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#### Abstract

The zwitterionic spirocyclic $\lambda^{5} S i$-silicates [2-(dimethylammonio)phenyl]bis[glycolato(2-). $O^{1}, O^{2}$ ]silicate (6), [2-(dimethylammonio)phenyl]bis [2-methyllactato(2-). $\left.O^{1}, O^{2}\right]$ silicate (7), and bis[benzilato(2-)- $\left.O^{1}, O^{2}\right][2$-(dimethylammonio) phenyl]silicate (8) were synthesized by reaction of [2-(dimethylamino) phenyl]trimethoxysilane (9) with glycolic ( $\rightarrow 6$ ), 2-methyllactic ( $\rightarrow 7$ ), and benzilic acid $(\rightarrow 8)$, respectively. Alternatively, 6 was prepared by reaction of [ 2 -(dimethylamino) phenyl]dimethoxy(phenyl)silane (10) or [2-(dimethylamino)phenyl]dimethoxy(methyl)silane (11) with glycolic acid. 7 and 8 were obtained analogously by reaction of 10 with 2 -methyllactic acid and benzilic acid, respectively. All reactions were carried out at room temperature in acetonitrile, the reactions $10 \rightarrow 6,11 \rightarrow 6,10 \rightarrow 7$, and $10 \rightarrow 8$ involving remarkable $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Si}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ bond cleavages. In addition to spectroscopic characterization (solid-state ${ }^{29 S i}$ CP/MAS NMR; solution-state ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{29}$ Si NMR; FAB MS), $6-8$ were characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Crystal data for these three compounds are as follows. 6: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$, space group $P \overline{1}, a=773.4(3) \mathrm{pm}, b=826.5(3) \mathrm{pm}, c=1114.4(4) \mathrm{pm}, \alpha=106.41$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \beta=91.66(3)^{\circ}, \gamma=103.56(2)^{\circ}, V=0.6608(4) \mathrm{nm}^{3}, T=143(2) \mathrm{K}, Z=2, R(F)=0.0320 .7$ : $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$, space group $P \overline{1}, a=937.2(4) \mathrm{pm}, b=1018.8(4) \mathrm{pm}, c=1095.6(5) \mathrm{pm}, \alpha=63.70$ $(2)^{\circ}, \beta=67.78(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=84.88(2)^{\circ}, V=0.8643(6) \mathrm{nm}^{3}, T=143(2) \mathrm{K}, Z=2, R(F)=0.0336 .8:$ $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}^{\text {, space }}$ group $P \mathrm{I}, a=881.3(3) \mathrm{pm}, b=1254.2(4) \mathrm{pm}, c=1494.1(5) \mathrm{pm}, \alpha=84.95-$ $(2)^{\circ}, \beta=80.51(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=71.11(2)^{\circ}, V=1.5401(9) \mathrm{nm}^{3}, T=143(2) \mathrm{K}, Z=2, R(F)=0.0460$. Compounds 6-8 contain a pentacoordinate silicon atom (formally negatively charged) and a tetracoordinate nitrogen atom (formally positively charged). The silicon atoms in 6-8 are surrounded by four oxygen atoms and one carbon atom. In the crystal, the coordination polyhedra around the silicon atoms of 7 and 8 can be described as a distorted (8) or strongly distorted (7) trigonal bipyramid, the carboxylate oxygen atoms occupying the axial sites. The coordination polyhedron around the silicon atom of 6 is best described as a strongly distorted square pyramid with the carbon atom in the apical position. In terms of the Berry pseudorotation coordinate, the geometries are displaced by $51.7 \%$ (6), $38.4 \%$ (7), and $20.6 \%$ ( 8 ) from the ideal trigonal bipyramid toward the ideal square pyramid. According to NMR spectroscopic studies, the zwitterions 6-8 also exist in solution (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).


## Introduction

During the last few years, we have published a series of papers dealing with the synthesis and structure of zwitterionic [(ammonio)organyl][bis[vic-arenediolato(2-)]silicates. ${ }^{1,2}$ Compounds 1-4 are examples of this particular type of molecular spirocyclic $\lambda^{5} \mathrm{Si}$-silicates. As a common structural feature, two identical symmetric vic-arene-

[^0]diolato(2-) ligands [unsubstituted 1,2-benzenediolato(2-) or 2,3-naphthalenediolato(2-) ligands; substituted 1,2-benzenediolato(2-) ligands] are bound to the silicon(IV) coordination center of these compounds.

We now wish to report the syntheses and crystal structures of the related zwitterionic (molecular) $\lambda^{5} \mathrm{Si}$ silicates [2-(dimethylammonio) phenyl]bis[glycolato(2-)$O^{1}, O^{2}$ ]silicate (6), [2-(dimethylammonio) phenyl]bis[2-methyllactato(2-)- $\left.O^{1}, O^{2}\right]$ silicate (7), and bis[benzilato-(2-)- $\left.O^{1}, O^{2}\right][2$-(dimethylammonio)phenyl] silicate (8). These spirocyclic compounds each contain two identical unsymmetric ligands of the glycolato(2-). $O^{1}, O^{2}$ type instead of the above-mentioned symmetric vic-arenediolato(2-) ligands. The zwitterions $6-8$ are the first $\lambda^{5} S i$ species with bidentate ligands of the glycolato(2-)- $O^{1}, O^{2}$ type that
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could be structurally characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and solid-state and solution-state NMR studies. ${ }^{3}$ Although a few related ionic $\lambda^{6} \mathrm{Si}$-silicates, such as compound 5, have been described in the literature, no information about the structures of these particular compounds is available. ${ }^{4}$


The studies presented here were carried out as a part of our systematic investigations of zwitterionic (molecular) $\lambda^{5} S i$-silicates. In contrast to the well-established chemistry of ionic $\lambda^{5} \mathrm{Si}$-silicates, ${ }^{5}$ the chemistry of zwitterionic $\lambda^{5}$ Si -silicates ${ }^{1,2,8}$ has not been extensively investigated.

## Results and Discussion

Syntheses. Following the strategy applied for the syntheses of compounds $1,{ }^{1 f} 2$ (isolated as 2 and $2 \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), ${ }^{\text {1f }}$ 3 (isolated as $3 \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ), ${ }^{18}$ and 4 (isolated as $4 \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ -

[^2]Scheme 1

$R=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{3}$

Scheme 2



CN ), ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d}}$ the zwitterionic $\lambda^{5}$ Si-silicates $6-8$ were synthesized by reaction of [2-(dimethylamino)phenyl]trimethoxysilane ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d}}(9)$ with glycolic acid $(\rightarrow 6)$, 2 -methyllactic acid ( $\rightarrow 7$ ), and benzilic acid ( $\rightarrow 8$ ), respectively (Scheme 1), The reactions were carried out at room temperature to give $6-8$ in $81 \%$ (6), $83 \%$ (7), and $86 \%$ ( 8 ) yields as colorless crystalline solids.

The zwitterionic $\lambda^{5} S i$-silicates 6-8 were also synthesized by alternative methods (Scheme 2). Thus, compound 6 was prepared by reaction of [2-(dimethylamino)phenyl]dimethoxy(phenyl)silane ${ }^{1 e}$ (10) or [2-(dimethylamino)phenyl]dimethoxy (methyl)silane ${ }^{10}$ (11) with glycolic acid in acetonitrile at room temperature (yield $85 \%$ and $41 \%$ ). Analogously, compounds 7 and 8 were obtained by reaction of 10 with 2 -methyllactic acid and benzilic acid, respectively (yields $77 \%$ and $88 \%$ ). ${ }^{7}$ The reactions $10 \rightarrow 6,11$ $\rightarrow 6,10 \rightarrow 7$, and $10 \rightarrow 8$ involve remarkable $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ or

[^3]Table 1. Crystal Data and Experimental Parameters for the Crystal Structure Analyses of 6-8

|  | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$ |
| formula mass, $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 297.34 | 353.44 | 601.71 |
| collection $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | 143(2) | 143(2) | 143(2) |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ ) , pm | 71.073 | 71.073 | 71.073 |
| cryst syst | triclinic | triclinic | triclinic |
| space group | ${ }^{P 1}$ | P1̄ | Pİ |
| $a, \mathrm{pm}$ | 773.4(3) | 937.2(4) | 881.3(3) |
| b, pm | 826.5(3) | 1018.8(4) | 1254.2(4) |
| $c, \mathrm{pm}$ | 1114.4(4) | 1095.6(5) | 1494.1(5) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 106.41(2) | 63.70(2) | 84.95(2) |
| $\beta$, deg | 91.66(3) | 67.78(2) | 80.51(2) |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, deg | 103.56(2) | 84.88(2) | 71.11(2) |
| $V, \mathrm{~nm}^{3}$ | 0.6608(4) | 0.8643(6) | 1.5401(9) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $D$ (calcd), Mg.m ${ }^{-3}$ | 1.494 | 1.358 | 1.298 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha\right.$ ), $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.203 | 0.167 | 0.124 |
| $F(000)$ | 312 | 376 | 632 |
| cryst dimens, mm | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \times 0.40 \times \\ 0.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \times 0.60 \times \\ 0.30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \times 0.80 \times \\ 0.20 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\theta$ range, deg | 3.17-27.54 | 3.10-27.54 | 3.07-27.56 |
| index ranges | $\begin{aligned} -10 & \leq h \leq 3 \\ -10 & \leq k \leq 10, \\ -14 & \leq l \leq 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \leq h \leq 12 \\ & -13 \leq k \leq 13, \\ & -13 \leq l \leq 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -11 \leq h \leq 11, \\ -16 \leq k \leq 16, \\ -19 \leq l \leq 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| no. of coll refins | 4216 | 4234 | 7390 |
| no. of indep reflns | 3043 | 3982 | 7111 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0159 | 0.0130 | 0.0255 |
| no. of reflns used | 3038 | 3975 | 7093 |
| no. of params | 184 | 223 | 399 |
| $S^{a}$ | 1.093 | 1.066 | 1.054 |
| $R(F)^{b}[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0320 | 0.0336 | 0.0460 |
| $R_{w}\left(F^{2}\right)^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0814 | 0.0842 | 0.1117 |

a $S=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right](n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2}, n=$ no. of reflections, $p=$ no. of parameters. ${ }^{b} R(F)=\sum| | F_{0}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \sum\left|F_{0}\right| \cdot{ }^{c} R_{w}\left(F^{2}\right)=\left\{\sum\left[w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /\right.$ $\sum\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$.
$\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ bond cleavages at room temperature, leading to the formation of methane (identified by IR spectroscopy) or benzene (identified by NMR spectroscopy). Analogous reactions have already been used for the synthesis of the $\lambda^{5} \mathrm{Si}$-silicates ${ }^{1 \mathrm{f}}$ and $4^{1 \mathrm{le}}$ and related [(ammonio)organyl]-bis[vic-arenediolato(2-)]silicates. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}}$

Compounds 6-8 are almost insoluble in nonpolar organic solvents and also exhibit a very low solubility in polar organic solvents. The identity of $6-8$ was established by elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ), solid-state ( ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{MAS}$ ) and solution-state (DMSO- $d_{6} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ ) NMR studies, and mass-spectrometric investigations (FAB MS). In addition, the crystal structures of $6-8$ were studied by X-ray diffraction. These investigations unequivocally established the zwitterionic structure of $6-8$ in the solid state and in solution (see Crystal Structure Analyses, NMR Studies, and Experimental Section).

Crystal Structure Analyses. The crystal structures of compounds 6-8 were determined by X-ray diffraction studies. The crystal data and experimental parameters used for these experiments are given in Table 1 (for further details, see Experimental Section). The molecular structures of the zwitterions 6-8 in the crystal are shown in Figures 1-3. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2.
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Figure 1. Molecular structure of 6 in the crystal (ORTEP plot, probability level $50 \%$ ), showing the atomic numbering scheme.


Figure 2. Molecular structure of 7 in the crystal (ORTEP plot, probability level $50 \%$ ), showing the atomic numbering scheme.

Compounds 6-8 crystallize in the space group $P \overline{1}$, the respective unit cells each containing two enantiomeric molecules. The X-ray structure determinations confirm the trigonal-bipyramidal structural type I (Figure 4) for compound 8 in the solid state; each bidentate ligand in this zwitterion spans one equatorial $[0(2), O(4)]$ and one axial site $[\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(3)]$. The geometry of 8 shows maximum deviations of ca. $7^{\circ}$ from ideal trigonal-bipyramidal values $\left[\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(3) 173.28(5)^{\circ}, \mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(4) 127.15(6)^{\circ}, 0(2)-\right.$ $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}(5) 113.81(7)^{\circ} \mathrm{J}$ and therefore is best described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid. As the substituents at the bidentate ligands become smaller in $7(\mathrm{Ph} \rightarrow \mathrm{Me})$ and 6 ( $\mathrm{Ph} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ ), the deviations become larger; the major changes are that $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ narrows to $165^{\circ}\left[6,165.14(5)^{\circ} ; 7\right.$, $\left.168.95(5)^{\circ}\right]$ and $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ widens to $138^{\circ}[6,137.77-$ $\left.(5)^{\circ} ; 7,133.62(5)^{\circ}\right]$. The geometry of 6 is in fact nearer to a square pyramid with four basal oxygen atoms and one apical carbon atom (Figure 4, type IV; base planar to within $\pm 18 \mathrm{pm})$, whereas the geometry of 7 is best described as a strongly distorted trigonal bipyramid with the carbon atom in one of the equatorial positions (Figure 4, type I). The structural displacement from the trigonal-bipyramidal toward the square-pyramidal geometry for 6-8 can bemade more quantitative by using the dihedral angle method. 9,10
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Figure 3. Molecular structure of 8 in the crystal (ORTEP plot, probability level $50 \%$ ), showing the atomic numbering scheme.

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (pm) and Angles (deg) for 6-8

|  | 6 |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $178.18(12)$ | $178.06(12)$ | $178.31(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $168.27(11)$ | $167.19(12)$ | $167.70(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $180.85(12)$ | $181.35(12)$ | $178.74(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $167.31(11)$ | $165.81(11)$ | $165.09(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $187.41(14)$ | $188.9(2)$ | $188.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $89.09(6)$ | $89.29(5)$ | $88.98(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $165.14(5)$ | $168.95(5)$ | $173.28(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $87.66(6)$ | $88.70(5)$ | $89.17(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $99.14(6)$ | $96.82(6)$ | $93.99(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $84.27(6)$ | $85.08(5)$ | $86.94(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $137.77(5)$ | $133.62(5)$ | $127.15(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $110.716)$ | $113.16(6)$ | $113.81(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $88.36(6)$ | $88.32(5)$ | $89.03(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $95.64(6)$ | $94.13(6)$ | $92.55(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111.37(6)$ | $113.09(6)$ | $119.01(7)$ |

In terms of the Berry pseudorotation coordinate, these calculations ${ }^{11}$ show that the geometries of the coordination polyhedra around the silicon atoms are displaced by $51.7 \%$ (6), $38.4 \%$ (7), and $20.6 \%$ (8) from the ideal trigonal bipyramid toward the ideal square pyramid.
As would be expected from the presence of the NH group, compounds 6-8 involve hydrogen bonds in the crystal. These are formed intramolecularly and are bifurcated from N to the joint acceptors $\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(3)$ as shown for 6 in Figure 5. The hydrogen-bond geometries for 6 -8 are listed in Table 3. The presence of these hydrogen bonds is in accordance with the differences observed between the corresponding $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ distances $[\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(3)>$ $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(1)]$ and between the corresponding $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Si}-$ O (4) distances $[\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(2)>\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}(4)]$.
NMR Studies. Compounds 6-8 were studied by ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ CP/MAS NMR experiments in the solid state and by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$,
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Figure 4. Geometric alternatives ${ }^{8}$ to be considered for spirocyclic $\lambda^{5} S i$-silicates containing two identical bidentate unsymmetric ligands. The trigonal bipyramids I-III and the square pyramid IV are chiral, while the square pyramid $\mathbf{V}$ is achiral. In solution, interconversion of some of these structures has to be considered (see text).


Figure 5. Molecular structure of 6 in the crystal, showing the intramolecular bifurcate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) / \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ hydrogen bond. The same type of hydrogen bond was also observed for 7 and 8.

Table 3. Hydrogen-Bond Geometries for 6-8 in the Crystal

|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{pm}$ | 302.1 | 322.8 | 306.3 |
| $\mathrm{~N} \cdots \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{pm}$ | 293.2 | 282.5 | 289.8 |
| $\mathrm{NH} \ldots \mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{pm}$ | 219.4 | 237.9 | 219.4 |
| $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{pm}$ | 225.6 | 220.1 | 230.4 |
| $\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$, deg | 147.6 | 151.7 | 155.1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$, deg | 129.0 | 123.7 | 121.3 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$, deg | 63.6 | 61.9 | 64.0 |

Table 4. ${ }^{29}$ Si NMR Data ${ }^{4}$ for 6-8 in the Crystal and in Solution (DMSO-d $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ )

| compd | $\delta^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ (crystal) | $\delta^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ (solution) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | -91.8 | -94.4 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | -103.2 | -103.5 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | -102.6 | $b$ |

${ }^{a}$ For further details, see Experimental Section. ${ }^{b}$ All attempts todetect a ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ resonance signal failed.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR experiments in solution (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) (see Table 4 and ExperimentalSection). Probably because of its very poor solubility, no ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ resonance signal could be detected for 8 in DMSO $d_{6}$. The ${ }^{29}$ Si chemical shifts obtained in the CP/MAS NMR studies of 6-8 (Table 4)
clearly characterize these ${ }^{29}$ Si resonances as arising from pentacoordinate silicon atoms. As the chemical shifts of 6 and 7 are very similar to those observed for these compounds in DMSO- $d_{6}$ ( $\Delta \delta^{29} \mathrm{Si} \leq 2.6$; Table 4), it is concluded that pentacoordinate silicon is also present in solution. In addition, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts observed for the $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}[\delta=3.32$ (6), $3.33(7), 2.43 / 3.22$ (8)] and NH groups [ $\delta=9.1$ (6), 8.8 (7), 8.6 (8)] clearly indicate the presence of an ammonium-type nitrogen in solution. Thus, the NMR experiments unequivocally demonstrate that the zwitterions 6-8 also exist in solution. ${ }^{12}$

In principle, the bidentate unsymmetric ligands of the glycolato(2-) $0^{1}, 0^{2}$ type may give rise to isomerism of 6-8 in solution. Whereas the structure of the spirocyclic $\lambda^{5}$. Si-silicates 1-4, containing two identical symmetric vic-arenediolato(2-) ligands, can simply be described as a trigonal bipyramid or a square pyramid (which might interconvert by a Berry pseudorotation process), five structural alternatives for 6-8 in solution have to be considered (I-V; ${ }^{8}$ Figure 4) (see also ref 13). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR spectra of 6-8 are compatible only with the presence of one particular species or with a rapid ligand exchange leading to an interconversion of some of the different isomers. For example, isomers I and III might be connected by a low-energy pseudorotation pathway that passes through isomer IV, i.e., these three isomers would interconvert by a rapid intramolecular ligand exchange at room temperature and therefore would be equivalent on the NMR time scale. Temperature-dependent NMR studies could give more information about such kinds of processes, but unfortunately NMR experiments with 6-8 at lower temperatures failed because of solubility problems. In principle, an intermolecular ligand exchange, as observed for mixtures of 6 and 7 (see below), has also to be considered. However, as the intermolecular ligand exchange between 6 and 7 was found to be relatively slow on the NMR time scale (equilibrium time $>30 \mathrm{~min}$ ), such kinds of processes should not be taken into account for the interpretation of the solution-state NMR data for 6-8. In this context it should be mentioned that, in contrast to 6-8, two NMR-spectroscopically different species of the zwitterionic $\lambda^{5}$ Si-silicates 12 (ratio ca. 1:1) and 13 (ratio ca. 1:2) could be detected in solution at room temperature (DMSO- $d_{6} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR studies); in both cases coalescence phenomena were observed at higher temperatures. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ By analogy to 6-8, compounds 12 and 13 also

contain two identical unsymmetric bidentate ligands. We
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Figure 6. ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR spectrum of a mixture of 6,7 , and 14 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ recorded 24 h after equimolar amounts of 6 and 7 were dissolved.

Scheme 3

do not have a convincing explanation for the different NMR-spectroscopic behavior of 6-8 and $12 / 13$ in solution. In any event, further studies are necessary to obtain more information about the solution-state structures and the dynamic behavior of 6-8 and related spirocyclic $\lambda^{5} \mathrm{Si}$ silicates containing two identical bidendate unsymmetric ligands.

Ligand Exchange in Solution. ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR and FAB MS studies with an equimolar mixture of 6 and 7 in DMSO$d_{6}$ suggested that these compounds undergo an intermolecular ligand exchange in solution ( $6+7 \rightleftharpoons 214$ ) as shown in Scheme 3. Twenty-four hours after 6 and 7 were combined in DMSO- $d_{6}$ ( 0.1 M solution of 6 and 7 in dried, freshly distilled DMSO- $d_{6}$; storage at room temperature), three resonance signals, with an intensity ratio of ca. 1:2:1 (statistical ligand distribution), were observed in the ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR spectrum of this solution (Figure 6). These signals can be assigned to 6 ( $\delta-91.8$ ), 7 ( $\delta-103.2$ ), and 14 ( $\delta-97.6$ ). The assignment of the resonance signal at $\delta-97.6$ to the zwitterion 14 is strongly supported by FAB MS spectra of this mixture, showing the respective negative ion ( M $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)(m / z 324)$ and positive ion $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)(m / z 326)$ resulting from this compound. These FAB MS spectra were recorded under the same conditions as described for 6-8 in the Experimental Section.

An analogous ligand-exchange reaction was also observed for the related 2 witterionic $\lambda^{5} S i$-spirosilicates 15 and 16 ( $15+16 \rightleftharpoons 2$ 17; solvent DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ The mechanism of this type of reaction has not yet been studied.


## Experimental Section

General Procedures. All syntheses were carried out under dry nitrogen. The solvents used were dried according to standard procedures and stored under nitrogen. Melting points (uncorrected) were determined with a Leitz Laborlux S microscope, equipped with a heater (Leitz, Model M 350 ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ solution-state NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Bruker AC-250 NMR spectrometer ${ }^{(1} \mathrm{H}, 250.1 \mathrm{MHz}$; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}, 62.9 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}, 49.7 \mathrm{MHz}$ ); $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ were used as solvents. All NMR measurements of $6-8$ were carried out with freshly prepared solutions. Chemical shifts ( ppm ) were determined relative to internal $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, \delta 7.25\right), \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left({ }^{(33} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\delta 77.05)$, DMSO $-d_{5}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, \delta 2.49\right)$, DMSO- $d_{6}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, \delta 39.9\right)$, and TMS ( ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}, \delta 0$ ). Assignment of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data was supported by DEPT experiments. High-resolution solid-state ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker MSL-300 NMR spectrometer operating at 59.6 MHz , the chemical shifts (ppm) being determined relative to external TMS ( ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Si}, \delta 0$ ) (for further experimental details, see the respective preparative procedures below and also ref 1f). Mass spectra were obtained with a Finnigan MAT-8430 mass spectrometer (FAB MS; 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as liquid matrix, xenon as FAB source). The selected $m / z$ values given refer to the isotopes ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{12} \mathrm{C},{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$, and ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}$. Elemental analyses were determined by Beller Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium (Göttingen, Germany). The starting materials [2-(dimethylamino) phenyl]trimethoxysilane (9), ${ }^{\text {1d }}$ [2-(dimethylamino) phenylldimethoxy(phenyl)silane (10), ${ }^{10}$ and [2-(dimethylamino)phenyl]dimethoxy(methyl)silane (11) ${ }^{10}$ were prepared according to published procedures.

Preparation of [2-(Dimethylammonio)phenyl]bis[gly-colato(2-)- $\left.O^{1}, O^{2}\right]$ silicate (6). Method A. A solution of 9 (468 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) was added at room temperature to a solution of glycolic acid ( $295 \mathrm{mg}, 3.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 20 mL ). After being stirred for 1 min , the reaction mixture was kept undisturbed for 6 days at room temperature (the first crystals formed after about 6 h ). The precipitate was filtered off, washed with acetonitrile ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and then dried in vacuo to give 6 in $81 \%$ yield as a colorless crystalline product ( $465 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); mp $194^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. For analytical data, see below.

Method B. The synthesis was carried out analogously to method A by combining a solution of $10(304 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) with a solution of glycolic acid ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 2.12$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) (the first crystals formed after about 10 min ). Compound 6 was isolated in $85 \%$ yield as a colorless crystalline product ( $268 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); $\mathrm{mp} 194^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. For analytical data, see below.
Method C. The synthesis was carried out analogously to method A by combining a solution of $11(608 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) with a solution of glycolic acid ( $410 \mathrm{mg}, 5.39$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 25 mL ) (the first crystals formed after about 10 min ). Compound 6 was isolated in $41 \%$ yield as a colorless crystalline product ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); mp $194^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 5. Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and Equivalent
Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\mathrm{pm}^{2} \times 10^{-1}\right)$ for 6

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U(\mathrm{eq})^{a}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Si | $3554.3(5)$ | $2176.1(4)$ | $7769.1(3)$ | $15.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1527.0(13)$ | $546.2(12)$ | $7630.2(9)$ | $23.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $3186.8(12)$ | $3151.1(12)$ | $9248.3(9)$ | $20.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $5780.3(12)$ | $3603.0(12)$ | $8221.6(9)$ | $20.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $4479.5(13)$ | $576.3(12)$ | $7031.9(9)$ | $21.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $-982.2(14)$ | $52.3(14)$ | $8566.0(11)$ | $30.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $8605.8(13)$ | $3761.4(14)$ | $7765.7(10)$ | $28.8(2)$ |
| N | $3806.6(15)$ | $6228.6(13)$ | $8219.0(10)$ | $17.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $501(2)$ | $940(2)$ | $8533.7(13)$ | $22.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1399(2)$ | $2616(2)$ | $9526.9(13)$ | $22.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $7040(2)$ | $2977(2)$ | $7636.8(13)$ | $20.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $6270(2)$ | $1150(2)$ | $6779.9(14)$ | $23.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2861(2)$ | $3292(2)$ | $6657.5(12)$ | $16.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $3120(2)$ | $5082(2)$ | $6919.2(12)$ | $16.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2723(2)$ | $5876(2)$ | $6040.6(14)$ | $24.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2029(2)$ | $4837(2)$ | $4837.4(14)$ | $29.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1704(2)$ | $3043(3)$ | $4537.0(13)$ | $28.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $2112(2)$ | $2286(2)$ | $5435.5(13)$ | $23.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2404(2)$ | $7051(2)$ | $8844.0(14)$ | $28.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $5487(2)$ | $7574(2)$ | $8252.6(14)$ | $25.7(3)$ |

a The equivalent isotropic displacement factor $U($ eq) is defined as onethird of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{i j}$ tensor.

Table 6. Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\mathrm{pm}^{2} \times 1 \mathbf{1 0}^{-1}\right)$ for 7

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U(e q)^{a}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Si | $3212.4(4)$ | $7164.8(3)$ | $7387.5(4)$ | $17.3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $5203.3(10)$ | $7207.8(10)$ | $6319.3(10)$ | $22.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $3139.3(10)$ | $5335.0(9)$ | $8288.9(9)$ | $21.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1300.2(10)$ | $6957.9(10)$ | $8774.4(10)$ | $21.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $3674.2(10)$ | $8540.8(9)$ | $7630.4(10)$ | $21.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $7038.4(11)$ | $5730.1(11)$ | $5915.7(11)$ | $29.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $-263.7(12)$ | $8204.0(12)$ | $9890.6(13)$ | $40.5(3)$ |
| N | $252.5(12)$ | $5890.4(12)$ | $7249.6(12)$ | $23.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $5714.4(14)$ | $5914.5(14)$ | $6552.5(14)$ | $21.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $4461.2(15)$ | $4664.6(14)$ | $7715.7(15)$ | $24.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $998.2(15)$ | $8076.5(14)$ | $9095.5(14)$ | $23.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2417.0(14)$ | $9176.4(13)$ | $8353.0(14)$ | $21.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2495.9(14)$ | $7794.0(13)$ | $5837.3(13)$ | $19.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1171.7(14)$ | $7205.9(14)$ | $5892.9(13)$ | $21.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $641(2)$ | $7785(2)$ | $4750.7(15)$ | $29.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1465(2)$ | $9012(2)$ | $3477(2)$ | $34.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2796(2)$ | $9636(2)$ | $3361(2)$ | $32.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $3295.5(15)$ | $9033.4(15)$ | $4521.8(14)$ | $25.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $86(2)$ | $4624(2)$ | $6948(2)$ | $29.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-1302(2)$ | $6251(2)$ | $8053(2)$ | $30.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $4983(2)$ | $3644(2)$ | $8945(2)$ | $49.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $4041(2)$ | $3830(2)$ | $7018(2)$ | $38.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2771(2)$ | $9406(2)$ | $9489(2)$ | $32.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2147(2)$ | $10624.6(14)$ | $7222.4(15)$ | $25.5(3)$ |

a The equivalent isotropic displacement factor $U(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as onethird of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{i j}$ tensor.
dec. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{8}$ ): $\delta 3.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.91\left(\delta_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and 4.14 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) ( AB system, $J_{\mathrm{AB}}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ ), 7.4-7.6 and 7.8-7.9 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $9.1(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 47.2\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 62.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 119.7\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 128.8$ $\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 130.7\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 135.3$ $\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 147.0\left(\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 173.0(\mathrm{C}=0) .{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{8}$ ): $\delta-94.4 .{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{MAS}$ NMR (spinning rate 3530 Hz , contact time 5 ms , recycle delay time $3 \mathrm{~s}, 185$ transients): $\delta-91.8$. FAB MS (negative ions): $m / z 296\left(100, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. FAB MS (positive ions): $m / z 298\left(4, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right), 134$ ( 100 , matrix). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 48.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.08 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.71$. Found: C, 48.4; H, 5.2; N, 4.7.

Preparation of [2-(Dimethylammonio)phenyl]bis[2-methyllactato(2-)- $\left.O^{1}, O^{2}\right]$ gilicate (7). Method A. The synthesis was carried out analogously to that of 6 , method A, by combining a solution of $9(466 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) with a solution of 2 -methyllactic acid ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 3.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 20 mL ) (first crystals formed after about 1 day). Compound 7 was isolated in $83 \%$ yield as a colorless crystalline

Table 7. Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\mathrm{pm}^{2} \times 10^{-1}\right)$ for 8

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U(\mathrm{eq})^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Si | 3061.5(5) | 1369.3(3) | 2915.4(3) | 14.9(1) |
| O(1) | 4125.6(13) | 2060.7(9) | 3454.7(7) | 18.4(2) |
| O(2) | 2684.1(13) | 2451.1(9) | $2152.2(7)$ | 18.9(2) |
| O(3) | 2143.4(12) | 696.8(9) | $2253.9(7)$ | 19.1(2) |
| O(4) | 4663.3(12) | 226.7(8) | 2860.0(7) | 17.8(2) |
| O(5) | 4622.1(15) | 3692.8(10) | 3450.4(8) | 26.2 (3) |
| O(6) | 2513.0(14) | -934.5(10) | 1631.6(8) | 26.8(3) |
| N | -752(2) | 2466.6(14) | 2957.7(11) | 31.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 4064(2) | 3075.4(13) | 3128.7(10) | 18.5(3) |
| C(2) | 3292(2) | 3354.0(13) | $2249.5(10)$ | 19.4(3) |
| C(3) | 2994(2) | -342.2(13) | 2031.0(10) | 17.9(3) |
| C(4) | 4668(2) | -687.0(12) | 2355.1(10) | $16.5(3)$ |
| C(5) | 1396(2) | 1507.5(13) | 3906.3(10) | 19.8(3) |
| C(6) | -247(2) | 1987.5(14) | 3848.9(11) | 24.9 (3) |
| C(7) | -1463(2) | 2068(2) | 4584.4(14) | 38.0(4) |
| C(8) | -1025(3) | 1642(2) | 5421.2(14) | 43.8(5) |
| C(9) | 585(3) | 1158(2) | $5515.2(13)$ | 40.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1781(2) | 1089(2) | 4768.5(11) | 28.8(4) |
| C(11) | -1727(2) | 3694(2) | 2989(2) | 43.7(5) |
| C(12) | -1618(2) | 1795(2) | 2583(2) | 42.7(5) |
| C(13) | 4671(2) | $3361.6(14)$ | 1476.2(10) | 20.5(3) |
| C(14) | 5164(2) | 4316(2) | 1304.4(12) | 28.0(4) |
| C(15) | 6465(2) | 4311(2) | 634.7(13) | 37.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 7270(2) | 3360(2) | 134.6(13) | 37.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 6782(2) | 2411(2) | 303.3(12) | 33.3(4) |
| C(18) | 5489(2) | 2407.3(15) | 978.9(11) | 25.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1887(2) | $4448.5(14)$ | 2250.2(14) | 31.0 (4) |
| C(20) | 1053(2) | 4681(2) | 1502(2) | 46.4(6) |
| C(21) | -274(3) | 5642(2) | 1464(2) | 70.3(10) |
| C(22) | -776(3) | 6370(2) | 2155(3) | 87.6(13) |
| C(23) | 30(3) | 6157(2) | 2899(3) | 86.3(12) |
| C(24) | 1380(3) | 5186(2) | 2954(2) | 56.2(7) |
| C(25) | 6056(2) | -860.4(12) | 1559.9(10) | 18.1(3) |
| C(26) | 6026(2) | -1310(2) | 750.1(11) | 29.9(4) |
| C(27) | 7340(2) | -1473(2) | 59.4(12) | 36.4(4) |
| C(28) | 8686(2) | -1201(2) | 173.0(12) | 33.4(4) |
| C(29) | 8748(2) | -776(2) | 985.7(14) | 34.4(4) |
| C(30) | 7431(2) | -600.7(15) | 1672.2(12) | 26.8(3) |
| C(31) | 4942(2) | -1754.3(12) | 2968.2(10) | 18.0 (3) |
| C(32) | 5499(2) | -1777.8(14) | 3790.1 (11) | 25.2 (3) |
| C(33) | 5802(2) | -2745(2) | 4350.1 (12) | 32.9(4) |
| C(34) | 5540 (2) | -3697(2) | 4096.5(12) | 33.0(4) |
| C(35) | 4986(2) | -3681.4(15) | $3279.9(13)$ | 31.8(4) |
| C(36) | 4701(2) | -2722.6(14) | 2712.6(12) | 25.8(3) |

${ }^{3}$ The equivalent isotropic displacement factor $U($ eq) is defined as onethird of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{i j}$ tensor.
product ( $565 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); $\mathrm{mp} 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. For analytical data, see below.

Method B. The synthesis was carried out analogously to that of 6 , method A, by combining a solution of $10(342 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) with a solution of 2-methyllactic acid ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) (first crystals formed after about 1 h ). Compound 7 was isolated in $77 \%$ yield as a colorless crystalline product ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); mp 200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): $\delta 1.11$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), 1.29 (s, 6 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ), 3.33 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 7.4-7.6 and 7.8-7.9 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 8.8 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 26.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 47.4\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 74.0\left(\mathrm{OCC}_{8}\right), 119.7\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $128.7\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 130.6$ ( $\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 134.4 (C-1, $\left.\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 135.4$ $\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 146.7\left(\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 177.3(\mathrm{C}=0) .{ }^{20} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{g}$ ): $\delta-103.5$. ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ CP/MAS NMR (spinning rate 3511 Hz , contact time 5 ms , recycle delay time $3 \mathrm{~s}, 358$ transients): $\delta-103.2$. FAB MS (negative ions): $m / z 352\left(100, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. FAB MS (positive ions): $m / z 354$ ( $32, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$), 134 ( 100 , matrix). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 54.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.56 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.96$. Found: C, 54.5; H, 6.6; N, 4.0.

Preparation of Bis[benzilato(2-)- $\left.O^{1}, O^{2}\right][2$ (dimethylammonio)phenyl]silicate (8). Method A. The synthesis was carried out analogously to that of 6 , method $A$, by combining a solution of $9(461 \mathrm{mg}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) with a solution of benzilic acid ( $872 \mathrm{mg}, 3.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 20 mL ) (first crystals formed after about 1 h ). Compound 8 was isolated in $86 \%$ yield as a colorless crystalline product ( 988 mg , $1.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ mp $247^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. For analytical data, see below.
Method B. The synthesis was carried out analogously to that of 6 , method A, by combining a solution of $10(298 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) with a solution of benzilic acid ( 473 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) (first crystals formed after about 40 min ). Compound 8 was isolated in $88 \%$ yield as a colorless crystalline product ( $550 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); mp $247^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.43$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 7.1-7.7 and 7.8-7.9 ( $\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{SiC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 8.6 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 46.5\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 47.6\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $82.3\left(\mathrm{OCC}_{3}\right), 119.7\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{C}-2 / \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 126.7(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.2 / \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 127.3\left(\mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 127.8$ (C$\left.3 / \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}-3 / \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 131.0$ $\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 132.8\left(\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 136.4\left(\mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 142.4(\mathrm{C}-1$, $\left.\mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 143.0\left(\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 146.1\left(\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{SiC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right), 172.9(\mathrm{C}=0$ ). ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ CP/MAS NMR (spinning rate 3429 Hz , contact time 5 ms , recycle delay time 15 s , transients 80 ): $\delta-102.6$. FAB MS (negative ions): $m / z 600\left(100, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. FAB MS (positive ions): $m / z 602$ ( $21, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$), 154 ( 100 , matrix). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 71.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.19 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.33$. Found: C, 71.9; H, 5.3; $\mathrm{N}, 2.3$.

Crystal Structure Analyses. Crystals were mounted in inert oil (type RS3000, donated by Fa. Riedel de Haẽn) on glass fibers and transferred to the cold gas stream of the diffractometer (Stoe STADI-4 with Siemens LT-2 low-temperature attachment; monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation). The cell dimensions were refined from $\pm \omega$ angles of ca. 50 reflections in the $2 \theta$ range $20-$ $23^{\circ}$. Intensities were registered by $\omega / \theta$ scans to $2 \theta_{\text {max }} 55^{\circ}$. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined anisotropically on $F^{2}$, using the program SHELXL-93 (G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, 1993). Hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model. Weighting schemes were of the form $w^{-1}$ $=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(a P)^{2}+b P\right]$, where $P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$. Complete numerical details are given in Table 1, selected bond distances and angles in Table 2. The atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters for 6-8 are listed in Tables 5-7. The atomic numbering schemes are given in Figures 1-3. Tables of anisotropic thermal parameters, atomic coordinates for the hydrogen atoms, and complete lists of bond distances and angles are provided as supplementary material.
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