Titel

Acknowledgements

Index

1 Introduction – hypotheses on coexistence	1
2 Host-plant range and host-plant selection	3
2.1. Introduction	3
2.2. Material and Methods	4
2.2.1. Study site and study system2.2.2. Host plant use in the field2.2.3. Choice tests2.2.4. Secondary chemistry2.2.5. Larval development on different Convolvulaceae-species	4 7 8 8 8
2.3. Results	9
2.3.1. Host plant use2.3.2. Choice tests between different host plant species2.3.3. Plant secondary chemistry of three host plant species2.3.4. Larval development on different plant species	9 11 13 13
2.4. Discussion	14
2.4.1. Host plant range and niche overlap in the field2.4.2. Host plant preferences in choice tests in the laboratory2.4.3. Is the host plant choice of the beetle species adaptive?	14 15 17
3 Time - Seasonal phenology of the beetle species and resource availability	19
3.1. Introduction	19
3.2. Methods	20
3.2.1. Abundance and species composition during the season3.2.2. Leaf damage analysis3.2.3. Resource availability: Plant abundance3.2.4. Resource availability: leaf age classes and leaf chemistry	20 21 21 21
3.3. Results	22
3.3.1. Seasonality3.3.2. Phenology of the beetle species3.3.4. Resource use and limiting resources: leaf damage analysis3.3.5. Resource availability over the season	22 23 29 29
3.4. Discussion	34
3.4.1. Seasonality3.4.2. Availability and quality of the host plants and seasonal penology of the beetles	34 35
	-

3.4.3. Stochastic events during the season3.4.4. Food as a limiting resource?	36 37
4 Space - local species composition	39
4.1. Introduction	39
4.2. Material and methods	40
4.2.1. Spatial distribution of the beetle community between sites 4.2.2. Spatial distribution of single species within a site	40 42
4.3. Results	43
4.3.1. Spatial distribution of the beetle community4.3.2. Spatial distribution within sites	43 46
4.4. Discussion	46
4.4.1. Predictability of the species composition4.4.2. Correlation of the species composition with habitat parameters4.4.3. Habitat parameters responsible for the distribution within one site	46 47 48
5 Space - Small scale distribution in the field	50
5.1. Introduction	50
5.2. Material and methods	52
5.2.1. Sun- and shade microhabitats5.2.2. Influence of microclimate and plant quality on larval development5.2.3. Preference of leaf sides in three species5.2.4. Statistics	52 54 55 56
5.3. Results	56
5.3.1. Within habitat distribution: Sun- and shade microhabitats	56
5.3.1.1. Microhabitat use in the field5.3.1.2. Host plant use in different microhabitats at different times5.3.1.3. Plant quality in sun and shade microhabitats5.3.1.4. Microclimate in sun and shade habitats5.3.1.5. Effect of microclimate and plant quality on larval development	56 61 63 66 69
5.3.2. Within plant distribution: Preference of leaf sides	73
5.4. Discussion	75
5.4.1. Within habitat distribution	75
5.4.1.1. Beetle distribution, niche breadth and overlap in microhabitat use5.4.1.2. Plant quality and microclimate5.4.1.3. Interactive effects of plant quality and microclimate	75 77 79
5.4.2. Within plant distribution: preference of leaf side	81

6 Space - Influence of temperature and humidity on larval development; Measures of larval development as adult female fitness correlates?	83
6.1. Introduction	83
6.2. Material and methods	85
6.2.1. Larval development at two different temperatures and humidities 6.2.2. Duration of development and weight of pupae as fitness correlates 6.2.3. Statistics	85 86 86
6.3. Results	87
6.3.1. Larval development at different temperatures and humidities 6.3.2. Measures of larval development and fitness of adult females	87 91
6.3.2.1. Life table parameters of four beetle species6.3.2.2. Duration of development and pupal weight as fitness correlates	91 92
6.4. Discussion	97
6.4.1. Larval development at different temperatures and humidities 6.4.2. Larval development and adult female reproductive success	97 99
7 Effect of habitat and predator exclusion on larval parasitism and predation	101
7.1. Introduction	101
7.2. Materials and methods	102
 7.2.1. Study sites, host plants and beetle species 7.2.2. Predation and parasitism experiments 7.2.3. Larval mortality of <i>A. roseomarginata</i> under differing predator exclusion 7.2.4. Egg parasitism of <i>A. roseomarginata</i> 7.2.5. Statistics 	102 103 104 104 105
7.3. Results	105
 7.3.1. Predation and parasitism in different habitats 7.3.2. Larval mortality during development 7.3.3. Mortality of <i>A. roseomarginata</i>-larvae under predator exclusion 7.3.4. Mortality of <i>A. roseomarginata</i>-eggs by egg parasitoids 	105 109 111 111
7.4. Discussion	112
7.4.1. Mortality and parasitism in different habitats7.4.2. Interspecific comparison of mortality and parasitism7.4.3. Mortality during development7.4.4. Quantitative analyses of mortality factors of <i>A. roseomarginata</i>	112 114 115 116
8 Phylogenetic relationships and evolution of host plant use	118
8.1. Introduction	118
8.2. Materials and methods	121
8.2.1. Beetle sampling 8.2.2. DNA extraction, PCR and nucleotide sequencing	121 121

8.2.3. Sequence alignment8.2.4. Tree-Building methods8.2.5. Outgroups and rooting	122 123 123
8.3. Results	123
8.3.1. The combined molecular phylogenetic tree8.3.2. Comparison of the molecular with the morphological tree8.3.3. Comparison of the molecular tree with the ecological cladogram8.3.4. Evolution of host plant use within the beetle community	123 125 126 126
8.4. Discussion	127
8.4.1. Molecular and morphological-systematic phylogenetic tree8.4.2. Molecular and ecological cladogram8.4.3. Evolution of host plant use	127 127 128
9 General discussion	130
10 Summary	136
11 References	142
12 Appendix: Photos	152