RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

How do applicants, students and physicians think about the feminisation of medicine? - a questionnaire-survey



D. Laurence¹, Y. Görlich¹ and A. Simmenroth^{2*}

Abstract

Background: The implications of the feminisation of medicine, which is characterised by a growing proportion of female doctors, is a topic currently being debated worldwide. To date, however, there has been no systematic survey of the viewpoint of present and future doctors on this subject. The aim of the present study is to determine how future and present doctors view this trend in terms of its relevance to the medical profession and its present impacts.

Methods: Of a total sample of 3813 people, 181 applicants for the winter term 2014, 590 medical students and 225 doctors of the UMG participated in this cross-sectional electronic questionnaire. The answers were analysed by means of the statistics program IBM SPSS Statistics 22. Open answers were qualitatively evaluated and categorised using the "Basiswissengeleitete offene Kategorienfindung" (Werner Früh) and coded for statistical analysis.

Results: The majority of our participants favoured a balanced gender-ratio among doctors: 77% of applicants, 68% of students and 61% of doctors rated this as important or very important. The results from the student and applicant groups differed concerning female gender. When answering in the role of a patient, the doctor's gender was found to be more relevant than when the participants were answering in the role of the doctor. The majority of the respondents opined that feminisation had had an impact on their workplace: particular factors included part-time work, work-related organisation and the diversity of the medical profession. Commentaries were mostly categorised as negative.

Conclusions: The feminisation of medicine was viewed largely critically by the participants of this study. The respondents evaluated gender as being relevant for the medical profession and favoured a diverse workforce; however, the significance of one's own gender in medical practice was underrated in comparison, implying a need for more awareness of the effect of a doctor's gender on the patient-doctor-relationship. The mainly negative comments concerning the impact of feminisiation on work organisation, work satisfaction and patient care show the need for further research and action to adapt current medical work practices to the changing demographics in order to improve work satisfaction and quality of care.

Keywords: Gender, Feminisation, Medical student, Doctor, Medical education, Attitude, Career choice

²Department of General Practice, University Hospital Würzburg, Josef-Schneider-Str. 2/ D7, 97080 Würzburg, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: Simmenroth_a@ukw.de

Laurence et al. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:48 Page 2 of 11

Introduction

Like many professions, medicine is undergoing continual changes that reflect transitions within the society which it serves. The qualities perceived as necessary to become a doctor and the definition of a 'good doctor' have been the subject of repeated discussions. For example, in the past, a paternalistic doctor-patient relationship held sway within the medical profession; however, this has been gradually supplanted by a caring partnership with the patient [1]. 'New' qualities such as empathy, good communication and social skills are now both desired and expected.

One of the relevant changes the medical profession is currently facing is the increasing fraction of women among doctors, the so-called 'feminisation of medicine'. In Germany, the percentage of female doctors has increased from 34% in 1991 to 46% in 2014 [2]. Considering that, since 2004, more than 60% of Germany's medical students have been female, further increases in this percentage can be expected in the future [3]. This trend is not exclusive to Germany, but rather constitutes a worldwide phenomenon. In many ways this is a positive development: for example, a majority of female patients are better able to relate to a physician of the same sex [4, 5] and this may lead to beneficial outcomes of their care. Also, female doctors generally exhibit higher levels of qualities such as empathy that are currently desired in the medical profession [6]. Furthermore, this trend can be viewed as a success for female emancipation after a long history of limited access for women to higher education and work. However, this trend also brings potentially problematic consequences. In the "OECD Health Working Papers 2006" (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), the increasing proportion of female doctors has been cited as a major contributing factor to the insufficient physician supply that is of growing concern in many OECD member states [7]. This study states that the time worked by female doctors is, on average, up to 15 h less per week than that of male doctors; additionally, many women do not reenter the profession after family leave. The feminisation of medicine has also been cited as one of the contributing factors to workforce shortages in specific fields such as medical research [8], and surgery [9], which have been observed as less attractive to women [8]. Moreover, medical leadership positions are still mainly occupied by men: Rochon et al. [10] partially attribute this to the difficulties women face in combining family life with a medical career, although a lack of identification with the profession and vague career goals might also be contributing to the underrepresentation of women in higher positions [11]. An additional factor may be cultural biases that lead to women being perceived as less successful and thus hinder them from being selected for positions of leadership [12].

In the relevant literature, the causes of the feminisation trend have been the subject of much controversy. On the one hand, Klemperer [1] argues that the recent loss of prestige and autonomy associated with the medical profession makes it less attractive to men. On the other hand, studies have shown that males are outperformed by females in both secondary school and tertiary education worldwide [13], decreasing their probability of being admitted to prestigious programs such as medicine. Budde [14] points to discrimination in school as a potential source of this "gender gap", whereby classic "boy behavior" often is censured and classic "girl behavior" rewarded. This not only appears to discourage boys from believing in their own potential from a young age [15], but also negatively influences teachers' grading of them [16, 17]. This effect may be partially balanced by the negative influence of gender stereotypes experienced by girls with regard to STEM subjects (science, technology, engineering, mathematics), which in many countries are essential for admission to medical school. Girls' lower interest and performance in STEM subjects might be related to the reduced expectations parents in many OECD countries have towards girls pursuing a career in a STEM field [13], as well as societal perceptions of STEM fields as "masculine" [18]. Studies have also suggested a gender bias to exist in teachers' grading of STEM subjects [19, 20].

The effects of the gender imbalance on the medical profession are manifold: the literature shows various aspects of doctor-patient interactions in which the gender of the doctor is perceived to be significant. Aside from patients' preferences for a specific gender, which can be genderconcordant or discordant [4, 21], a generally positive effect of "gender-matching" in the doctor-patient relationship [22] and treatment in specific settings, e.g. psychotherapy [23, 24], has been observed. Additionally, reviews have shown male and female doctors to exhibit different characteristic strengths and weaknesses, all of which are important for the medical profession in different settings: for example, women typically are more empathetic and have superior communication skills [6], whereas male doctors show greater confidence in broaching sensitive issues such as patients' sexual history [25] and are, in general, more work-efficient [26].

Moreover, Boylan & Grant [27] note how important it is that members of the medical profession reflect the society they serve — in ethnicity, race and gender — and thus conclude that the composition of members of the medical profession is, in itself, of importance to society. Therefore, with regard to both the importance of diversity within the profession and the relevance of gender for the doctor-patient relationship in various contexts, the present continuous increase of female doctors deserves attention.

Laurence et al. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:48 Page 3 of 11

Although this topic has been discussed at length in the literature, to our knowledge, members of the medical profession themselves have not yet been interrogated on their viewpoints and experiences as pertaining to this matter. The aim of this study is to contribute to the analysis and understanding of the 'feminisation of medicine' through a survey of individuals within the profession, to gauge present and future implications of this phenomenon, and to provide suggestions for future research.

Methods

For this cross-sectional study, we conducted a partly standardized electronic survey of individuals in the medical profession at the University of Göttingen in Germany.

Participants

We addressed medical school applicants, current medical students, and doctors of the UMG as potential future and current representatives of the medical profession. German medical-school education takes 6 years, of which the first two are preclinical, years 3 to 5 are clinical, and year six consists of clinical rotations. Of a total possible sample size of 3813 people, 181 applicants for the winter term 2014, 590 medical students and 225 doctors of the UMG participated in this survey. Our survey was included in an evaluation questionnaire reviewing the admission process that UMG applicants filled out by default in August 2014. Students and doctors (including residents, consultants, principal consultants and professors) were sent an emaillink for the online survey as well as a PDF copy of the survey via their respective electronic mailing lists in November-December 2014.

Measures and procedures

For the generation of the survey items, we conducted open interviews with four persons at different stages of their medical careers: a medical school applicant, a medical student, a resident and a principal consultant. From these interviews, we derived the following relevant topics associated with the "feminisation of medicine": reasons for the trend (including the current selection process of medical students in Germany), current and future implications of the feminisation, and the relevance of these implications to the medical profession. From these topics, we constructed the applicants' survey; this also doubled as a pilot study, since the main focus of our survey was on students and doctors. Following receipt and initial analysis of the applicants' responses, two out of six survey items were partially modified for the doctor and student surveys through categorization of the analysed free-text responses and conversion into closed multiple-choice questions with the option of a free-text answer, as the free text responses to these two items were found to be repetitive. Only one of these items is

discussed in this paper: "When you are a patient, is the gender of your doctor important to your bond of trust to him/her? *If yes, explain...*". The item "Based on your experience, how important is the gender of a doctor (in your specialty) for the bond of trust to their patients?" was added to the students' and doctors' survey as it only applied to these survey subgroups. The item "Does the trend of the feminisation of medicine have implications on your specialty field?" was generated from the interviews and added to the doctors' survey as it was only relevant to this survey subgroup.

Reasons for the feminisation trend will be addressed in a future publication; here we focus on its implications and their relevance to the medical profession.

Statistical analysis

The answers were analysed by means of the statistics software IBM SPSS Statistics 22; the tools used were descriptive statistics (e.g. mean, cross tabulation), the Mann-Whitney-U-test and Pearson's chi-squared test. Open answers were qualitatively evaluated and categorized using the "Basiswissengeleitete offene Kategorienfindung" (BoK, technique of categorization led through basic knowledge) [28] and coded for statistical analysis. The BoK follows a three-step text analysis. First, relevant passages for the research topic are extracted, condensed, segmented by propositions and categorized. In the second step, attributes are selected from within the extracted propositions, and are semantically analysed and labelled. Finally, these labelled text passages are grouped into final categories, which can be coded and used for further analysis. Unanswered items were excluded from the analysis, though other completed items by the same participant were processed.

Privacy protection and ethics approval of research

The study was conducted anonymously; the participants' generated data were assigned to automatically generated case numbers. An approval of our study by the ethics committee of the UMG was obtained in October 2014.

Results

Sample composition and response rate

The response rate among the applicants, medical students and doctors was 100, 25 and 17% respectively. Among the medical students, the participation rate was highest for clinical students (33%), followed by students in clinical rotation (26%) and preclinics (22%). In the group of doctors, the participation rate was lowest for residents (16%) and higher for fellows (31%), attending physicians (24%) and heads of department (31%). Doctors working in medical research were also included in the survey and partly make up the doctor subgroup "UQ".

In relation to the survey population, our sample of students is representative of the total student body of the UMG in terms of gender ratio and number of students per stage of medical education. Overall, a larger fraction of female doctors participated in our survey relative to the fraction of female doctors in the population. Also, proportionally more consultants and principal consultants answered our questionnaire than residents in comparison to the overall survey population (Table 1).

Importance of an evenly balanced gender ratio

The item "How important is it to you that both genders are represented in equally strong numbers among doctors?" was included in all three groups' surveys. Participants could choose their answer from a 5 point answer scale, which ranked from "very important" to "very unimportant". Here, a clearly positive verdict was reached: 77% of applicants, 68% of students and 61% of doctors

rated a balanced gender-ratio among doctors as important or very important (Table 2).

The Mann-Whitney-U-Test was utilized to determine differences in the participants' response pattern based on gender. Results showed significant differences within both the student and applicant groups: female participants found a balanced gender ratio more important than males (*p*-value for applicants and students was 0.038 and 0.02 respectively). However, no significant difference was found in response pattern between male and female doctors.

Importance of gender for doctor-patient relationship

To determine the importance of gender for the doctorpatient relationship, the following item was generated: "Based on your experience, how important is the gender of a doctor (in your specialty) for the bond of trust to their patients?" This item was included in the student

Table 1 Composition of sample

Survey group	Subgroup	Male	Female	UQ^{b}	Total
		N (%) ^a	N (%)	N	N (%)
Applicants		66 (36.5%)	115 (63.5%)	0	181 (100%)
	Total ^c	66 (36.5%)	115 (63.5%)		181 (100%)
Medical students	Preclinical ^d	71 (30.7%)	160 (69.3%)		231 (100%)
	Total	402 (39.1%)	626 (60.9%)		1028 (100%)
	Clinical ^e	92 (35.2%)	169 (64.8%)		261 (100%)
	Total	499 (38,6%)	794 (61,4%)		1293 (100%)
	Clinical rotation ^f	23 (31.1%)	51 (68.9%)		74 (100%)
	Total	?	?		281 (100%)
	UQ				24
	Subgroup total	187 (32.9%)	381 (67.1%)	2222	590 (100%)
	Total	901 (38,8%)	1420 (61,2%)		2321 (100%)
Doctors	Residents	36 (44.4%)	45 (55.6%)		81 (100%)
	Total	262 (52,4%)	238 (47,6%)		500 (100%)
	Fellows	14 (37.8%)	23 (62.2%)		37 (100%)
	Total	61 (51,3%)	58 (48,7%)		119 (100%)
	Att. Physicians ^g	34 (68.0%)	16 (32.0%)		50 (100%)
	Total	155 (73,8%)	55 (26,2%)		210 (100%)
	Heads of dep ^h	12 (80.0%)	3 (20.0%)		15 (100%)
	Total	44 (91,7%)	4 (8,3%)		48 (100,0%)
	UQ^{i}				42
	Subgroup total	112 (52.1%)	103 (47.9%)	10	225 (100.0%)

 $^{^{}a}N = 100.0\%$ refers to the total number of survey participants, who answered this question

^bUQ unanswered question. This question was not answered by participant

^cTotal refers to the total population of the respective subgroups from which the sample was drawn

^dPreclinical years comprise of the first 2 years of German medical school education

^eClinical years comprise the years 3 to 5 of German medical school education

^fClinical rotations make up year 6 of German medical school education

^gAttending physicians

^hHeads of department

Dentists and doctors working in medical research were also part of the email list. From an item not included in this paper we know that 34 medical researchers and 8 dentists participated in the study which we assume make up the number of UQ in this sample

Laurence et al. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:48 Page 5 of 11

Table 2 Importance of an evenly balanced gender ratio

Survey group	Item answer	Male	Female	Total
		N (%) ^a	N (%) ^a	N (%)
Applicants	Very important	23 (35.9%)	56 (50.0%)	79 (44.9%)
	Important	22 (34.4%)	34 (30.4%)	56 (31.8%)
	Partly important	9 (14.1%)	15 (13.4%)	24 (13.6%)
	Unimportant	6 (9.4%)	5 (4.5%)	11 (6.3%)
	Very unimportant	4 (6.3%)	2 (1.8%)	6 (3.4%)
	UQ			5
	Total	64 (100.0%)	112 (100.0%)	176 (100.0%)
Medical students	Very important	42 (22.5%)	103 (27.0%)	146 ^b (25.6%)
	Important	74 (39.6%)	167 (43.8%)	243° (42.6%)
	Partly important	38 (20.3%)	76 (19.9%)	114 (20.0%)
	Unimportant	24 (12.8%)	26 (6.8%)	50 (8.8%)
	Very unimportant	9 (4.8%)	9 (2.4%)	18 (3.2%)
	UQ			19
	Total	187 (100.0%)	381 (100.0%)	571 (100.0%)
Doctors	Very important	27 (24.1%)	25 (24.3%)	53 ^a (24.5%)
	Important	42 (37.5%)	36 (35.0%)	78 (36.1%)
	Partly important	24 (21.4%)	22 (21.4%)	46 (21.3%)
	Unimportant	15 (13.4%)	18 (17.5%)	33 (15.3%)
	Very unimportant	4 (3.6%)	2 (1.9%)	6 (2.8%)
	UQ			9
	Total	112 (100.0%)	103 (100.0%)	216 (100.0%)

^aThe percentage refers to the respective ratio of female or male survey participants

and doctor surveys. In the following item, participants were given the opportunity to explain their standpoint in a free-text answer.

There was no clear answering tendency in the student group (see Table 3). Pearson's chi-square tests showed no significant differences in answering pattern based on the gender of the students or their year in medical school.

When asked to explain their position, most students who rated gender as "important" or "very important" stated that the doctor's gender mattered in intimate body examinations. Others commented that patients generally preferred a doctor of the same or a specific gender. Age and the individual history of the patient were also mentioned as factors: students observed that these might lead to problems opening up to the opposite sex. Students also frequently observed discrimination towards female doctors from male colleagues or elderly patients. According to the comments, female doctors were seen as less competent as male doctors because of their gender.

For students who rated gender as "unimportant" or "very unimportant", the following factors rather than

Table 3 Importance of gender for doctor-patient relationship

Medical Students: Based on your experience, how important is the doctor's gender for the bond of trust to their patients?		Doctors: Based on your experience, how important is the gender of a doctor in your specialty for the bond of trust to their patients?		
Response Category	N (%)	Response Category	N (%)	
Very unimportant	37 (6.6%)	Very unimportant	29 (14.4%)	
Unimportant	274 (49%)	Unimportant	108 (53.7%)	
Important	228 (40.8%)	Important	60 (29.9%)	
Very important	20 (3.6%)	Very important	4 (2.0%)	
Total	559 (100.0%)	Total	201 (100.0%)	
UQ	31	UQ	24	

^bOne entry for "very important" without declaration of gender

^c Two entries for "important" without declaration of gender

Laurence et al. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:48 Page 6 of 11

gender were named as being crucial to win the patient's trust: competence, character, empathy, social/communication skills, and a confident appearance (in descending order of frequency). Students still noted, however, that a doctor's gender plays a role when it comes to intimate body examinations.

Doctors overall rated gender as "unimportant" for the doctor-patient relationship (Table 3). No significant differences were found based on the gender of the doctor (Pearson's chi-squared test).

In the free-text answers, doctors who rated gender as "important" or "very important" stated most commonly that patients tend to open up more to a doctor of the same gender. The second most common response was the lack of recognition given to female doctors in comparison to male doctors. Other responses named elderly patients as more resistant to accepting female doctors. Gender was also seen as important in the field of psychotherapy.

Similar to student responses, doctors who found gender "unimportant" declared other factors such as competence, empathy, character, and communication skills as crucial for the doctor-patient relationship. Doctors who rated gender as "very unimportant" most frequently mentioned that there was hardly any patient contact in their field as an explanation for their answer.

Doctors and students were also asked how they rated the importance of the doctor's gender when they were in the position of being a patient themselves: "When you are a patient, is the gender of your doctor important to your bond of trust to him/her?" It is noteworthy that doctor's gender was found to be more relevant in this scenario, with 43% of doctors and 52% of the students affirming the gender to be important.

Implications of feminisation

We questioned doctors on the implications of feminisation on their workplace: "Does the trend of the feminisation of medicine have implications on your specialty field?" Doctors were asked to answer with "yes" or "no" and explain their choice in the subsequent open question.

A majority of 57% answered in the affirmative. Table 4 shows topics and categories of the most common freetext answers (the minimum to be included here was set to 5 for each category) as well as sample responses for each category. Doctors noted an increase of female doctors, part-time work, work absences and remarked on resulting struggles to organize overnight and weekend shifts. A loss of diversity in the medical profession was commented upon, as were demands from doctors for working-time models and staffing levels to be adapted to the new work preferences and absences. Other respondents remarked on a decline of passion for a career in medicine and for the profession itself, as well as a lack of

women in higher ranks. Some doctors reported a negative working climate caused by an increased workload for male and childless doctors. Lastly, comments showed that preferences for a male therapist or doctor could not be met despite some patients' wishes.

In addition to categorizing the free-text answers, we classified the comments as "overall negative" and "overall positive" to depict the emotions participants displayed towards feminisation, which in some cases were expressed very strongly. Comments which did not indicate a clear emotion were classified as "neutral". Less than half of the responses were rated as neutral; overwhelmingly, the most commonly expressed emotion was "negative" (Table 4).

Discussion

Our survey with UMG applicants, students and doctors aims to give insight into how the "feminisation of medicine" is viewed within the medical community, in particular regarding its relevance and implications to the profession.

Relevance of feminisation

To address the relevance of feminisation, participants were first asked to rate the importance of gender balance among the medical workforce (item 1) followed by an evaluation of the importance of gender for the doctor-patient-relationship from different perspectives (item 2). These questions aimed to provide insight into the understanding that current and future doctors have of their own profession, and whether this understanding in some aspects conflicts with the current trend of feminisation.

Gender balance

The question of gender balance was answered unambiguously in all three survey groups: a balanced gender ratio among doctors was found to be "important" or "very important." Applicants rated this more frequently as "very important" than the other survey groups. Additionally, our results showed that female participants in the student and applicant groups rated gender balance as significantly more important than men.

To our knowledge, this question has not been asked previously in the literature and therefore the relevant findings constitute a new result. Indirect explanations can be derived from non-medical studies: a US-American study investigating the job satisfaction of a random sample of 1600 employees via telephone interview concluded that staff of a gender balanced team was most satisfied [29]. A review of 7 other studies from 1987 to 1998, however, shows differing results: men were most satisfied in maledominated teams, while interestingly women also showed a tendency to prefer a male-dominated workplace [30]. The pattern of answers in our study may be explained by

Table 4	Implication	of feminisation	on the	workplace
Iable T	IIIIDIICation	OI ICITIII II SALIOIT	OH LINE	WOINDIACE

Table 4 Implication of feminisation on the workplace		
Topics	Nª	Sample of responses
1. Doctor team composition		
Relative increase in part-time workers.	31	"More and more part-time work. There is a decreasing willingness to take over on-call duties because of family reasons."
Increase of female doctors.		"Almost only female colleagues! (except for the attending doctors)"
		"A lot of female applicants for open positions, only few male applicants."
2. Organisation of hospital work		
Increase of work absences due to pregnancy and maternity/parental leave.	28	"High ratio of female doctors in our department, resulting in work absences because of maternity leave, pregnancy, sickness of children."
Increased difficulties in organising overnight/weekend shifts due to part-time work or work absences.	16	"The organisation of overnight/weekend shifts with an increased ratio of mothers demands more flexibility from all the doctors. In my opinion, also more staff are needed. Gaps in the staffing level during pregnancy, maternity leave and parental time cannot simply be compensated for by substitute staff. Instead, incentives should be created."
3. Diversity of the medical profession		
Profession becomes lopsided because of missing male	14	"Women are highly overrepresented."
colleagues.		"There are way too few male therapists who can be healthy "role models" especially to male patients with pathological developments."
		"Our wards are almost entirely made up of female therapists and doctors, which is unfavourable for some of our patients. There is a high demand for part-time work which makes teamwork and additional scientific research difficult."
Less time for invasive therapy.	5	"There is a tendency for less invasive therapy because of an increased ratio of female doctors."
. Demand for structural changes		
Adjustments of working-time models and staffing levels are necessary to adapt to the feminised workforce.		"The present working-time models only allow a limited use of mothers. This results in a surplus work load for male doctors as they have to cover for the strenuous overnight/weekend shifts."
		"There are problems in organising overnight/weekend shifts: part-time work ing mothers, but a lack of job-sharing work models and adjustments for part-time work which are highly needed! Because: feminisation of medicine!!!"
		"More women, more mothers, more part-time work, more pregnancies = les workforce for overnight shifts etc., leading to a build-up of work. Part-time work has been accepted by our institution but the concept has not been well translated into our daily hospital work."
		"Without making a judgement here, it can be observed that a fluctuation of our staffing levels because of pregnancy and sickness of children at home is more frequent with female colleagues. If the institute was better equipped to compensate for these fluctuations, it wouldn't be a problem. But it is not."
5. Working morale		
Family-friendliness of the profession has become more important	13	"Almost all attendees are male. Women oftentimes leave the clinic."
than career; less passion/motivation for the profession.		"Less women want to be self-employed; being an employee is more advantageous for family planning. There is a tendency towards shared practices."
		"As there are no overnight or weekend shifts in pathology, we have an increasing ratio of female doctors. Unfortunately, it seems that many female doctors make that choice because of the working time instead of a passion for the field. This results in a decrease in motivation and zeal and therefore in work quality. The actual important function of a pathologist is not appreciated."
5. Leading positions		
Despite feminisation there are more men in leading positions.	6	"More female than male employees, especially part-time. These jobs have little chances for promotion. If there is a vacancy, it is filled with a man."
7. Working climate		
	_	

Negative working climate because of a work surplus for male and 7 "More pregnant women, more (single) mothers in part-time work, more

Laurence et al. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:48 Page 8 of 11

Table 4 Implication of feminisation on the workplace (Continued)

Topics	Nª	Sample of responses
childless doctors.		work absences, more work for the others (men and those without children)!"
8. Patient care		
Patients wish to be treated by a male therapist/doctor.	7	"Male doctors and therapists are scarce in medical fields with children and adolescents. But parents specifically ask for them."
		"Some parents of our young patients choose a doctor of a specific gender. Quote from a patient's mother: "From nursery to the end of high school, there has been mainly female supervision for my son – please now not the orthodontist as well." After saying that, they chose a male colleague."
11. Overall evaluation of responses	N^{a}	
Emotionally negative responses	60	
Emotionally positive responses	8	
Emotionally neutral responses	56	
12. Perspective towards the future		
Negative perspective: I don't believe that medicine is prepared for the change caused by feminisation or can adapt to it. I believe working conditions will be aggravated further in the future.	46	
Positive perspective: I believe there will be a change of working conditions because of feminisation. I believe working conditions will improve.	14	
Neutral perspective: Working conditions should change to adapt to the feminisation of medicine.	11	

^aN Number of responses

increased job satisfaction when the gender ratio is balanced or more male-dominated, which appears to be especially the case for our female participants. Comparisons to our study may be limited in their usefulness as these prior studies were not specifically performed at medical workplaces; also, more recent studies on this topic are rare. Another explanation could be that ideological convictions or social desirability [31] influenced the participants to answer in favour of a balanced gender ratio, as diversity among doctors is a concept that has been described and promoted internationally [27, 32].

Relevance of doctors' gender for the doctor-patient relationship

Participants in our study generally rated the doctor's gender as unimportant rather than important in establishing a bond of trust with patients.

When rated as important, students and doctors most often reasoned that gender mattered for the conducting of intimate examinations and that patients tended to open up more towards a doctor of their own gender. Literature supports these claims – many patients prefer a doctor of their own sex for intimate examinations, such as anal and genital examinations by their general practitioner [5], gynaecological [33], urological [21, 34] and endoscopic examinations [35, 36]. That patients preferentially trust doctors of the same sex follows from a review by Sandhu et al. [22], which concluded that gender-

concordant doctor-patient relationships are distinguished by less tension and more ease. Psychotherapy was named as another area in which the gender of the therapist was relevant. Literature provides conflicting results as to whether patients prefer a psychotherapist of their own gender. Blow et al. [23] conclude that a patient's preferences and experiences are distinct and a diverse pool of therapists, also in regard to gender, is essential to adapt the therapy to the individual patient.

Comments from both students and doctors also contained reports of discrimination against female doctors, with patients and colleagues rating female doctors as less competent because of their sex. This finds resonance in the literature, where men are seen as more "technically competent"; however, positive stereotypes such as social empathy are more commonly associated with female doctors. Overall, both female and male doctors seem to be similarly advantaged and harmed by stereotypes [5], but another study suggests that such stereotypes are rarely acted upon by patients in choosing a physician [37].

The most commonly given reason to rate a doctor's gender as "unimportant" or "very unimportant" was the secondariness of gender to other attributes such as competence, character or empathy. These statements find support in literature: patients prefer a more competent doctor of either gender to a less competent doctor of the same gender [34, 38, 39]. Indirect evidence can be derived from other studies in which a substantial fraction

Laurence et al. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:48 Page 9 of 11

of patients did not rate gender as an important factor in a doctor and therefore can be assumed to value other characteristics instead, even though this wasn't directly asked of them [4, 5, 21, 33, 35, 36].

We observed a discrepancy in students' and doctors' assessments of the relevance of a doctor's gender depending on the respondent's role: when asked to judge in the role of a patient, the gender of a doctor was rated as less relevant than when in the role of a doctor, and was even underestimated given the evidence in literature. This discrepancy hints at a superordinate concept of the medical profession which influenced the participants' answers. The Declaration of Geneva [40] dictates gender neutrality for the medical profession: the gender of the patient may not intervene with the doctor's conduct. However, the conclusion that neither the doctor's nor the patient's gender may play a role in the doctorpatient relationship constitutes a fallacy. The doctor should not expect the same gender neutrality from his patient that is demanded from him; on the contrary, as part of their professionalism, doctors should be very aware of their gender and its possible impacts when dealing with patients to ensure a best possible and patient-oriented care. Considering the various educational backgrounds of the respondents of this survey, it is clear that there is a widespread deficiency in teaching gender awareness within the German medical education system. We would recommend that medical educators, faculty, staff and also national associations pull together to discuss and reflect upon gender awareness, beginning with bed-side-teaching situations, lectures or even changing curricula and learning objectives.

Our study was limited in the sample sizes from specific medical fields. Therefore, no firm conclusions could be drawn as to whether doctors of medical fields in which the doctor's gender had been regarded in previous studies as more important (e.g. gynaecology, urology, psychiatry and general medicine) are aware of the relevance of their own gender. This would be an interesting question for further research.

Implications of feminisation

One of the most frequent statements by our survey participants concerned the changing composition of the medical team, with an increasing number of female doctors as well as staff working part-time. This agrees with an internationally observed trend: an increasing number of female doctors (who are more prone to work part-time) results in overall reduced "hours worked" by doctors, meaning that for the same number of employed doctors, less hours have been worked. In some European countries the average hours worked per female doctor has been estimated as less than 75% of average hours worked per male doctor [41]. According to the OECD

Health Working Papers, a further proportional increase in female doctors (without a corresponding increase in overall doctor numbers) would lead to an increased shortage of doctors in terms of work hours [7].

Part-time work and a feminised workforce were overall negatively connoted in our study, viewed as leading to more absences from work due to pregnancy, maternity and parental leave, while single and male doctors felt an overload of work in compensating for their female colleagues. At the same time, pregnant and female doctors felt unfairly judged.

Another aspect criticised in the open answers was a decline in diversity of the medical profession as a consequence of feminisation: the workforce was more female dominated, making it hard to accommodate special wishes of patients in regard to gender. Additionally, capacities for specialisation and research are in decline. That the feminisation of medicine is one of several important factors contributing to the so-called "crisis of academic medicine" has been described by the "International Campaign to Revitalise Academic Medicine" [8]. Women tend to place more importance in the family-friendliness of their job than in a career in academia and research.

Other respondents lamented the fact that, despite the feminisation of medicine, higher positions are still dominated by men [13]. This ambivalence between the prioritisation of family over career and the concern of a glass ceiling for women in leading positions finds resonance in the literature. On the one hand, women show different ideas and expectations towards their own profession, with a stronger wish for part-time work, a preference for working in a smaller hospital, improved work-life-balance and a reduced interest in specialising, surgery or a medical career than men [42–45]. On the other hand, there is evidence for a lack of equal opportunities for women who do strive for a career. Often, only childless women are able to pursue a medical career [46, 47], and women generally have fewer opportunities to reach higher positions than men, a phenomenon which is described as the "leaky pipeline" [48–50]. There is strong evidence that a contributing factor to the latter phenomenon is implicit gender bias and discrimination [12].

At any rate, there is agreement in politics and the literature about the need to address the "leaky pipeline", and create opportunities for women to pursue a career besides family. A call for the adaptation of present working conditions and working-time models to the increasing number of female doctors is also made by the participants of our survey — not only to facilitate mothers to follow their medical careers, but also to avoid overloading childless and male doctors. Clearly, the increased participation of women in the medical workforce brings with it many positive implications an, as a worldwide trend, is unlikely to

Laurence et al. BMC Medical Education (2020) 20:48 Page 10 of 11

change in the immediate future. Nevertheless, we must also be mindful of the potentially problematic consequences of this trend, and the predominantly negative statements made regarding the trend of feminisation in this survey emphasise that current systems are poorly equipped to cope with these developments.

Limitations

Our response rate of 26% is in line with comparable electronic surveys in Germany, but is nevertheless low. This introduces the possibility of bias amongst respondents, i.e., individuals with particularly strong opinions on this topic may have answered preferentially. As the applicant survey doubled as a pilot study that helped us generate closed questions from free-text responses, the sampling method and response rate differed from the student and doctor surveys. This limits the comparableness of the results of our survey subgroups. The makeup of the sampled students was representative of the medical student body in terms of gender ratio and stage of medical education; however, overall more female doctors participated in our study and comparatively more consultants and principal consultants than residents. Hence the representativeness of the doctor survey group to the doctors at UMG is limited.

Conclusions

The feminisation of medicine was mostly viewed critically by the participants of this study. The respondents evaluated gender as being relevant for the medical profession and favoured a diverse workforce. However, the significance of one's own gender in medical practice was underrated in comparison, implying a need for more awareness of the impact of a doctor's own gender on the doctorpatient-relationship, beginning in medical school. The mainly negative comments concerning the impact of feminisation on work organisation, work satisfaction and patient care show the need for action to adapt the current medical work practices to this trend: male and childless doctors feel overloaded with work in the current model, while working mothers and mothers on work absence feel pressured and judged. With an increasing number of female students soon to be doctors, one should expect the impacts of feminisation to become only more prominent. We recommend that hospitals adapt their work system to the changing demographics of medical professionals.

Overall it should be noted that society is best served by a diverse medical profession that reflects the society in its composition in terms of ethnicity, race and gender. Our study showed that diversity in terms of gender balance is important to the members of the medical profession themselves. Further research is recommended regarding what adaptations in the workplace will improve work satisfaction and quality of patient care in the context of the increased feminisation of medicine.

Abbreviations

BoK: "Basiswissengeleitete offene Kategoriefindung", technique of categorization led through basic knowledge; OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; STEM: Science, technology, engineering, mathematics; UMG: Universitätsmedizin Göttingen; UQ: Unanswered question

Acknowledgements

We thank Natalie Miltenberger and Stuart Laurence for critically reading the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

All authors substantially contributed to the conception and design of this study. DL and YG collected and analysed the data. AS and DL drafted the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

ANNE SIMMENROTH, MD, PhD, is working as a researcher and family physician. Areas of interest: medical education, simulated patients, admission tests. YVONNE GÖRLICH, Dr. phil, is responsible for the contents for the admission procedure at the Göttingen University Center. Areas of interest: medical education, admission tests. DOROTHEA LAURENCE, MD, Dr. med., recently completed her medical studies at the University of Göttingen. Her doctoral thesis was on the subject of "Feminisation in Medicine".

Funding

There was no funding – we did the survey from own internal resources.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study as available here, but in German: https://ediss.uni-goettingen.de/bitstream/handle/11858/00-1735-0000-0023-3F8C-D/Dissertation%20Dorothea%20Laurence.pdf?sequence=1 and from one of the authors: Anne Simmenroth: Simmenroth_a@ukw.de

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Because no patient data or relevant data from student examinations or tests have been used, the ethics committee of the University Medical Center Göttingen informed us that only an "ethic request" without formal ethicapplication was necessary and approved our study (application number: 24/7/14An).

Participants gave written informed consent (see methods).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

All authors (DL, AS, YG) declare: they did not receive any financial support for the submitted work from anyone other than their employer; have no financial relationships with commercial entities that might have an interest in the submitted work, and no non-financial interests that may be relevant to the submitted work.

Author details

¹Department of General Practice and Study Deanery, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen 37073, Germany. ²Department of General Practice, University Hospital Würzburg, Josef-Schneider-Str. 2/ D7, 97080 Würzburg, Germany.

Received: 6 July 2018 Accepted: 4 February 2020 Published online: 11 February 2020

References

- Klemperer D. Vom Paternalismus zur Partnerschaft eine Profession im Wandel. In: Pundt J, editor. Professionalisierung im Gesundheitswesen: Positionen, Potenziale, Perspektiven. Bern: Hans Huber; 2006. p. 61–75.
- Statistisches Bundesamt. Auf dem Weg zur Gleichstellung?: Bildung, Arbeit und Soziales – Unterschiede zwischen Frauen und M\u00e4nnern. 2014. https:// www.destatis.de/DE/PresseService/Presse/Pressekonferenzen/2014/ Gleichstellung/begleitheft_Gleichstellung_2014.pdf?__blob=publicationFile. Accessed 08 Aug 2016.

- Statistisches Bundesamt. Studierende insgesamt und Studierende Deutsche im Studienfach Medizin (Allgemein-Medizin) nach Geschlecht. 2014. https:// www.destatis.de/DE/ZahlenFakten/Indikatoren/LangeReihen/Bildung/Irbil05. html?cms_gtp=152382_list%253D1&https=1. Accessed 08 Aug 2016.
- Fang MC, McCarthy EP, Singer DE. Are patients more likely to see physicians of the same sex? Recent national trends in primary care medicine. Am J Med. 2004;117:575–81.
- Fennema K, Meyer DL, Owen N. Sex of physician: patients' preferences and stereotypes. J Fam Pract. 1990;30:441–6.
- Roter DL, Hall JA, Aoki Y. Physician gender effects in medical communication: a meta-analytic review. JAMA. 2002;288:756–64
- Simoens S, Hurst J. The supply of physicians services in OECD Countries. OECD Health Working Papers, 21. http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/27/22/35 987490.pdf. Accessed 09 Aug 2016.
- Awasthi S, Beardmore J, Clark J, Hadridge P, Madani H, Marusic A, et al. The future of academic medicine: five scenarios to 2025. New York: Milbank Memorial Fund: 2005.
- Alers M, van Leerdam L, Dielissen P, Lagro-Janssen A. Gendered specialities during medical education: a literature review. Perspect Med Educ. 2014;3: 163–78.
- Rochon PA, Davidoff F, Levinson W. Women in academic medicine leadership: has anything changed in 25 years? Acad Med. 2016;91:1053–6.
- Beerheide R, Schlitt R. Frauen in der Medizin: Viele Optionen, selten Karriere. Dtsch Arztebl. 2016;113:962–4.
- Carnes M, Bartels CM, Kaatz A, Kolehmainen C. Why is John more likely to become department chair than Jennifer? Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc. 2015;126:197–214.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The ABC of Gender Equality in Education: Aptitude, Behaviour, Confidence (PISA). Paris: OECD Publishing; 2015.
- Budde J. Bildungs(Miss)erfolge von Jungen und Berufswahlverhalten bei Jungen / männlichen Jugendlichen. 2008. https://www.bmbf.de/pub/ Bildungsmisserfolg.pdf. Accessed 14 July 2014.
- Greenhalgh T, Seyan K, Boynton P. "Not a university type": Focus group study of social class, ethnic, and sex differences in school pupils' perceptions about medical school. BMJ. 2004;328:1541.
- Cornwell C, Mustard DB, Van Parys J. Noncognitive skills and the gender disparities in test scores and teacher assessments: evidence from primary school. J Hum Resour. 2013;48(1):236–64.
- Hartley BL, Sutton RM. A stereotype threat account of boys' academic underachievement. Child Dev. 2013;84:1716–33.
- Archer L, DeWitt J, Osborne J, Dillon J, Willis B, Wong B. 'Not girly, not sexy, not glamorous': primary school girls' and parents' constructions of science aspirations. Pedagog Cult Soc. 2013;21:171–94.
- Lavy V, Sand E. On the origins of gender gaps in human capital: Short- and long-term consequences of teachers' biases. J Public Econ. 2018;167:263–79.
- 20. Hofer SI. Studying gender Bias in physics grading: the role of teaching experience and country. Int J Sci Educ. 2015;37:2879–905.
- 21. Carrejo MH, Balla DJ, Tan RS. Preference for gender of health care provider in management of erectile dysfunction. Int J Impot Res. 2007;19:474–9.
- Sandhu H, Adams A, Singleton L, Clark-Carter D, Kidd J. The impact of gender dyads on doctor-patient communication: a systematic review. Patient Educ Couns. 2009;76:348–55.
- Blow AJ, Timm TM, Cox R. The role of the therapist in therapeutic change: does therapist gender matter? J Fem Fam Ther. 2008;20:66–86.
- 24. Wintersteen MB, Mensinger JL, Diamond GS. Do gender and racial differences between patient and therapist affect therapeutic alliance and treatment retention in adolescents? Prof Psychol Res Pr. 2005;36(4):400–8.
- 25. Haboubi NHJ, Lincoln N. Views of health professionals on discussing sexual issues with patients. Disabil Rehabil. 2003;25:291–6.
- Bloor K, Freemantle N, Maynard A. Gender and variation in activity rates of hospital consultants. J R Soc Med. 2008;101:27–33.
- Boylan M, Grant RE. Diversity and professional excellence. J Natl Med Assoc. 2004;96:1354–62.
- Früh W. Kategorienexploration der Inhaltsanalyse. Basiswissengeleitete offene Kategorienfindung (BoK). In: Wirth W, Lauf E, editors. Inhaltsanalyse: Perspektiven, Probleme, Potentiale. Köln: Herbert von Halem Verlag; 2001. p. 117–39.
- Fields DL, Blum TC. Employee satisfaction in work groups with different gender composition. J Fem Fam Ther. 1997;18:181–96.

- 30. Peccei R, Lee H. The impact of gender similarity on employee satisfaction at work: a review and re-evaluation. J Manag Stud. 2005;42:1571–92.
- 31. Porst R. Fragebogen: Ein Arbeitsbuch. 4th ed. Wiesbaden: Springer VS; 2014.
- 32. BMA Equal Opportunities Committee. Equality and diversity in UK medical schools, 2009. https://www.bma.org.uk/-/media/files/pdfs/developing%2 0your%20career/becoming%20a%20doctor/equality%20diversity%20in%2 0medical%20schools%202011.pdf?la=en. Accessed 15 Aug 2016.
- Tobler KJ, Wu J, Khafagy AM, Pier BD, Torrealday S, Londra L. Gender preference of the obstetrician gynecologist provider. Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 127:43S
- 34. Amir H, Beri A, Yechiely R, Amir Levy Y, Shimonov M, Groutz A. Do urology male patients prefer same-gender urologist? Am J Mens Health. 2016:1–5.
- Schneider A, Kanagarajan N, Anjelly D, Reynolds JC, Ahmad A. Importance of gender, socioeconomic status, and history of abuse on patient preference for endoscopist. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104:340–8.
- Varadarajulu S, Petruff C, Ramsey WH. Patient preferences for gender of endoscopists. Gastrointest Endosc. 2002;56:170–3.
- Himmelstein MS, Sanchez DT. Masculinity in the doctor's office: masculinity, gendered doctor preference and doctor-patient communication. Prev Med. 2016;84:34–40.
- 38. Waseem M, Ryan M. "doctor" or "doctora": do patients care? Pediatr Emerg Care. 2005;21:515–7.
- 39. Tempest HV, Vowler S, Simpson A. Patients' preference for gender of urologist. Int J Clin Pract. 2005;59:526–8.
- World Medical Association. Declaration of Geneva. 2006. https://www.wma. net/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/WMA_DECLARATION-OF-GENEVA_A4_EN. pdf. Accessed 31 Mar 2017.
- Kopetsch T. Dem deutschen Gesundheitswesen gehen die Ärzte aus!: Studie zur Altersstruktur und Arztzahlentwicklung. 2010. http://www.kbv.de/media/ sp/Arztzahlstudie_2010.pdf. Accessed 25 Aug 2016.
- Gedrose B, Wonneberger C, Jünger J, Robra B, Schmidt A, Stosch C, et al. Haben Frauen am Ende des Medizinstudiums andere Vorstellungen über Berufstätigkeit und Arbeitszeit als ihre männlichen Kollegen?: Ergebnisse einer multizentrischen postalischen Befragung. Dtsch Med Wochenschr. 2012;137:1242–7.
- 43. Buddeberg-Fischer B, Stamm M, Buddeberg C, Bauer G, Haemmig O, Knecht M, et al. The impact of gender and parenthood on physicians' careers professional and personal situation seven years after graduation. BMC Health Serv Res. 2010;10:40.
- Hibbeler B, Korzilius H. Die Medizin wird weiblich. Dtsch Arztebl. 2008;105: A609–6012.
- 45. Klima S, Hepp P, Hammer N, Steinke H. Nachwuchsgewinnung für unser Fachgebiet. Düsseldorf: German Medical Science GMS Publishing House; 2015.
- Jerg-Bretzke L, Limbrecht K. Where have they gone? A discussion on the balancing act of female doctors between work and family. GMS J Med Educ. 2012;29:Doc19.
- Tappeser K. Chancengleichheit von Frauen und M\u00e4nnern in der Medizin ein wichtiges Anliegen der Wissenschaftspolitik in Baden-W\u00fcrttemberg. GMS J Med Educ. 2012;29:36.
- 48. Metaxa V. Is this (still) a man's world? Crit Care. 2013;17:112.
- Wright AL, Schwindt LA, Bassford TL, Reyna VF, Shisslak CM, St Germain PA, et al. Gender differences in academic advancement: patterns, causes, and potential solutions in one US College of medicine. Acad Med. 2003;78:500–8.
- Zhuge Y, Kaufman J, Simeone DM, Chen H, Velazquez OC. Is there still a glass ceiling for women in academic surgery? Ann Surg. 2011;253:637–43.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.