

Transition Metal-Free Regio- and Stereo-Selective *trans* Hydroboration of 1,3-Diynes: A Phosphine-Catalyzed Access to (*E*)-1-Boryl-1,3-Enynes

Swetha Jos,^[a] Connor Szwetkowski,^[a] Carla Slebodnick,^[a] Robert Ricker,^[b] Ka Lok Chan,^[c] Wing Chun Chan,^[c] Udo Radius,^[b] Zhenyang Lin,^{*[c]} Todd B. Marder,^{*[b]} and Webster L. Santos^{*[a]}

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Stephen A. Westcott, Canada Research Chair in Green Boron Chemistry. He was an extraordinary mentor, scholar, and friend who left a huge empty orbital in all of us who knew him.

Abstract: We report a transition metal-free, regio- and stereoselective, phosphine-catalyzed method for the *trans* hydroboration of 1,3-diynes with pinacolborane that affords (*E*)-1boryl-1,3-enynes. The reaction proceeds with excellent selectivity for boron addition to the external carbon of the 1,3diyne framework as unambiguously established by NMR and

Introduction

Organocatalysis has emerged as a fast-growing alternative to transition metal catalysis^[1] due to cost-effectiveness, low toxicity, ready availability, low sensitivity to moisture and air, and minimization of potential metal contamination especially for the production of food and pharmaceutical products. As a functional group handle, organoboron compounds are versatile and attractive due to myriad transformations they can undergo,^[2] with the Suzuki-Miyaura^[3] and Chan-Lam coupling^[4] being prominent examples. Thus, organocatalytic methods for borylation of organic compounds that afford high value commodity materials are needed. Diynes are molecules charac-

- [a] S. Jos, C. Szwetkowski, Dr. C. Slebodnick, Prof. Dr. W. L. Santos Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech Blacksburg, Virginia (United States) E-mail: santosw@vt.edu Homepage: www.santosgroup.chem.vt.edu/
- [b] R. Ricker, Prof. Dr. U. Radius, Prof. Dr. T. B. Marder Institute of Inorganic Chemistry Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg (Germany) E-mail: todd.marder@uni-wuerzburg.de
- [c] K. L. Chan, W. C. Chan, Prof. Dr. Z. Lin Department of Chemistry The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR (China) E-mail: chzlin@ust.hk
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202202349
- © 2022 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

X-ray crystallographic studies. The reaction displays a broad substrate scope including unsymmetrical diynes to generate products in high yield (up to 95%). Experimental and theoretical studies suggest that phosphine attack on the alkyne is a key process in the catalytic cycle.

terized by their rod-like structure with extended π conjugation^[5] and are important precursors in the synthesis of various natural products,^[6] biologically active molecules,^[7] polymers,^[8] and supramolecular systems.^[9] Selective borylation of 1,3-diynes provides access to organoboron transformations^[10] and facile medicinal chemistry derivations, for example, to generate enediynes, which are a class of antibiotic and anti-cancer agents.^[5b,11]

However, methods for borylating 1,3-diynes are scarce^[12] and protocols often use metal catalysts.^[5b,13] In 2015, Yun and co-workers developed a method for the cis hydroboration of diynes, placing a boryl group on the external carbon using CuCl as the catalyst (Scheme 1a).^[14] Interestingly, hydroboration with HBpin catalyzed by Co(acac)₂ in the presence of xantphos or dppf afforded borylated enynes wherein boron added to the internal or external carbon of the 1,3-diyne unit, respectively (Scheme 1b).^[15] In both cases, the isolated products were derived from cis addition. In 2020, Walkowiak et al. reported a ruthenium-based method for transforming 1,3-diynes to cis borylated enynes in which boron was installed at the internal carbon (Scheme 1c).^[16] However, this method is restricted to aryl-substituted 1,3-diynes. Recently, a trans hydroboration process was achieved using an N-heterocyclic carbene borane adduct^[17] via radical borylation with an initiator (ACCN, 1,1azobis(cyclohexane-1-carbonitrile)) (Scheme 1d). However, this method is limited to alkyl substrates. Previous work from our groups has established a platinum catalyzed diboration of diynes^[18] as well as a phosphine catalyzed *trans* hydroboration of alkynoic acid derivatives^[19] and propiolonitriles.^[20] Because of the limitations of existing methods and our interest in the trans borylation of substituted alkynes, our laboratories focused on an organocatalytic process for hydroboration of 1,3-diynes. In





Scheme 1. Strategies for hydroboration of 1,3-diynes.

this report, we disclose a method for the borylation of 1,3diynes with pinacol borane using tri-*n*-butyl phosphine (*n*-Bu₃P) as the catalyst to synthesize previously elusive (*E*)-1-boryl-1,3enynes. Notably, the boron moiety is installed on the external carbon of the 1,3-diyne framework in a *trans* fashion.

Results and Discussion

We initiated our studies with diphenyl butadiyne (**1a**) as a model substrate (Table 1). When the reaction was performed with pinacol borane (H-Bpin, 2.0 equiv) in toluene at 100 °C, no product was formed (entry 1). While the addition of a catalytic amount of *n*-Bu₃P at room temperature resulted in a trace amount of **2a**, heating to 70 °C afforded 80% conversion (entries 2–3). Further increasing the temperature to 100 °C significantly improved the conversion and *E/Z* selectivity to 98:2 (entry 4). To assign the stereochemistry, **2a** was protodeborylated with AgF^[21] to give enyne **3a** wherein the coupling constant of 16.2 Hz from the alkene protons is consistent with an *E* double bond geometry. The conversion to the corresponding *Z* isomer from (*Z*)-**2a** was also consistent with literature data.^[14] Furthermore, the GC/MS retention times compared from authentic samples also confirm *E* and *Z* isomers (see

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions. ^[a]									
PhPh solv		H-Bpin catalyst rent, temp 2 h	H Ph Bpin (<i>E</i>)-2a		Ph Ph (Z)-2a				
Entry	H-Bpin (equiv)	Catalyst (0.1 equiv)	Solvent	Temp (°C)	Conversion (<i>E/Z</i>) ^[b]				
1	2	-	toluene	100	trace				
2	2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	toluene	rt	trace				
3	2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	toluene	70	80 (94:6)				
4	2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	toluene	100	100 (98:2)				
5	2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	THF	70	40 (90:10)				
6	2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	MeCN	70	100 (86:14)				
7	2	PPh ₃	toluene	100	82 (98:2)				
8	2	PCy ₃	toluene	100	4 (66:34)				
9	2	PMe₃	toluene	100	7 (77:23)				
10	1.2	<i>n-</i> Bu₃P	toluene	100	100 (97:3)				
11	1.0	<i>n-</i> Bu₃P	toluene	100	77 (97:3)				
12 ^[c]	1.2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	toluene	100	100 (97:3)				
13 ^[c]	1.2	-	toluene	100	trace				
14 ^[d]	1.2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	toluene	100	93 (97:3)				
15 ^[e]	1.2	<i>n</i> -Bu₃P	toluene	100	100 (95:5)				
16 ^[f]	1.2	<i>n-</i> Bu₃P	toluene	100	65 (97:3)				
17 ^[g]	2	PPh_3	toluene	100	trace				
^[a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), catalyst (0.01 mmol), HBpin (0.12 mmol), solvent (0.5 mL, 0.2 M), 2 h. ^[b] Based on GC-MS analysis.									

(0.12 mmol), solvent (0.5 mL, 0.2 M), 2 h. ^(b) Based on GC-MS analysis. ^(c) With BH₃. ^(d) 0.1 equiv of TMEDA.^(e) 1.0 equiv of *n*-Bu₃P. ^(f) 0.05 equiv of *n*-Bu₃P. ^(g) *Para*-methoxy substrate **1 g** instead of **1 a**.

Supporting Information). With the stereochemistry assigned, we continued our optimization with various solvents. Changing the solvent to THF or acetonitrile reduced the conversion or stereoselectivity (entries 5-6). We then investigated the effect of catalyst. Among the phosphine catalysts screened, PPh₃ slightly decreased conversion while PMe₃ and PCy₃ decreased the yield and stereoselectivity (entries 7-9). Optimization of the amount of HBpin indicated that 1.2 equivalents is sufficient for complete conversion (entries 10–11). As adventitious in situ borane^[22] (BH₃) can be generated from 'hidden boron catalysis',^[23] we performed the reaction in the presence of BH₃ (from a commercial source) both with (entry 12) and without the phosphine catalyst (entry 13). Furthermore, we ran the reaction with TMEDA (used to quench BH₃) (entry 14).^[23] There was only trace product formation without the phosphine catalyst (entry 13), and the reaction proceeded to 97% product formation even in the presence of TMEDA, therefore suggesting that in situ generated BH₃ does not catalyze the reaction. To understand the effect of additive amount on the reaction outcome, we performed the reaction with 1.0 equiv of $n-Bu_3P$ and observed 100% conversion with a slight reduction in E/Zselectivity (entry 15). Decreasing the amount of $n-Bu_3P$ to 0.05 equiv reduced the conversion of 1a to the products (entry 16). Finally, because PPh3 was an efficient catalyst (entry 7) and potentially a more accessible reagent, we used a more challenging substrate (p-methoxy-substituted 1g) and observed trace product formation (entry 17). Therefore, we proceeded with *n*-Bu₃P as the optimal catalyst and entry 10 as our optimized set of reaction conditions.

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we surveyed the scope and limitation of the reaction. We first investigated



electron donating groups on the aryl ring (Table 2). With model substrate 1 a, the corresponding enyne borylated product 2 a was isolated in 78% yield, 97:3 (E/Z) selectivity, and no detected internal borylation product. Fortunately, increasing the scale of the reaction to 2 mmol had no effect on selectivity and the product was isolated in 62% yield. Introduction of a methyl group at the ortho-, meta-, or para-position of the aryl ring (2b-2d) resulted in good yields and selectivity. Increasing the steric bulk from a propyl to a tert-butyl group likewise afforded 2e and 2f, respectively, in good yields and selectivity. Interestingly, when a methoxy group is placed closer to the reaction center (i.e., *para→meta→ortho*, **2g-2i**), a corresponding decrease in E/Z selectivity was observed, suggesting the sensitivity of the reaction to steric effects.^[19b] Other electron donating groups such as thioether 1k and amine 1l served as efficient substrates affording the products 2k-2l in good yields and excellent E/Z selectivity. For substrates 1g, 1j, 1k, and 1l, we observed a decrease in 2:2' regioselectivity. Fortunately, further optimization revealed acetonitrile to be an efficient solvent. Phenyl substitution (2m) at the 4-position was tolerated whereas a larger naphthyl group (2n) slightly decreased the E/Z selectivity while maintaining excellent regioselectivity for the external carbon of the 1,3-diyne framework. We next investigated the effect of electron-withdrawing substituents on the aryl ring. For these substrates, the borylation reaction proceeded rapidly and conversion of the

Table 2. Substrate scope. ^[a]									
	R H-Bpin (7 R toluene, 16	1 equiv) 1.2 equiv) 100 °C h	H Ar Bpin 2	Bpin Ar 2'					
Compound	R	Yield (%) ^[b]	2 (<i>E</i> / <i>Z</i>) ^[c]	2:2′					
2a	4-H	78	97:3	>99:1					
	(2 mmol scale)	62	97:3	>99:1					
2b	4-CH₃	66	92:8	>99:1					
2c	3-CH₃	71	91:9	>99:1					
2d	2-CH₃	59	86:14	>99:1					
2e	4- <i>n</i> -propyl	66	90:10	>99:1					
2f	4- <i>t</i> -butyl	50	90:10	>99:1					
2 g ^[d]	4-OCH ₃	65	>99:1	>99:1					
2h	3-OCH₃	65	90:10	>99:1					
2i	2-OCH ₃	70	75:25	>99:1					
2 j ^[d]	4-OCH ₂ CH ₃	78	>99:1	98:2					
2 k ^[d]	4-SCH ₃	63	>99:1	>99:1					
21 ^[d]	4-N(CH ₃) ₂	50	>99:1	>99:1					
2 m	4-Ph	59	>99:1	97:3					
2 n ^[e]	2-naphthyl	73	87:13	>99:1					
20	4-C(O)CH ₃	48	80:20	>99:1					
2p	$4-CO_2CH_3$	53	90:10	>99:1					
2q	4-CF ₃	84	89:11	>99:1					
2r	4-OCF ₃	95	90:10	>99:1					
2 s	4-F	68	90:10	>99:1					
2t	2-Cl	65	67:33	>99:1					
2 u	3-Cl	61	90:10	>99:1					
2 v	4-Cl	57	84:16	>99:1					
2 w	4-Br	62	60:40	>99:1					
2 x	3-thiophenyl	63	92:8	>99:1					
2у	2-pyridyl	47	>99:1	>99:1					
^[a] Reaction conditions: 1 (0.25 mmol), n -Bu ₃ P (0.025 mmol), HBpin (0.3 mmol), solvent, 16 h, 100 °C. ^[b] Isolated yields. ^[c] E/Z ratios based on GC-MS. ^[d] MeCN as solvent. ^[e] 3 h and E/Z ratio based on ¹ H NMR.									

starting material was complete within 1 h. In general, there is a slight decrease in E/Z selectivity while the overall yields remained consistent, and exclusive formation of the external borylation product 2 was observed. For example, acetophenone derivative 20 and methylester 2p were afforded in approximately 50% yield and 80-90% preference for the E-isomer. Fluorine-containing substrates such as trifluoromethyl (2q), trifluoromethoxy (2r), and fluoro (2s) were produced in good to excellent yields and 90:10 E/Z selectivity. Decoration of the phenyl ring with chlorine at various positions (2t-2v) confirmed the sensitivity of the reaction to steric effects as the ortho-chloro substituted product 2t had 67:33 E/Z selectivity. Among the halogens, 4-bromo derivative 2w gave the lowest selectivity and we encountered difficulty in separating isomers. 1,3-Diynes substituted with heterocycles, such as thiophene (2x) and pyridine (2y), were tolerated with yields of 63% and 47%, respectively, and up to > 99 *E* selectivity.

To assign unambiguously the structure of the product enyne boronates, we attempted to cystallize several compounds for single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Fortunately, compounds bearing electron donating methoxy (**2g**) and ethoxy (**2j**) as well as electron withdrawing trifluoromethyl (**2q**) groups yielded crystals suitable for analysis. As shown in Figure 1, the Bpin moiety was installed on the external carbon of the 1,3-diyne framework with the alkynyl carbon positioned in a *cis* fashion relative to boron.

The utility of the new protocol prompted us to investigate the efficiency of the reaction conditions with alkyl substituted substrates (Scheme 2). While decyl (1 z), cyclopentyl (1 aa) and cyclopropyl (1 ab) diynes were converted to the corresponding enyne boronates 2z and 2aa-2ab, the yields were moderate and selectivity was poor. These substrates suffered from low conversion and the presence of unreacted starting material as well as the Z-isomer led to difficulties in purification. However, with the highly conjugated dicylohexenyldiyne 1 ac, E/Zselectivity proved to be excellent with a yield of 45% of 2ac, presumably because the alkenyl substituents provided similar electronic effects to those of aryl rings. Furthermore, we examined the regioselectivity of hydroboration with an unsymmetrical substrate bearing donor and acceptor moieties (Scheme 3). Thus, treatment of 1 ad, a substrate bearing dimethylamino and methyl ester moieties on opposite ends of the diyne scaffold, afforded 2ad in 73% yield with 95:5 E/Z selectivity. The regiochemistry observed in the product, which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography, indicates that the reaction occurred on the external alkyne nearest the donor



Figure 1. Molecular structures of enyne boronates 2g, 2j, and 2q.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202202349 (3 of 7)

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$ 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH





Scheme 2. Substrate scope. Reaction conditions: **1** (0.25 mmol), n-Bu₃P (0.025 mmol), HBpin (0.3 mmol), solvent, 16 h, 100 °C. ^[a] 24 h. Isolated yields are reported and *E/Z* ratios based on GC-MS.



Scheme 3. Substrate scope. Reaction conditions: **1** (0.25 mmol), n-Bu₃P (0.025 mmol), HBpin (0.3 mmol), solvent, 16 h, 100 °C. ^[a] *E/Z* ratio based on ¹H NMR. Isolated yields are reported and *E/Z* ratios based on GC-MS.

amine group. Finally, we investigated a fluorometric and Raman probe **1ae**, a compound bearing 2 boron units used for simultaneous and selective sensing of various DNA, RNA, and proteins,^[5e] and **2ae** was afforded in 66% yield with excellent selectivity.

To demonstrate utility of the reaction, we performed several boronate transformations (Scheme 4). Thus, treatment of **2a** with AgF and H₂O generated enyne **3a** in 81% yield with retention of the *trans* alkene geometry.^[21,24] Swapping of the boron substituents by reaction with KHF₂ proceeded to afford the shelf-stable enyne potassium trifluoroborate **3b** in 52% yield.^[25] In the presence of Pd(dppf)Cl₂ and iodobenzene, **2a** underwent a Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction to afford **3c** in 57% yield.^[26] Alternatively, when **2a** was treated with Pd(PPh₃)₄ and 1-iodohex-1-yne, a Csp²-Csp cross-coupling occurred to generate *cis*-enediyne **3d** in 45% yield.^[5a] Enediynes



Scheme 4. Synthetic transformation of enyne boronates.

are priviledged scaffolds in the pharmaceutical industry with applications as potent antibiotic and anticancer agents.^[27] Isotopic labeling to form deuterated enynes can also be affected by exchanging the Bpin moiety with deuterium. Thus, treatment of **2q** with d_4 -methanol at elevated temperature produced deutero-enyne **3e** in 87% yield.

To gain insight into the catalytic cycle, we carried out density functional theory (DFT) calculations (see Supporting Information for details). The energy profile calculated for the reaction mechanism (Scheme 5) is illustrated in Scheme 6. Following previous reports on alkynoates,^[19a] we deduced that, in the first step of the reaction, the trialkyl phosphine adds to the external diyne carbon forming zwitterionic intermediate **B** (Scheme 6). **B** then reacts with HBpin to form adduct **C** that can undergo a 1,2-hydride shift via transition state TS_{C-D} to form intermediate **D**.^[28] The electron deficient boron can complex to



Scheme 5. Proposed catalytic cycle.

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202202349 (4 of 7)





Scheme 6. DFT calculations at the M06-2x/6-31(d,p) level of theory. (A) Pathway leading to key intermediate D. (B) Pathway that differentiates *trans* versus *cis* hydroboration products. Energy profiles were calculated for the phosphine-catalyzed *trans* hydroboration of diyne 1 a on the basis of the catalytic cycle shown in Scheme 5. Relative free energies and electronic energies (in parentheses) are given in kcal/mol. The black colored pathway is for the *trans* product and blue is for the *cis* product.

the neighboring carbanion (TS_{D-E}) to form borirane intermediate E (pathway 1). The selectivity of the reaction comes into play in the next step. Intermediate E, through transition state TS_{E-F}, in which boron is on the external carbon, undergoes bond rotation in a counter-clockwise direction forming the trans hydroborated product with the elimination of the phosphine catalyst. Alternatively, intermediate E can form product F' via cis hydroboration (marked in blue). $TS_{\text{E-F}^\prime}$ (7.8 kcal/mol) and $TS_{\text{E-F}}$ (5.0 kcal/mol) have an energy difference of 2.8 kcal/mol, thus, favoring the formation of the trans product. In pathway 2, intermediate **D** is prone to bond rotation (TS_{D-D1}) to form intermediate D1. Again, boron can coordinate with the carbanion via TS_{D1-E1} to form borirane E1. Clockwise bond rotation and elimination of PMe₃ (TS_{E1-F}) can form the trans hydroborated product while counter-clockwise bond rotation can form the cis product (TS_{E1-F'}). Pathway 1 is favored due to the energy difference of 5.8 kcal/mol between TS_{E1-F'} (10.8 kcal/ mol) and TS_{E-F} (5.0 kcal/mol).

The highest energy points on the calculated reaction profile are TS_{A-B} and TS_{C-D} . Experimentally, substrates with electron withdrawing groups on the aryl ring reacted faster than those with electron donating ones, and alkyl-substituted diynes also reacted more slowly. These observations are consistent with TS_{A-B} being stabilized by an electron withdrawing group, consistent with delocalization of negative charge along the π -system. We calculated the energies of the four possible intermediates (**B**₁-**B**₄) in the hydroboration reaction of the donor-acceptor substituted substrate **1** ad resulting from phosphine attack (Scheme 7). Phosphine attack on the external carbon proximal to the donor group results in lowest energy isomer **B**₄, which is an adduct that is most effective at delocalizing the negative charge, and is consistent with the regioselectivity observed experimentally (Scheme 3).

We conducted several experiments in toluene- d_8 using *in situ* NMR and mass spectroscopy. First, under standard conditions without phosphine catalyst, *p*-CF₃-diphenyl diyne **1 q** did not undergo hydroboration as expected (Supporting Information Figure VII_1–3). Second, no redistribution reaction or adduct formation was observed when HBpin was added to the *n*-Bu₃P catalyst, in contrast to previous observations with HBcat (Supporting Information Figure VII_4–6).^[22] Third, reaction of diyne **1 q** with *n*-Bu₃P for 3 days at r.t. led to a color change from yellow to black, together with a number of new signals, the major one being at 17 ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectra (Figure 2), and at –60 ppm in the ¹⁹F NMR spectra (Supporting Information Figure VII_7). We compared the ³¹P NMR signals



Scheme 7. The relative stability of the four different isomers was calculated for the phosphine adduct of the unsymmetrical diyne **1** ad. Relative free energies and electronic energies (in parentheses) are given in kcal/mol.



Figure 2. ³¹P{¹H} (121 MHz, scale in ppm) spectra of: (top) *n*-Bu₃P and (bottom) *n*-Bu₃P (0.1 mmol) and 1q (0.1 mmol) in toluene-d₈ after 3 days at rt.

with a tributyl(methyl)phosphonium salt (as an example of a formal P(V) cation) (peak at 31.4 ppm, Supporting Information Figure VII_8) to n-Bu₃P (-32.3 ppm). The significant and relatively similar downfield shifts of the signals from the reaction of 1q with n-Bu₃P and the vinyl phosphonium ion suggest that the new signals may belong to a zwitterionic adduct with a positive charge at the P-atom, such as B. We also confirmed the formation of a phosphine-diyne adduct, along with further adducts of varying number of phosphines and diynes 1g by in situ LIFDI-HRMS (Figure 3). Furthermore, the Mulliken charges of intermediate B, determined by DFT calculations, support the zwitterionic nature of the adduct (Figure Supporting Information_VIII). The P-atom has a signficant charge of +0.6 while the negative charge is delocalized onto the π -system. Fourth, reaction of **1 q** with HBpin, using $O=P(nBu)_3$ instead of *n*-Bu₃P as the catalyst (Supporting Information Figure VII_10) also formed product 2 g, but much more slowly. In this case, some of the phosphine oxide is



Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Figure 3. LIFDI-HRMS (m/z) of n-Bu₃P (0.1 mmol) and **1 q** (0.1 mmol) *in* toluene-d₈ after 3 days at rt. The mass of several adducts of the substrates are marked with the calculated values in parentheses.

reduced by HBpin *in situ* generating n-Bu₃P, which then catalyzes the reaction (Supporting Information VII_11). Taken together, the experimental evidence supports the catalytic cycle in Scheme 5.

Conclusion

We developed a transition metal-free method for the regio- and stereoselective *trans* hydroboration of 1,3-diynes via phosphine catalysis. Interestingly, the boron moiety was installed on the external carbon of diyne framework. The reaction is compatible with a variety of substrates, including aryl, alkyl, heterocycles as well as symmetric and asymmetric 1,3-diynes. The (*E*)-1-boryl-1,3-enynes were successfully transformed into other products including enediynes, which have potential in medicinal chemistry. Mechanistic and computational studies provided insight into the plausible mechanism for the reaction.

Experimental Section

In a flame-dried 2 dram vial, diyne **1a** (50 mg, 0.25 mmol) was purged with argon, and toluene (1 mL) was added. Then, HBpin (44 μ L, 0.3 mmol) and *n*-Bu₃P (6 μ L, 0.025 mmol) were added. The vial was heated to 100 °C and stirred for 2 h. After completion of the reaction as followed by TLC, it was directly loaded onto silica and purified using a CombiFlash chromatography system (2.5% EtOAc/hexane) to yield **2a** as a transparent liquid (65 mg, 78% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49–7.42 (m, 4H), 7.36–7.31 (m, 6H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 1.39 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.8, 131.6, 128.6, 128.4, 128.4, 127.9, 126.9, 123.8, 119.6, 94.4, 89.4, 84.4, 25.1. ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.7. HRMS: m/z calcd for C₂₂H₂₄BO₂ [M+H]⁺ 331.1868; Found: 331.1870.

Crystal Structures

Deposition Numbers 2150970 (for 2 g), 2150972 (for 2 j), 2150971 (for 2 q), and 2150973 (for 2 ad) contain the supplementary

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Foundation (CHE-1726077), the Reseach Grants Council of Hong Kong (HKUST16300021) and the Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg for support. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords: enediyne • enyne • hydroboration • organocatalytic • stereoselective

- [1] D. W. C. MacMillan, Nature 2008, 455, 304-308.
- [2] a) J. Carreras, A. Caballero, P. J. Pérez, Chem. Asian J. 2019, 14, 329–343;
 b) J. Pyziak, J. Walkowiak, B. Marciniec, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 3502–3541;
 c) J. W. B. Fyfe, A. J. B. Watson, Chem. 2017, 3, 31–55;
 d) G. A. Molander, L. A. Felix, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3950–3956;
 e) N. Miyaura, K. Yamada, A. Suzuki, Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 20, 3437–3440;
 f) D. A. Evans, J. L. Katz, T. R. West, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2937–2940.
- [3] a) A. Suzuki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6722–6737; Angew. Chem.
 2011,123, 6855–6869; b) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457–2483.
- [4] R. E. Shade, A. M. Hyde, J. C. Olsen, C. A. Merlic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1202–1203.
- [5] a) K. Li, S.-H. Yu, K.-F. Zhuo, X. Lu, B. Xiao, T.-J. Gong, Y. Fu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 3937–3942; b) J. T. Han, J. Yun, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 2104–2107; c) J. Roncali, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 173–206; d) M. B. Nielsen, F. Diederich, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1837–1868; e) H. Amini, Ž. Ban, M. Ferger, S. Lorenzen, F. Rauch, A. Friedrich, I. Crnolatac, A. Kenđel, S. Miljanić, I. Piantanida, T. B. Marder, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 6017–6028.
- [6] B. M. Trost, V. S. Chan, D. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 5186–5192.
- [7] P. Turek, M. Kotora, I. Tišlerová, M. Hocek, I. Votruba, I. Císařová, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 9224–9233.
- [8] a) B. Song, B. He, A. Qin, B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules* 2018, *51*, 42–48;
 b) J. Liu, J. W. Y. Lam, B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Rev.* 2009, *109*, 5799–5867.

- [9] a) J. S. Moore, Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 402–413; b) D. Zhao, J. S. Moore, Chem. Commun. 2003, 807–818.
- [10] a) Q. Dherbassy, S. Manna, F. J. T. Talbot, W. Prasitwatcharakorn, G. J. P. Perry, D. J. Procter, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 11380–11393; b) S. K. Bose, L. Mao, L. Kuehn, U. Radius, J. Nekvinda, W. L. Santos, S. A. Westcott, P. G. Steel, T. B. Marder, *Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *121*, 13238–13341; c) D. Hemming, R. Fritzemeier, S. A. Westcott, W. L. Santos, P. G. Steel, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 7477–7494; d) C. Grave, A. D. Schlüter, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *2002*, 3075–3098.
- [11] a) B. Shen, Hindra, X. Yan, T. Huang, H. Ge, D. Yang, Q. Teng, J. D. Rudolf, J. R. Lohman, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *25*, 9–15; b) U. Galm, M. H. Hager, S. G. Van Lanen, J. Ju, J. S. Thorson, B. Shen, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 739–758.
- [12] a) G. Zweifel, N. L. Polston, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 4068–4071;
 b) A. J. Warner, K. M. Enright, J. M. Cole, K. Yuan, J. S. McGough, M. J. Ingleson, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 5520–5525.
- [13] a) P. Knochel, A. Kremsmair, *Synfacts* **2020**, *16*, 0060; b) Y. Sasaki, Y. Horita, C. Zhong, M. Sawamura, H. Ito, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2778–2782; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 2830–2834.
- [14] D. Li, Y. E. Kim, J. Yun, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 860–863.
- [15] H. L. Sang, C. Wu, G. G. D. Phua, S. Ge, *ACS Catal.* 2019, *9*, 10109–10114.
 [16] T. Sokolnicki, J. Szyling, A. Franczyk, J. Walkowiak, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2020, *362*, 177–183.
- [17] K. Takahashi, S. J. Geib, K. Maeda, D. P. Curran, T. Taniguchi, *Org. Lett.* 2021, 23, 1071–1075.
- [18] G. Lesley, P. Nguyen, N. J. Taylor, T. B. Marder, A. J. Scott, W. Clegg, N. C. Norman, Organometallics 1996, 15, 5137–5154.
- [19] a) R. Fritzemeier, A. Gates, X. Guo, Z. Lin, W. L. Santos, J. Org. Chem.
 2018, 83, 10436–10444; b) R. G. Fritzemeier, J. Nekvinda, C. M. Vogels, C. A. Rosenblum, C. Slebodnick, S. A. Westcott, W. L. Santos, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 14358–14362; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 14464–14468; c) K. Nagao, A. Yamazaki, H. Ohmiya, M. Sawamura, Org. Lett.
 2018, 20, 1861–1865; d) Y. Zi, F. Schömberg, F. Seifert, H. Görls, I. Vilotijevic, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 6341–6349.
- [20] J. Bowen, C. Slebodnick, W. L. Santos, Chem. Commun. 2022, 58, 5984– 5987.
- [21] B. Sundararaju, A. Fürstner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14050– 14054; Angew. Chem. 2013,125, 14300–14304.
- [22] S. A. Westcott, H. P. Blom, T. B. Marder, R. T. Baker, J. C. Calabrese, *Inorg. Chem.* 1993, 32, 2175–2182.
- [23] A. D. Bage, K. Nicholson, T. A. Hunt, T. Langer, S. P. Thomas, ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 13479–13486.
- [24] Y. Wen, A. Wang, H. Jiang, S. Zhu, L. Huang, Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 5736–5739.
- [25] R. A. Batey, T. D. Quach, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 9099-9103.
- [26] Y. Liu, Z. Zhong, K. Nakajima, T. Takahashi, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7451– 7456.
- [27] a) A. L. Smith, K. C. Nicolaou, J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2103–2117; b) K. C. Nicolaou, W. M. Dai, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1991, 30, 1387–1416; Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 1453–1481; c) M. C. Joshi, D. S. Rawat, Chem. Biodiversity 2012, 9, 459–498.
- [28] J. Yu, G. Kehr, C. G. Daniliuc, C. Bannwarth, S. Grimme, G. Erker, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 5783–5792.

Manuscript received: July 28, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: August 2, 2022 Version of record online: September 1, 2022