$.
(ii) $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}}\left\|A_{I}^{1 / 2}\langle W f\rangle_{I}\right\|^{2}|I| \leq A\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$.
(iii) $\frac{1}{\left|I_{0}\right|} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}, I \subset I_{0}}\langle W\rangle_{I} A_{I}\langle W\rangle_{I}|I| \leq B\langle W\rangle_{I_{0}}$ for all $I_{0} \in \mathcal{D}$.

Moreover, the best constants $A$ and $B$ satisfy $B \leq A \leq C B$, where $C=C(d)=$ $4 e^{2} d^{2}$.

In fact, the proof of Theorem A in [1] requires the estimate (1.4) only for polynomials $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with degree $n=2 d$, which satisfy (1.1) and are real and positive on the positive real axis. Therefore Lemma 1.1 implies that one can take

$$
C(d)=4 d^{2}
$$

instead of $C(d)=4 e^{2} d^{2}$ in Theorem A. In the scalar case $(d=1)$ this new upper bound produces the upper estimate $A \leq 4 B$, which is known to be optimal [4, Theorem 3.3].

Remark 1 The method we use for the proof of Lemma 1.1 can also be used to improve the bound (1.4) given by [1, Lemma 2.2], which holds for any polynomial $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (1.1). This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(0)| \leq 2 n^{2}-n, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

see the next section for the proof. The estimate (1.5) is presumably not best possible.

## 2 Proofs

The idea is to view both estimates, (1.2) and (1.5), as Bernstein-type estimates. Recall that for a polynomial $h$ of degree $N$ the classical Bernstein inequality says that

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq N \cdot \max _{|z|=1}|h(z)| .
$$

Proof of Lemma 1.1 By assumption, $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial satisfying (1.1) and $p(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \geq 0$. Then $q(z):=z p(z)$ is polynomial of degree at most $n$ with $q(0)=0, p(0)=q^{\prime}(0)$, and $q(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \geq 0$. We define the auxiliary function

$$
f(z):=\frac{(1+z)^{2 n}}{(4 z)^{n}} q\left(-\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{2}\right)=\sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

a Laurent polynomial of degree $\leq n$. It is not difficult to see that the growth condition (1.1) for $p$ implies the uniform bound

$$
|f(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text { for all }|z|=1
$$

We also note that

$$
p(0)=q^{\prime}(0)=-2 f^{\prime \prime}(1),
$$

so our task is to find the best upper bound for $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|$.
In order to find such an estimate, it turns out to be essential that the auxiliary function $f$ is real and positive (i.e., $\geq 0$ ) on $|z|=1$. To see this just note that

$$
k(z)=\frac{z}{(1+z)^{2}}=\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

is the Koebe function, familiar from the classical theory of univalent functions, which maps the unit circle $|z|=1$ onto the half-line $[1 / 4,+\infty)$. Hence, on $|z|=1, f(z)$ is the product of two real and positive functions.

We are thus in a position to apply the Fejér-Riesz theorem [2] for the Laurent polynomial $f$. This gives us a complex polynomial $P$ of degree $\leq n$ with no zeros in $|z|<1$ such that

$$
f(z)=P(z) \overline{P(1 / \bar{z})}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Clearly, $|P(z)| \leq 1$ for all $|z|=1$. We can therefore apply a sharpening of Bernstein's inequality due to P. Lax [3] (confirming an earlier conjecture of Erdös) which asserts that

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} \cdot \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} .
$$

In particular,

$$
|p(0)|=\left|q^{\prime}(0)\right|=2\left|f^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|=4\left|P^{\prime}(1)\right|^{2} \leq n^{2},
$$

proving (1.2). Clearly, the polynomial $P_{n}(z)=\left(z^{n}-1\right) / 2$ has the property $\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right|=$ $n / 2$, so $\left|f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)\right|=n^{2} / 2$ for $f_{n}(z):=P_{n}(z) \overline{P_{n}(1 / \bar{z})}$. It is easy to see that

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{(1+z)^{2 n}}{(4 z)^{n}} q_{n}\left(-\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

for a polynomial $q_{n}$ of degree at most $n$ with $q_{n}(0)=0$, and it is straightforward to check that $p_{n}(z):=q_{n}(z) / z$ has the form (1.3).

Proof of (1.5) By assumption, $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial satisfying (1.1). Then $q(z):=z p(z)$ is polynomial of degree at most $n$ with $q(0)=0$ and $p(0)=q^{\prime}(0)$. We define, closely following the proof of [1, Lemma 2.2], the auxiliary function

$$
g(z):=\frac{(1+z)^{2 n}}{4^{n}} q\left(-\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

a polynomial of degree $N \leq 2 n$. As before, the polynomial $g$ has the property that

$$
|g(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text { for all }|z|=1
$$

Now note that

$$
p(0)=-2 g^{\prime \prime}(1) .
$$

Hence, we could apply the classical Bernstein inequality twice, first for $g^{\prime}$ and then for $g^{\prime \prime}$, but this would result in

$$
|p(0)|=2\left|g^{\prime \prime}(1)\right| \leq 2 N(N-1) \leq 4 n(2 n-1),
$$

which is not particularly good. However, as observed in [1, Proof of Lemma 2.2] we can assume without loss of generality that $g$ has no zeros in $|z|<1$. We can therefore apply as above the inequality of Lax which leads to

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{N}{2} \cdot \max _{|z|=1}|g(z)| \leq n .
$$

This brings us in a position to apply Corollary 14.2.8 in [5] for the polynomial $g^{\prime}$ which has degree $\leq 2 n-1$. Hence

$$
\left|g^{\prime \prime}(z)\right|+\left|(2 n-1) g^{\prime}(z)-z g^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq n(2 n-1), \quad|z| \leq 1
$$

Taking $z=1$ and noting that $g^{\prime}(1)=n q(0)=0$, gives $2\left|g^{\prime \prime}(1)\right| \leq n(2 n-1)$, as required.

## 3 Remarks

The polynomials $p$ which occur in the proof of Theorem A in [1] are of the form

$$
p(s)=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} p_{I}(s)|I|
$$

with $p_{I}(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \geq 0$ and each $p_{I}$ a polynomial of degree at most $2(d-1)$. The extremal polynomial $p_{2 d}$ in Lemma 1.1 has degree $2(d-1)$ and all its $2(d-1)$ zeros are on the positive real axis and are double zeros. This implies that

$$
p(s)=p_{2 d}(s) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \exists_{c(I) \geq 0} p_{I}|I|=c(I) p_{2 d}
$$

Hence the extremal polynomial $p_{2 d}$ of Lemma 1.1 shows up in the proof of Theorem A only if each $p_{I}$ is a multiple of $p_{2 d}$.

After acceptance of the paper the authors found another short proof of Lemma 1.1 based on Markov's inequality [5, Theorem 15.1.4] which allows to identify all extremal polynomials. In fact, using the change of variables $s=(1-x) /(1+x)$ we have

$$
q(x):=1-2^{1-n}(1+x)^{n-1}(1-x) p\left(\frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)=1-2 \frac{s p(s)}{(1+s)^{n}}, \quad x \in(-1,1)
$$

By assumptions, $q$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n$ such that $q(1)=1, q^{\prime}(1)=$ $p(0)$ and $|q(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in[-1,1]$. By Markov's inequality, $|p(0)|=\left|q^{\prime}(1)\right| \leq$ $n^{2}$ with equality if and only if $q(x)=T_{n}(x)$. This proves (1.2) with equality if and only if $p=p_{n}$ as in (1.3).
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