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#### Abstract

For a graph $\Gamma$, let $K$ be the smallest field containing all eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma$. The algebraic degree $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)$ is the extension degree $[K: \mathbb{Q}]$. In this paper, we completely determine the algebraic degrees of Cayley graphs over abelian groups and dihedral groups.
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## 1 Introduction

The algebraic degree of a graph was defined in [10] in order to generalize the concept of integral graphs. The spectrum of a graph $\Gamma$ is defined as the multiset of eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma$. In particular, those eigenvalues are the roots of the monic characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix associated with $\Gamma$. Therefore, every eigenvalue of $\Gamma$ is an algebraic integer in some algebraic extension $K$ of the rationals, where $K$ is called the splitting field of $\Gamma$. The algebraic degree $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)$ is defined as the degree $[K: \mathbb{Q}]$. In particular, $\Gamma$ is called integral if $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=1$.

The Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is defined as the graph with vertex set $G$, where $G$ denotes a finite group and $S \subseteq G$, and edges from $g \in G$ to $h \in G$ whenever $g h^{-1} \in S$. Note that Cay $(G, S)$ is an undirected graph if and only if $S=S^{-1}$, and has loops if and only if $e \in S$. If $S \neq S^{-1}$, then $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is also called Cayley digraph.

[^0]In [8] and [9], Mönius precisely determined the algebraic degree of circulant digraphs, i.e. Cayley digraphs over cyclic groups. Moreover, integral Cayley graphs were studied intensively by several authors, e.g. Lu [7], Klotz and Sander [5, 6] and Ahmady et al. [1].

In this paper, we completely determine the splitting fields of Cayley graphs over abelian and dihedral groups. In particular, we precisely compute the algebraic degree of Cayley graphs and digraphs over abelian groups. We also give an upper bound for the algebraic degree of Cayley graphs and digraphs over dihedral groups, as well as a lower bound for the algebraic degree of Cayley graphs over dihedral groups.

## 2 Cayley graphs and digraphs over abelian groups

Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$ and let $S \subseteq G$ be a subset of $G$. Denote by $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ the respective Cayley (di)graph, and let $K$ be the splitting field of $\Gamma$, i.e. the minimum field containing all eigenvalues of $\Gamma$. Without loss of generality, assume that $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}}$, where $n=n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{r}$. Therefore, each element $g \in G$ can be expressed as $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{r}\right)$. For a positive integer $m$, denote by $\zeta_{m}=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} / m}$ the primitive $m$-th root of unity, where $\mathbf{i}=\sqrt{-1}$. The eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ were obtained by Babai [3]:
Lemma 1 ([3]) The eigenvalues $\lambda_{g}$ of $\Gamma$ are given by $\lambda_{g}=\sum_{s \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \zeta_{n_{i}}^{g_{i} s_{i}}$, for $g \in G$.

It is clear that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$. Let $\eta: \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ be the isomorphism defined by $\eta(\sigma)=k$ for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ is the integer such that $\sigma\left(\zeta_{n}\right)=\zeta_{n}^{k}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ act on $G$ by $a g=a\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{r}\right)=$ $\left(a g_{1}, a g_{2}, \ldots, a g_{r}\right)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ and $g \in G$. This leads to $\sigma\left(\zeta_{n_{i}}^{k}\right)=\sigma\left(\zeta_{n}^{k n / n_{i}}\right)=$ $\zeta_{n}^{\eta(\sigma) k n / n_{i}}=\zeta_{n_{i}}^{\eta(\sigma) k}$. Therefore, for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\lambda_{g}\right)=\sigma\left(\sum_{s \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \zeta_{n_{i}}^{g_{i} s_{i}}\right)=\sum_{s \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \sigma\left(\zeta_{n_{i}}^{g_{i} s_{i}}\right)=\sum_{s \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \zeta_{n_{i}}^{\eta(\sigma) g_{i} s_{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S=\left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \mid s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_{i}}\right\}$. We say that a subgroup $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ is fixing $S$ if and only if $h S=\left\{\left(h s_{1} \bmod n_{1}, \ldots, h s_{r} \bmod n_{r}\right) \mid s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_{i}}\right\}=S$ for all $h \in H$.

Subsequently, let $H=\eta\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / K\right)\right)$. According to (1), Li [4] showed the following result:

Lemma 2 ([4]) For all $g \in G$, the eigenvalue $\lambda_{g}$ is contained in $K$ if and only if $S$ is a union of some orbits $H x$ for $x \in G$.

Note that $\varphi(n)=\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right): K\right][K: \mathbb{Q}]$. From Lemma 2, we immediately get the following result:
Theorem 1 Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{h \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*} \mid h S=S\right\}$ be the largest subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ fixing $S$. Then, the splitting field of $\Gamma$ is given by

$$
K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) \mid \sigma x=x, \forall \sigma \in \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})\right\} .
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}=H$ and the algebraic degree of $\Gamma$ is

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=\frac{\varphi(n)}{|H|} .
$$

Proof Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a subgroup fixing $S$, we see that $S$ is a union of some orbits and, therefore, by Lemma 2, all eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ belong to $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})}$. Now, let $L$ be a field containing all eigenvalues of $\Gamma$, then, again by Lemma $2, S$ is a union of some orbits $\eta\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / L\right) x\right.$ for $x \in G$. This means that $\eta\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / L\right)\right.$ fixes $S$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is the largest subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ fixing $S$, we have that $\eta\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / L\right) \leq \mathcal{H}\right.$ and, thus, $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})} \subseteq L$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})}$ must be the smallest field containing all eigenvalues of $\Gamma$, i.e. $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})}$ and $\mathcal{H}=H$.

Example 1 (Integral Cayley graph over abelian group) Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $S=$ $\{(0,1),(1,0),(0,-1)\}$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{8}^{*}=\{1,3,-3,-1\}$, and

$$
3 S=-3 S=\{(0,-1),(1,0),(0,1)\}=S=-S
$$

Therefore, $H=\mathbb{Z}_{8}^{*}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=1$, i.e., $\Gamma$ is integral. In fact, the spectrum of $\Gamma$ is $\left\{ \pm 3,[ \pm 1]^{3}\right\}$.

Example 2 (Cayley graph over abelian group of algebraic degree 2) Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ and $S=\{(1,1),(-1,-1),(0,1),(0,-1)\}$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{24}^{*}=\{1,5,7,11,-11,-7$, $-5,-1\}$, and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 S=-5 S=7 S=-7 S=\{(1,-1),(-1,1),(0,-1),(0,1)\} \neq S \\
11 S=-11 S=\{(-1,-1),(1,1),(0,1),(0,-1)\}=S=-S
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, $H=\{1,11,-11,-1\}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=2$. In fact, the spectrum of $\Gamma$ is

$$
\left\{ \pm 4,[ \pm 2]^{4},[ \pm 1 \pm \sqrt{3}]^{2},[0]^{6}\right\}
$$

Example 3 (Cayley digraph over abelian group of algebraic degree 4) Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ and $S=\{(1,1),(0,1),(0,-1)\}$. We observe that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-7 S=5 S=\{(1,-1),(0,-1),(0,1)\} \neq S, \\
7 S=-5 S=\{(-1,1),(0,1),(0,-1)\} \neq S, \\
11 S=\{(-1,-1),(0,-1),(0,1)\} \neq S \\
-11 S=\{(1,1),(0,1),(0,-1)\}=S
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, $H=\{1,-1\}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=4$.
In [9], Mönius solved the Inverse Galois problem for circulant graphs showing that every finite abelian extension of the rationals is the splitting field of some circulant graph. A similar result can be obtained for (non-circulant) Cayley graphs over abelian
groups: Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}}$ be a non-cyclic abelian group, i.e. $n=$ $n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{r}$ where each $n_{i}$ is a prime power. For any subgroup $H$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$, let

$$
S=\left(H \bmod n_{1}\right) \times\left(H \bmod n_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(H \bmod n_{r}\right)
$$

for $\left(H \bmod n_{i}\right)=\left\{h \bmod n_{i} \mid h \in H\right\}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Then, $H$ is the largest subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ fixing $S$ and, therefore, the splitting field of $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ equals $K=$ $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(H)}$. Together with the well-known Kronecker-Weber theorem, we get the following result.

Corollary 1 (Inverse Galois problem for Cayley graphs over abelian groups) Every finite abelian extension $K$ of the rationals (of order $n$ ) is the splitting field of some Cayley graph over an abelian group. In particular, if $n$ has at least one prime divisor of order $\geq 2$, then there is a non-circulant Cayley graph over an abelian group with splitting field $K$.

## 3 Cayley graphs over dihedral groups

In this section, we restrict our considerations to Cayley graphs over dihedral groups, i.e. we always assume that $G=D_{n}=C_{n} \rtimes C_{2}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{n}=b^{2}=1, b a b=a^{-1}\right\rangle$ and $S \subset G$ is a subset with $e \notin S$ and $S=S^{-1}$. Let $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, where $S_{1} \subseteq\langle a\rangle$ and $S_{2} \subseteq b\langle a\rangle$, and $I_{1}=\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n} \mid a^{i} \in S_{1}\right\}$. It is clear that $I_{1}=-I_{1}$ since $S=S^{-1}$. Moreover, let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ denote the respective Cayley graph and let $K$ be the minimum field containing all eigenvalues of $\Gamma$. Let $\chi_{l}$ be the irreducible characters of $D_{n}$ of degree 2 for $1 \leq l \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, where $\chi_{l}\left(a^{k}\right)=2 \cos \frac{2 \pi l k}{n}$ and $\chi_{l}\left(b a^{k}\right)=0$. For a subset $A \subseteq G$, let $\chi_{l}(A)=\sum_{x \in A} \chi_{l}(x)$ and $\chi_{l}\left(A^{2}\right)=\sum_{x, y \in A} \chi_{l}(x y)$. The eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ were obtained by Babai [3] and were restated by Lu [7].

Lemma 3 ([3, 7]) The eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ consist of some integers and the roots of

$$
f_{l}(x)=x^{2}-\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right) x+\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)+\chi_{l}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right),
$$

for $1 \leq l \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. In particular, all possibly non-integral eigenvalues are contained in the set

$$
\left\{\left.\frac{b_{l} \pm \sqrt{c_{l}}}{2} \right\rvert\, 1 \leq l \leq\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor\right\}
$$

where $b_{l}=\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $c_{l}=2\left(\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)+\chi_{l}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)\right)-\left(\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right)\right)^{2}$.
Since $I_{1}=-I_{1}$, it is clear that

$$
b_{l}=\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right)=\sum_{a^{s} \in S_{1}} 2 \cos \frac{2 \pi l s}{n}=2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{l i}
$$

and $b_{l}, c_{l} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$. Let $K_{0}$ be a field such that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$. Therefore, $\left.\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / K_{0}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$. Recall that $\eta$ is the isomorphism from $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ such that $\sigma\left(\zeta_{n}\right)=\zeta_{n}^{\eta(\sigma)}$. In what follows, we always assume that $H=\eta\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / K_{0}\right)\right)$. We first get the following result:

Lemma 4 If $b_{1}, c_{1} \in K_{0}$, then $b_{l}, c_{l} \in K_{0}$ for $1 \leq l \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Proof For $1 \leq l \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, let $\sigma_{l}: \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ be defined by $\sigma_{l}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)=\zeta_{n}^{l}$. It is clear that $\sigma_{l}$ is a homomorphism and $b_{l}=\sigma_{l}\left(b_{1}\right)$. Thus, for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / K_{0}\right)$, we have
$\sigma\left(b_{l}\right)=\sigma\left(\sigma_{l}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(\sigma_{l}\left(2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{i}\right)\right)=2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{\eta(\sigma) l i}=\sigma_{l}\left(\sigma\left(b_{1}\right)\right)=\sigma_{l}\left(b_{1}\right)=b_{l}$.
This leads to $b_{l} \in K_{0}$. Analogously, we also get $c_{l} \in K_{0}$.
For a subset $A \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$, denote by $\delta_{A}$ the characteristic vector of $A$, that is $\delta_{A} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n}$ with $\delta_{A}(i)=1$ if $i \in A$ and 0 otherwise.

Lemma 5 The number $b_{1}$ is an element of $K_{0}$ if and only if $I_{1}$ is a union of some orbits Hk for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

Proof To show the sufficiency, we only need to consider the case where $I_{1}$ is exactly one orbit. Suppose that $I_{1}=H k$. For any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) / K_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(b_{1}\right) & =\sigma\left(2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{i}\right)=\sigma\left(2 \sum_{h k \in H k} \zeta_{n}^{h k}\right) \\
& =2 \sum_{h k \in H k} \sigma\left(\zeta_{n}^{h k}\right)=2 \sum_{h k \in H k} \zeta_{n}^{\eta(\sigma) h k} \\
& =2 \sum_{h^{\prime} k \in \eta(\sigma) H k} \zeta_{n}^{h^{\prime} k}=2 \sum_{h^{\prime} k \in H k} \zeta_{n}^{h^{\prime} k} \\
& =2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{i}=b_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to $b_{1} \in K_{0}$.
Conversely, assume that $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{r}$ have the form $A_{i}=H k_{i}$ for some $k_{i} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Let $M$ be the $n \times n$ square matrix indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $(i, j)$-entry being $\zeta_{n}^{i j}$. It is clear that $M$ is non-singular. Let $V, W$ be vector spaces over $K_{0}$ defined by $V=\left\{v \in K_{0}^{n} \mid M v \in K_{0}^{n}\right\}$ and $W=\left\langle\delta_{A_{1}}, \ldots, \delta_{A_{r}}\right\rangle$, where $\left\langle\delta_{A_{1}}, \ldots, \delta_{A_{r}}\right\rangle$ denotes the span of the characteristic vectors $\delta_{A_{1}}, \ldots, \delta_{A_{r}}$ with $\delta_{A_{i}} \in K_{0}^{n}$. On the one hand, for any $v \in W$, we get $M v \in K_{0}^{n}$ by the same arguments as above, which leads to $W \subseteq V$. On the other hand, if $s, t \in A_{i}=H k_{i}$, then there exists $h \in H$ such that $t=h s$. Let $\sigma=\eta^{-1}(h)$, i.e. $\sigma\left(\zeta_{n}\right)=\zeta_{n}^{h}$, and $v \in V$. Since $\sigma \in K_{0}^{\eta^{-1}(H)}$, we have that $\sigma\left((M v)_{s}\right)=(M v)_{s}$ where $(M v)_{s}$ denotes the $s$-th entry of the vector $M v$. Moreover, we get

$$
(M v)_{s}=\sigma\left((M v)_{s}\right)=\sigma\left(\sum_{x=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{n}^{s x} v(x)\right)=\sum_{x=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{n}^{h s x} v(x)=\sum_{x=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{n}^{t x} v(x)=(M v)_{t}
$$

Thus, for all $v \in V$ we have $(M v)_{s}=(M v)_{t}$ whenever $s, t \in A_{i}$. Therefore, $M v$ is a linear combination of $\delta_{A_{1}}, \ldots, \delta_{A_{r}}$, i.e. $M v \in W$. Hence, $M V \subseteq W$ and, thus, $\operatorname{dim} V \leq \operatorname{dim} W$. Since $W \subseteq V$, we get $V=W$. Moreover, since $b_{1}=2\left(M \delta_{I_{1}}\right)_{1} \in$ $K_{0}$, by Lemma 4, we have $b_{l}=2\left(M \delta_{I_{1}}\right)_{l} \in K_{0}$. Therefore, $M \delta_{I_{1}} \in K_{0}^{n}$ and, thus, $\delta_{I_{1}} \in V$ by definition of $V$. Since $V=W$, it follows that $I_{1}$ is the union of some orbits.

Lemma 6 For $1 \leq l \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, the number $c_{l}$ is equal to $2 \chi_{l}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)$.
Proof Since $I_{1}=-I_{1}$, we have $b_{l}=\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right)=2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{l i}$. By simple calculations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \chi_{l}\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)= & 2 \sum_{a^{i}, a^{j} \in S_{1}} \chi_{l}\left(a^{i} a^{j}\right)=2 \sum_{i, j \in I_{1}} 2 \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi l(i+j)}{n}\right) \\
= & 2 \sum_{i, j \in I_{1}}\left(\zeta_{n}^{l(i+j)}+\zeta_{n}^{-l(i+j)}\right)=2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{l i} \sum_{j \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{l j} \\
& +2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{-l i} \sum_{j \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{-l j} \\
= & \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{l i} b_{l}+\sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{-l i} b_{l}=b_{l}\left(2 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{i}\right)=b_{l}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
c_{l}=2\left(\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)+\chi_{l}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)\right)-\left(\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right)\right)^{2}=2 \chi_{l}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

A multiset $X$ is a collection of elements where an element may appear more than once. For $x \in X$, denote by $m_{X}(x)$ the multiplicity of $x$ in $X$. To avoid confusion, we use [•] to denote a multiset. For example, $X=[1,1,2,3,3]$ is a multiset and $m_{X}(1)=2$. Given two multisets $X, Y$, their multiple $X Y$ is a multiset, that is, $X Y=$ $[x y \mid x \in X, y \in Y$ ], where $x y$ may occur more than once. The multi-union $X \sqcup Y$ is the multiset with $m_{X \sqcup Y}(z)=m_{X}(z)+m_{Y}(z)$ for any element $z$. For example, consider the two multisets of integers $X=[1,1,-1], Y=[1,2]$, then $X Y=[1,2,1,2,-1,-2]$ and $X \sqcup Y=[1,1,1,-1,2]$. Denote by $I_{2}=\left[k \mid a^{k} \in S_{2}^{2}\right]$ the multiset of all indices $k$ such that $a^{k} \in S_{2}^{2}$. By Lemma 6, we get the following result. Since the proof is very similar to the one of Lemma 5, we omit it.

Lemma 7 The number $c_{1}$ is contained in $K_{0}$ if and only if $I_{2}$ is a multi-union of some orbits $H k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

Combining Lemma 5 and Lemma 7, we get the following result. The proof is very similar to the one of Theorem 1 and, therefore, we omit it, too.

Theorem 2 Let $H=\left\{h \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*} \mid h I_{1}=I_{1}, h I_{2}=I_{2}\right\}$ be the subgroup fixing both, $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. Then, $K=K_{0}\left(\sqrt{c_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{c_{l}}\right)$, where $K_{0}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(H)}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.\sigma x=x, \forall \sigma \in \eta^{-1}(H)\right\}$.

Assume that $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right\}$ is a maximum subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ such that all the orbits $H k_{1}, H k_{2}, \ldots, H k_{r}$ are distinct. The set $R(H)=\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right\}$ is called a representative of $H$. Suppose that $I_{2}=m_{1} \circ H k_{1} \sqcup m_{2} \circ H k_{2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup m_{r} \circ H k_{r}$, where
$m_{i} \circ H k_{i}$ indicates that the orbit $H k_{i}$ appears $m_{i}$ times. By simple calculations, we immediately get

$$
\chi_{l}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} \sum_{h k_{i} \in H k_{i}} \zeta_{n}^{l h k_{i}} .
$$

Note that, if $s, t \in H k$, then there exists $h_{0} \in H$ such that $h_{0} s=t$. Let $\sigma=\eta^{-1}\left(h_{0}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\chi_{s}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) & =\sigma\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} \sum_{h k_{i} \in H k_{i}} \zeta_{n}^{s h k_{i}}\right)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} \sum_{h k_{i} \in H k_{i}} \zeta_{n}^{h_{0} s h k_{i}} \\
& =2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} \sum_{h k_{i} \in H k_{i}} \zeta_{n}^{t h k_{i}}=\chi_{t}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\chi_{s}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right) \in K_{0}$, we have $\chi_{s}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)=\chi_{t}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{N}=\left\{k_{i} \mid\{1,2, \ldots,\lfloor(n-\right.$ 1) $\left./ 2\rfloor\} \cap H k_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\}$. Therefore, all possible values of $c_{l}$ are

$$
c_{k_{i}}=4 \sum_{j=1}^{r} m_{j} \sum_{h k_{j} \in H k_{j}} \zeta_{n}^{k_{i} h k_{j}},
$$

for $k_{i} \in \mathcal{N}$. The following result is obtained:
Corollary 2 The algebraic degree of $\Gamma$ is bounded by

$$
\frac{\varphi(n)}{|H|} \leq \operatorname{deg}(\Gamma) \leq \frac{\varphi(n)}{|H|} 2^{|\mathcal{N}|} .
$$

Example 4 (Cayley graph over dihedral group of algebraic degree 2) Let $G=D_{8}$ and $S=\left\{a, a^{7}, b\right\}$. Then, $I_{1}=\{1,-1\}$ and $I_{2}=[0]$. Therefore, $H=\{1,-1\} \leq \mathbb{Z}_{8}^{*}$, the representative is $R(H)=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\{1,2,3\}$. By simple calculations, we have $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=4$. Thus, $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{8}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(H)}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=2=\frac{\varphi(8)}{|H|}$.

Example 5 (Cayley graph over dihedral group of algebraic degree 4) Let $G=D_{12}$ and $S=\left\{a, a^{-1}, a^{5}, a^{-5}, b, b a, b a^{5}\right\}$. Then, $I_{1}=\{1,-1,5,-5\}$ and $I_{2}=$ $[0,0,0,1,4,5,-1,-4,-5\}$. Therefore, $H=\mathbb{Z}_{12}^{*}, R(H)=\{0,1,2,3,4,6\}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\{1,2,3,4\}$. By simple calculations, we get $c_{1}=8, c_{2}=16, c_{3}=20$ and $c_{4}=0$. Thus, $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5})$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=4$.

Though the field $K_{0}=\mathbb{Q}^{\eta^{-1}(H)}$ is very clear, it is not easy to determine $K$. From the examples above, $K$ completely relies on the values $c_{k}$ for $k \in \mathcal{N}$. However, it seems that such values could not be described clearly since $H$ is just a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$. In what follows, we therefore consider a special case of $H$.

If $H=\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$, then $K_{0}=\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, $R(H) \backslash\{0\}$ consists of all divisors of $n$ and, hence, $\mathcal{N}=\{1 \leq k \leq\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor|k| n\}$. Furthermore, for each $d \mid n$, we have $H d=\mathbb{Z}_{n / d}^{*} d$. Therefore, for any $k \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$
c_{k}=4 \sum_{d \mid n} m_{d} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{n / d}} \zeta_{n}^{k t d}=4 \sum_{d \mid n} m_{d} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{n / d}} \zeta_{n / d}^{k t}=4 \sum_{d \mid n} m_{d} r_{n / d}(k),
$$

where $r_{q}(m)=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q, g c d(j, q)=1} \zeta_{q}^{m j}$ is the famous Ramanujan sum. Note that

$$
r_{q}(m)=\frac{\varphi(q)}{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{g c d(m, q)}\right)} \mu\left(\frac{q}{g c d(m, q)}\right)
$$

where $\mu$ is the Möbius function. Thus, we have

$$
c_{k}=4 \sum_{d \mid n} m_{d} \frac{\varphi(n / d)}{\varphi\left(\frac{n / d}{g c d(k, n / d)}\right)} \mu\left(\frac{n / d}{\operatorname{gcd}(k, n / d)}\right)
$$

and, in particular, $c_{1}=4 \sum_{d \mid n} m_{d} \mu(n / d)$.
Example 6 (Integral Cayley graph over dihedral group) Let $G=D_{8}$ and $S=$ $\left\{a, a^{3}, a^{5}, a^{7}, b, b a^{4}\right\}$. Then, $I_{1}=\{1,3,5,7\}$ and $I_{2}=[0,0,4,-4]$. This leads to $H=\mathbb{Z}_{8}^{*}$ and $R(H)=\{0,1,2,4\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}=\{1,2\}$. Since $I_{2}=2 \circ H 8 \sqcup 2 \circ H 4$, we get

$$
c_{1}=4(2 \mu(1)+2 \mu(2))=0, c_{2}=4\left(2 \mu(1)+2 \frac{\varphi(2)}{\varphi(1)} \mu(1)\right)=16 \text {. }
$$

Thus, $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma)=1$.

## 4 An upper bound for the algebraic degree of Cayley digraphs over dihedral groups

So far, we restricted our considerations to undirected Cayley graphs. If we omit the restrictions on $S$, then $I_{1}=-I_{1}$ does not hold anymore in general. This makes the computation of the $c_{l}$ 's and the field $K_{0}$ much more difficult. At least we could find an upper bound for the algebraic degree of Cayley digraphs over dihedral groups:

Theorem 3 Let $\Gamma$ denote a Cayley digraph over the dihedral group $D_{n}$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\Gamma) \leq \frac{\varphi(n)}{|H|} 2^{|\mathcal{N}|}
$$

Proof Note that Lemma 3 still holds for digraphs. For $1 \leq l \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, we now get $b_{l}=\chi_{l}\left(S_{1}\right)=\sum_{a^{s} \in S_{1}} 2 \cos \frac{2 \pi l s}{n}=\sum_{i \in I_{1}}\left(\zeta_{n}^{l i}+\zeta_{n}^{-l i}\right)=\sum_{i \in I_{1} \sqcup-I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{l i}$. Similar as in the proofs of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we can show that if $b_{1}, c_{1} \in K_{0}$, then
$b_{l}, c_{l} \in K_{0}$, and that $b_{1} \in K_{0}$ if and only if $I_{1} \sqcup-I_{1}$ is a multi-union of some orbits $H k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

Again, let $I_{2}=\left\{k \mid a^{k} \in S_{2}^{2}\right\}$. Note that $I_{2}=-I_{2}$. With similar, but a bit more cumbersome computations as above, we now get

$$
c_{l}=2 \chi_{l}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)+b_{l}^{2}-4 \sum_{i \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{l i} \sum_{j \in I_{1}} \zeta_{n}^{-l j}
$$

It is clear that with $I_{1}$ being a union of orbits $H k$, so are $-I_{1}$ and $I_{1} \sqcup-I_{1}$. Therefore, if $I_{1}$ is a union of orbits and $I_{2}$ is a multi-union of orbits, then $b_{l}, c_{l} \in K_{0}$ for all $l$. Thus, if $H$ denotes the subgroup fixing both, $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, then the splitting field $K$ of $\Gamma$ must be contained in the field $K_{0}\left(\sqrt{c_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{c_{l}}\right)$ where $K_{0}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)^{\eta^{-1}(H)}$. Hence, the statement follows.
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