Cloning Vectors Derived from Plasmids and Phage of Bacillus

JÜRGEN KREFT* AND COLIN HUGHES*

1 Introduction

Bacillus subtilis is a well-characterized, gram-positive, non-pathogenic, spore-forming soil bacterium which produces a wide array of extracellular enzymes (for reviews see *Young* 1980; *Priest* 1977; *Henner* and *Hoch* 1980). The development of molecular cloning systems within this organism will not only greatly assist biochemical and genetic studies but should play a fundamental role in the further development of biotechnological processes based on the *Bacilli*.

As described by *Ehrlich* (this volume), following the realization that antibiotic resistance plasmids from *Staphylococcus aureus* could be transformed into *B. subtilis* (*Ehrlich* 1977), much effort has been devoted to developing *S. aureus* plasmid cloning vectors for the *Bacilli*. In this review we will describe the current status of vectors constructed from plasmids and phage indigenous to the *Bacilli*.

In the wake of the development of recombinant DNA techniques in *E. coli,* studies of *Bacillus* plasmids gave way to searches aimed at isolating potential cloning vectors. Extrachromosomal DNA in *Bacillus* was first demonstrated in *B. megaterium* and since then many reports have been made of plasmids in this species (*Carlton* and *Smith* 1974; *Rostas* et al. 1980), *B. subtilis* (*Lovett* and *Bramucci* 1975; *Tanaka* and *Koshikawa* 1977; *Bernhard* et al. 1978; *Uozumi* et al. 1980), and *B. pumilus* (*Lovett* et al. 1976).

---

* Institut für Genetik und Mikrobiologie der Universität, Röntgenring 11, D-8700 Würzburg, West Germany

Table 1. *Bacillus* plasmids developed as cloning vehicles

Plasmid	Source	Mol. wt. ($\times 10^{-6}$)	Copy number	Restriction sites	Marker	Reference
pLS 28	*B. subtilis (natto)*	4.1	5	*Eco*RI(2), *Bam*HI(1), *Hin*dIII(5)	-	*Tanaka* and *Koshikawa* 1977
pBS 1	*B. subtilis*	5.5	6	*Eco*RI(1), *Bam*HI(1), *Sal*I(1), *Pst*I(3), *Hin*dIII(6)	-	*Bernhard* et al. 1978
pBC 16	*B. cereus*	3.0	20	*Eco*RI(2), *Bam*HI(1)	Tc^R	*Bernhard* et al. 1978
pAB 124	*B. stearo-thermophilus*	2.9	?	*Eco*RI(3), *Hin*dIII(2), single sites for *Bst*EII, *Cau*I, *Hpa*I, *Xba*I	Tc^R	*Bingham* et al. 1979

Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of restriction sites for this enzyme

However, the majority of these plasmids, in most cases isolated from culture collection strains, lack readily identifiable markers and are thus not of immediate use as cloning vehicles. Nevertheless two such cryptic plasmids, *pBS*1 and *pLS*28, have been developed further. Examination of environmental isolates has proved more successful. *Bernhard* et al. (1978) isolated the tetracycline resistance (Tc^R) plasmid *pBC*16 from a strain of *B. cereus* found in soil, and the antibiotic-resistant thermophiles discovered in river sludge and silage yielded the two Tc^R plasmids *pAB*124 and *pAB*128 (*Bingham* et al. 1979).

The following section describes the development of some of these plasmids (Table 1) as cloning vehicles.

2 Development and Use of Vector Plasmids

2.1 Vectors Capable of Replication Only in B. subtilis

The tetracycline resistance plasmid *pBC*16 isolated from *B. cereus* can be transformed into *B. subtilis* (*Bernhard* et al. 1978) in which it replicates quite stably with no detectable segregation after more than 100 generations without selective pressure. It contains two *Eco*RI sites and in order to determine if these sites were within the tetracycline resistance gene(s) *pBC*16 was partially and completely digested with *Eco*RI and ligated with *Eco*RI linearized *pBS*1, a cryptic plasmid isolated from *B. subtilis*.

None of the Tc^R colonies obtained after transformation of competent cells of *B. subtilis* 168 with the ligated mixture contained a complete hybrid of the two parental plasmids but several derivatives were isolated (Fig. 1), *pBC*16-1, *pBS*161, and *pBS*161-1 being of particular interest (*Kreft* et al. 1978). The plasmid *pBC*16-1 is the circularized large *Eco*RI fragment (mol. wt. 1.8×10^6) of *pBC*16 which obviously carries both the replication functions and the tetracycline resistance determinant.

*pBS*161 and *pBS*162 have been found together in a large number of tetracycline-resistant colonies, the former plasmid alone carrying a Tc^R determinant.

Recircularization in vitro of the largest *Hin*dIII fragment of *pBS*161 yielded *pBS*161-1,

Fig. 1. Genealogy of *Bacillus* plasmids derived from *pBC*16 and *pBS*1. With the exception of *pBS*161-1 only the restriction sites for *Eco*RI and *Hind*III are shown

a small Tc^R plasmid (mol. wt. 2.5×10^6) with a high copy number (ca. 20), and single sites for *Hind*III, *Eco*RI, and *Pst*I, none of which lies within the replication region or the tetracycline resistance determinant.

The recombinant plasmid RSF2124-B. *leu* (*Nagahari* and *Sakaguchi* 1978), contains the leucine A, B, and C genes of *B. subtilis* and can transform not only *leu*⁻ *E. coli* but also *B. subtilis* to prototrophy. This plasmid is able to replicate only in *E. coli*. After digestion with *Eco*RI, ligation to *Eco*RI-cleaved *B. subtilis* (*natto*) plasmid *pLS*28 (Table 1), and transformation of *B. subtilis* RM125 (leu⁻, recE4), *leu*⁺ transformants yielded two recombinant plasmids *pLS*101 (mol. wt. 6.5×10^6) and *pLS*102 (mol. wt. 10.7×10^6). After subcultivation of these clones slowly growing colonies have been observed containing only the plasmid *pLS*103, which is indistinguishable from *pLS*101 (Fig. 2).

Insertion of foreign DNA into the single *Bam*HI site inactivates *leu*A but not *leu*C, which can thus be used as a marker (*Tanaka* and *Sakaguchi* 1978). A derivative of *pLS*103 termed *pLL*10, has only one *Eco*RI site and complements *leu*A and *leu*B but not, in contrast to *pLS*103, *leu*C. In order to see whether DNA insertion into the remaining *Eco*RI site inactivated the *leu* function and also to introduce another marker, an *Eco*RI fragment carrying a *B. subtilis* 168 trimethoprim resistance determinant was recloned from *pBR*322-Tmp^R into *pLL*10 and transformed into *B. subtilis* ML112. *leu*⁺ Tmp^R clones yielded *pTL*10 (Fig. 3), a plasmid of mol. wt. 9.4×10^6 giving two fragments of 5.7×10^6 and 3.7×10^6 after *Eco*RI digestion. The latter fragment could convert *B. subtilis* to Tmp^R when inserted in both orientations, indicating its retention of the promoter (*Tanaka* and *Kawano* 1980).

Fig. 2. Structure of constructed plasmids *pLS*101 etc. Numbers denote the molecular weights $(\times 10^{-6})$ of the DNA fragments. The *thick* and *thin lines* indicate the vector and the DNA segments containing the leucine gene respectively. Cleavage sites of *Eco*RI, *Bam*NI, *Sma*I, and *Bsu*G are shown *inside the circles* and those of *Hin*dIII outside. Courtesy of *T. Tanaka* and *K. Sakaguchi*

In order to reduce both the size and number of *Eco*RI and *Bgl*II sites on *pTL*10, the derivative *pTL*12 has been constructed (Fig. 3); a *leu*+ Tmp^R plasmid of mol. wt. 6.4×10^6 carrying single sites for *Eco*RI, *Bgl*II, *Bam*HI, and *Xma*I. *leu* inactivation occurs following insertion at the *Bam*HI and *Xma*I sites, and *Bam*HI cleavage leaves a cohesive end (GATC), making possible the use of *Bgl*II, *Bcl*I, and *Mbo*I, which also leave this sequence. The presence of Tmp^R as a marker allows direct selection of transformants.

The Tc^R plasmid *pAB*124 isolated from *B. stearothermophilus* has three *Eco*RI sites (Table 1). The circularized *Eco*RI-A fragment (pAB224) (*Bingham* et al. 1980) is capable of autonomous replication and carries the tetracycline resistance determinant. It contains single sites for seven restriction enzymes, three of which produce cohesive termini. *pAB*524 has only one *Eco*RI fragment of *pAB*124 deleted (Fig. 4). Table 2 summarizes the properties of the plasmids described in this section. With the exception of *pTL*10 and *pTL*12, all these plasmids carry only one easily detectable genetic marker and do not allow

Table 2. Vectors derived from plasmids listed in Table 1

| Vector	Source	Mol. wt. (x 10^{-6})	Single restriction sites	Markers
pBC 16-1	pBC 16	1.8	EcoRI	Tc^R
pBS 161-1	pBC 16/pBS 1	2.5	EcoRI, HindIII, PstI	Tc^R
pLS 103	pLS 28/ RSF 2124-B. leu	6.5	BamHI, SmaI, HpaI, XmaI	leu
pLL 10	pLS 103	5.7	EcoRI, BamHI, BglI, XmaI	leu
pTL 10	pLL 10	9.4	BamHI, BglI, XmaI	leu, Tmp^R
pTL 12	pTL 10	6.4	BamHI, EcoRI, BglII, XmaI	leu, Tmp^R
pAB 224	pAB 124	1.95	EcoRI, HpaI, HpaII, HhaI, ThaI, CauII, BstEII	Tc^R
pAB 524	pAB 124	2.3	HpaI, HpaII, CauII, BstEII	Tc^R

Fig. 3. Structure of plasmids pLS103 to pTL12. Numbers in parentheses are molecular weights. HindIII sites of pLS103/pLL10 are shown inside the circles and these were preserved in pTL10. Courtesy of T. Tanaka and N. Kawano

Fig. 4. Restriction endonuclease cleavage maps of *pAB*124, *pAB*224, and *pAB*524. Courtesy of *A.H.A. Bingham, C.J. Bruton,* and *T. Atkinson*

identification of recombinant molecules by insertional inactivation. They are, therefore, of limited value as vectors for molecular cloning.

2.2 Hybrid Vectors Capable of Replication in E. coli and B. subtilis

The construction of hybrid vectors attempts to combine the advantages of the well-defined *E. coli* cloning systems with those of the *Bacillus* host.

Hybrid replicons comprising *E. coli* vector plasmids and antibiotic resistance plasmids from *Staphylococcus aureus* have been described by *Ehrlich* (this volume). We have also constructed several *S. aureus/E. coli* hybrid plasmids of this type (*pJK*310, *pJK*312, *pJK*321, *pJK*521, and *pJK*523) (*Goebel* et al. 1979; *Kreft* and *Goebel*, manuscript in preparation). Two of them, *pJK*310 (*pUB*110 + *pBR*325) (*Gryczan* et al. 1978; *Bolivar* 1978) and *pJK*523 (*pC*221 + *pBR*322) (*Novick* 1976; *Bolivar* et al. 1977), express resistance to two antibiotics in *B. subtilis* and carry single restriction sites in these markers.

In addition we have developed hybrid replicons consisting of the *E. coli* vectors *pBR*322 (*Bolivar* et al. 1977), *pACYC*184 (*Chang* and *Cohen* 1978) and the *Bacillus* plasmids *pBS*161-1 and *pBS*1 (*Kreft* et al. 1978; *Goebel* et al. 1979).

*pJK*3 and *pJK*3-1 have been constructed by ligation of *Hin*dIII-cleaved *pBR*322 and *pBS*161-1. From the resulting complete hybrid *pJK*3 several duplex restriction sites have been removed by religation of *Pst*I cleaved *pJK*3, thus yielding *pJK*3-1 (Fig. 5). This plas-

HindIII
PstI   EcoRI
ApR   PstI
TcR   pJK 3
5.0 x 10^6 d
0
TcR (defect)
EcoRI   SalI
HindII   BamHI

Pst Cleavage
ligation with T4 ligase

PstI
[ApR deleted]   0
pJK 3-1
4.4 x 10^6 d
TcR
SalI
BamHI
HindIII
EcoRI

EcoRI
pBC 16-1
1.8 x 10^6 d
TcR rep

+

EcoRI   HindIII   BamHI
SalI
TcR
pBR 322
2.6 x 10^6 d   0
PstI   ApR

→

EcoRI   HindIII   BamHI   SalI
TcR
TcR   pJK 302
4.4 x 10^6 d   0
ApR
EcoRI   PstI

Fig. 5. Construction and restriction endonuclease cleavage maps of *pJK*3-1 and *pJK*302. Construction of *pJK*3 is described in the text

mid has retained only the tetracycline resistance determinant, but has single sites for five restriction enzymes and is capable of replication in both *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*. After transformation of *E. coli* with a ligation mixture from HindIII-cleaved *pACYC*184 and *pBS*161-1, the largely deleted hybrid plasmid *pJK*201, which carries Cm^R and Tc^R determinants, has been obtained (*Goebel* et al. 1979).

*pJK*302 is a hybrid consisting of *Eco*RI cleaved *pBR*322 and *pBC*16-1. It has single sites for four restriction enzymes, the *Pst*I site being situated in the Ap^R gene. Cleavage with *Eco*RI of both parental plasmids does not inactivate the Tc^R determinants on these; the hybrid *pJK*302 expresses a high level (more than 100 µg/ml) in both *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* (Fig. 5).

Ligation of the *Eco*RI-cleaved plasmids *pBR*322 and *pBS*1 yields in *E. coli* the expected complete hybrid *pJK*501. After transformation of competent cells or protoplasts of *B. subtilis* tetracycline-resistant colonies yield numerous derivatives of *pJK*501 which have deleted different parts of the original plasmid (*Kreft* and *Parrisius,* unpublished observations). One of those derivatives which do not undergo further rearrangements, *pJK*502, has single restriction sites for *Hin*dIII, *Bam*HI, *Sal*I (in the Tc^R determinant), and *Pst*I (in the Ap^R gene).

In order to convert such a bifunctional plasmid into a cosmid system, we have introduced the *cos* site from *pHC*79 (*Hohn* and *Collins* 1980) into *pJK*3, yielding *pLK*103 (Fig. 6). But for unknown reasons all attempts to package this plasmid in vitro into lambda heads have failed so far (*G. Luibrand,* unpublished observations).

Fig. 6. Restriction endonuclease cleavage map of *pLK*103. The *thick line* indicates the *Bg*lII fragment containing the *cos* site which has been inserted into the *Bam*HI site of *pJK*3

A third type of bifunctional plasmid has been obtained by ligation of *Eco*RI-cleaved *pBS*161-1 and M13mp2 phage (*Gronenborn* and *Messing* 1978) RF double-stranded DNA. The resulting hybrid molecule *pKJB*200 (mol. wt. 7.4×10^6) replicates and expresses tetracycline resistance in both *E. coli* and *B. subtilis,* and gives rise to single-stranded DNA and phage in *E. coli* (*K.J. Burger,* unpublished observations). This plasmid has single restriction sites for *Hin*dIII and *Pst*I and should facilitate DNA sequencing of cloned fragments.

The plasmids *pJK*3, *pJK*302, *pKJ*502 and *pLK*103 carry two antibiotic resistance markers (Ap^R and Tc^R), both spanning single restriction sites, thus allowing the detection of recombinant plasmids by insertional inactivation. However, due to the nonexpression of the Ap^R gene from *pBR*322 in *B. subtilis* (see Sect. 4) its inactivation can only be detected in *E. coli*. Nevertheless recombinant plasmids can subsequently be transformed into *B. subtilis,* using Tc^R as a selective marker.

As will be discussed later, nonexpression and instability of cloned DNA fragments is an important problem in *B. subtilis*. It is, therefore, worthwhile to clone foreign DNA first in *E. coli* and to use the "bridge" character of the described hybrid replicons to introduce the cloned genes into *B. subtilis*.

2.3 Transformation

Bacillus subtilis cells can be transformed by DNA either at the stage of natural competence (*Spizizen* 1958; *Bott* and *Wilson* 1976) or after creation of protoplasts by lysozyme treatment (*Chang* and *Cohen* 1979).

In competent cells the transformability follows the same time course for chromosomal and plasmid DNA (*Contente* and *Dubnau* 1979). Competent cells are efficiently transformed only by oligomers of plasmid DNA (*Mottes* et al. 1979), whereas protoplasts can be transformed with equal efficiency by monomeric or oligomeric plasmid DNA (*Kreft,* unpublished observations).

It has been reported that a restriction-deficient mutant strain of *B. subtilis* can be more efficiently transformed by plasmid DNA than the restriction-proficient parental strain (*Tanaka* 1979). It has also been claimed that strains carrying the *recE* mutation transform poorly (*Dubnau* et al. 1980). However, in our hands the protoplast system shows no difference between the transformation rates of *B. subtilis* BR151 and BR151 *recE*4 or between *B. subtilis* MT120 r$^-$$_M$ m$^-$$_M$ *recE*4 and MT128 r$^+$$_M$ m$^+$$_M$ *recE*4 (*Tanaka* 1979). In all cases plasmids *pBS*161-1 (a *Bacillus* plasmid) and *pJK*3 (a *Bacillus/E. coli* hybrid plasmid) have been tested and, interestingly, no difference in transformation rate was seen regardless of whether the *pJK*3 plasmid DNA used for transformation had been isolated from *E. coli* or from *B. subtilis* (*Kreft,* unpublished observations). Clearly the influence of restriction and/or recombination systems on the transformation rate depends upon the particular plasmid used. The transformation efficiency with competent cells or protoplasts is highest with small plasmids, but nevertheless we have been able to transform *B. subtilis* protoplasts with a plasmid of mol. wt. 17×10^6.

2.4 Stability of Vector Plasmids

One prerequisite for the application of a host-vector system to the molecular cloning of DNA is the stable replication of vector plasmids and recombinant molecules in the host. It seems, however, that recombinant plasmids show a remarkable tendency to undergo alterations (mainly deletions) in *B. subtilis*.

Several different mechanisms may be responsible for the phenomena observed. Plasmids can of course recombine with each other or with the chromosome if they contain homologous segments (*Keggins* et al. 1978; *Tanaka* and *Sakaguchi* 1978), the *recE*4 mutation (*Dubnau* and *Cirigliano* 1974) preventing this recombination process. Intramolecular recombination, on the other hand, can occur without involvement of the *recE*4 function (*Tanaka* 1979b). In one case it has been shown that such an event can occur in a site-specific way, giving rise to two daughter plasmids containing the entire DNA sequence of the parental plasmid (*Fujii* and *Sakaguchi* 1980). The plasmids *pBS*161 and *pBS*162 (see. Sect. 2.1) may have been generated by the same process, as suggested by the distribution of restriction sites on these and the parental plasmids and the fact that the sum of the molecular weights of *pBS*161 and *pBS*162 is roughly the same as the sum of *pBS*1 and the large *Eco*RI fragment of *pBC*16.

All the hybrid plasmids described in Sect. 2.2 replicate stably in *E. coli* without detectable segregation. In *B. subtilis* the segregation rate after ten generations without selective pressure is 4%–65%, depending on the plasmid examined. They show no deletions or rearrangements while replicating in *E. coli,* but frequently display extensive deletions when isolated from *B. subtilis* transformants.

For example, from *B. subtilis* transformed by *pJK*501 a large variety of deleted plasmids may be obtained, the deletions affecting both parts (*pBS*1 and *pBR*322) of the hybrid plasmid and ranging from $3.6-6.1 \times 10^6$ in size. In some cases if plasmid DNA from *B. subtilis* cells transformed with this plasmid is isolated immediately after transformation, plasmids indistinguishable in size from *pJK*501 are found, while after subcultivation large deletions are again observed. This clearly demonstrates that in this case the deletion event occurs after the uptake of the plasmid into the cell, as has also been proposed by others (*Gryczan* and *Dubnau* 1978).

The occurrence of deletions, at least in the case of *pJK*501, is independent of the *recE* function and the restriction/modification system of the recipient. Also the type of *E. coli* modification of *pJK*501 plasmid DNA isolated from *E. coli* and used for transformation of *B. subtilis* had no influence on the deletion phenomenon (*Kreft* and *Parrisius*, unpublished observations).

Insertion of different foreign DNA segments into the same vector plasmid showed that not the vector itself but rather the particular combination of vector with another segment of DNA determines whether this new structure is stable in *B. subtilis* (see. Sect. 4).

2.5 Clone Analysis

The screening for recombinant plasmids is difficult in cases where no marker inactivation and no primary selection for a cloned fragment is possible. To screen for plasmids with inserts (or deletions) the use of a rapid lysis procedure is of great advantage, the method of *Birnboim* and *Doly* (1979) giving in our hands satisfactory results for *B. subtilis*.

It should be kept in mind, however, that due to the remarkable tendency for deletions to occur in *B. subtilis* the mere size of a plasmid isolated from transformants is not a reliable criterion in assessing its structure.

Immunologic (*Broome* and *Gilbert* 1978) and colony hybridization methods (*Grunstein* and *Hogness* 1975) can help to identify particular recombinant plasmids, but have yet to be adapted to *B. subtilis*.

For studies on the expression of cloned DNA fragments a minicell system is available for *B. subtilis* (*Reeve* et al. 1973).

3 Use of Bacteriophage Vectors

Several phage systems of *B. subtilis* have been well characterized (*Graham* et al. 1979; *Cregg* and *Ito* 1979; *Mizukami* et al. 1980). In particular, early work has involved the phages Φ3T and ρ11 which may be termed specialized transducing phages as they carry the thymidilate synthetase gene *thy*P3 (*Dean* et al. 1976). *Kawamura* et al. described in 1979 a method to construct specialized transducers of *B. subtilis* based on the phage ρ11. This involved cleavage by *Eco*RI of DNA from ρ11 and the defective phage PBSX induced from *B. subtilis* 168. The latter phage contains only host chromosomal DNA (*Okamoto* et al. 1968), thus limiting the method to the cloning of homologous DNA. It has been extended by *Yoneda* et al. (1979) to permit the cloning into *B. subtilis* of foreign DNA for which no primary selection exists. They chose to construct a specialized transducing phage containing the α-amylase gene(s) from *B. amyloliquefaciens*. Chromosomal DNA from this strain and Φ3T DNA were digested with *Bgl*II, mixed, and ligated. This ligated mixture was then added to a preparation of chromosomal DNA from *B. subtilis* RUB200, a strain prototrophic for threonine and defective in α-amylase synthesis. This mixture was in turn incubated with *B. subtilis* RUB201, a threonine auxotroph lysogenic for Φ3T. *thr+* transformants were selected and tested for α-amylase production. Competent cells may take up more than one fragment of DNA (congression) so that by selecting, in this case, *thr+* transformants one effectively enriches for cells carrying foreign DNA (such selection gave a 10^4-fold enrichment for *amy+* clones). Seven of 10^5 *thr+* transformants of RUB201

acquired α-amylase activity and from five of these Φ3T could be induced. Infection of the amy⁻ strain RUB200 with these phages showed a 100% correlation between establishment of lysogeny and the amy⁺ phenotype. Transformation and selection of amy⁺ clones showed cotransformation with the phage specific *thy*P3 gene.

The technique has been utilized for the cloning of *spo* 077 (quoted in *Kawamura* et al. 1980) and *amy*E (*Nomura* et al. 1979) into ρ11, but this phage, having a genome with mol. wt. 80 x 10⁶, generates a large number of fragments after routine digestions. *Iijima* et al. (1980) have, therefore, adapted the procedure to the temperate phage Φ105, which has a genome size of 26 x 10⁶. Chromosomal DNA of *B. subtilis* 168 (*trp*C2) was prepared from phage PBSX and after *Eco*RI digestion ligated with *Eco*RI digested DNA from Φ105C. Ligated DNA was used to transform *B. subtilis* (*trp*C2 *lys* 3 *met* B10) lysogenic for Φ105C. Selection for auxotrophic markers, subsequent mitomycin C induction, and transduction of the resulting lysate into *B. subtilis* (*trp*C2 *lys* 3 *met* B10) allowed isolation of *met* B⁺ transducing particles. While Φ105C DNA is insensitive to *Bam*HI, incorporation of the new *met* B fragment introduced a single site for this enzyme. This seems possible with other phages, e.g., the virulent Φ1 (*Kawamura* et al. 1980), which also have no *Bam*HI site. A deletion mutant of Φ1, Φ1E2Δ1, has been isolated with increased cloning capacity and this has been used to clone ρ11 fragments which introduced *Bam*HI and *Hae*III restriction sites.

To summarize, initial bacteriophage systems have been shown to be very efficient especially in shotgun cloning of heterologous DNA. Due to the selection marker thymidilate synthetase the phage Φ3T is particularly useful. Major limitations of the method are that by lysogenization normally only single gene copies can be introduced into recipient cells and that induction of lysogens leads to lysis, which might cause containment problems.

4 Molecular Cloning with Plasmid Vectors

In a strict sense the construction of vector plasmids like *pJK*3 (*Kreft* et al. 1978) or *pTL*12 (*Tanaka* and *Kawano* 1980) has already involved the cloning of either heterologous or homologous DNA. This section describes the cloning and expression of isolated genomic fragments and the expression of genetic markers on hybrid replicons.

It has been shown that, at least in certain cases, even DNA cloned in an *E. coli* vector plasmid can transform *B. subtilis* without replication of the recombinant plasmid in the *Bacillus* host (see also Sect. 2.1). The thymidilate synthetase gene from *B. subtilis* bacteriophage Φ3T, which has extensive homology to the chromosomal gene, can transform *thy*⁻ *B. subtilis* to *thy*⁺ when cloned in *pSC*101 or *pMB*9 (*Ehrlich et al.* 1976). On the other hand, the nonhomologous thymidilate synthetase gene from phage β22 can only transform *thy*⁻*B. subtilis* when cloned into an *E. coli* plasmid carrying a small fragment of DNA homologous to the *B. subtilis* chromosome (*Duncan* et al. 1978; *Young* 1980). In this case the whole recombinant plasmid becomes integrated into the chromosome. Recently it has been shown that the thymidilate synthetase gene from *E. coli* can also transform *B. subtilis* when cloned into *pBR*322 or *pMB*9 (*Rubin* et al. 1980). It is not yet clear, however, if there exists sequence homology between the cloned gene and the *B. subtilis* chromosome.

Most of the hybrid plasmids described in Sect. 2.2 carry more than one antibiotic

Table 3. Expression of antibiotic resistance markers on hybrid replicons in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*

Plasmid	Marker	Source	Expression in	
			E. coli	*B. subtilis*
pJK 3	Ap^R	*pBR* 322	+	−
	Tc^R	*pBS* 161-1	+	+
pJK 201	Cm^R	*pACYC* 184	+	−
	Tc^R	*pBS* 161-1	+	+
pJK 502	Ap^R	*pBR* 322	+	−
	Tc^R	*pBR* 322	+	+

resistance marker. Transformation studies have revealed, however, that these markers are not always expressed in both host bacteria, as is shown in Table 3.

Hybridization of in vivo radioactively labeled RNA from *B. subtilis* carrying *pJK*3 or *pJK*201 (*Goebel* et al. 1979; *Kreft* et al., manuscript in preparation) to restriction fragments of these plasmids showed that the nonexpression of the *E. coli* Ap^R (β-lactamase) and the Cm^R (chloramphenicol acetyl transferase) genes in *B. subtilis* is due to a transcriptional block. That no structural rearrangement in the DNA sequence of these genes is responsible for this lack of expression was shown following successful retransformation of *E. coli* with plasmid DNA isolated from *B. subtilis* (*Goebel* et al. 1979).

The tetracycline resistance determinant of *pBR*322 (combined with *pBS*1 to give *pJK*501) can be expressed in *B. subtilis*. It is not, however, clear if this resistance determinant indeed originates from *E. coli*. In addition, since the Tc^R determinant in *pJK*501 is very close to the *Bacillus* part of the hybrid plasmid, it is possible that its transcription starts at a *Bacillus* promoter.

The tetracycline resistance specified by *pBC*16 is expressed in *E. coli*, although at a reduced level (*Kreft* et al. 1978). The differences observed in expression of *E. coli* and *Bacillus* genes in the nonhomologous host might be explained by the promoter specificity of the RNA polymerase. In vitro studies with RNA polymerase from *B. subtilis* have shown that this enzyme preferentially binds to and transcribes from *Bacillus* promoters (*Williamson* and *Doi* 1978; *Lee* et al. 1980) in contrast to *E. coli* RNA polymerase, which transcribes nonhomologous genes quite efficiently (*Davison* et al. 1979).

It has been shown by *Ehrlich* (1977) that plasmids from *S. aureus* can express Cm^R or Tc^R in *B. subtilis*. In order to see whether the β-lactamase (E.C. 3.5.2.6.) mediating Ap^R in *S. aureus* can also be expressed in *B. subtilis* we recloned an *Eco*RI fragment, containing the β-lactamase gene (from *pSC*122, *Timmis* et al. 1975), into *pJK*3-1. Ap^R/Tc^R transformants of *E. coli* contained the recombinant plasmid *pJK*401 and restriction analysis showed that deletions had occurred in both the vector and in the fragment originating from *S. aureus*. *B. subtilis* can be transformed to Tc^R with *pJK*401 and the plasmid replicates stably in this host. Ap^R is not expressed nor can β-lactamase activity be detected intracellularly (*Kreft*, unpublished observations). It remains to be seen whether this nonexpression in *B. subtilis* is due to the removal of regulatory DNA sequences by the observed deletion.

Shotgun cloning experiments in *B. subtilis* seem, from the experience of ourselves and several others, to be rather difficult to perform. The main problem, in addition to

nonexpression of heterologous genes, seems to be the difficulty, seen particularly in shotgun experiments, in cloning large fragments of DNA. In one case it was shown that the mean size (mol. wt. 1×10^6) of DNA inserts found in recombinant plasmids is only one-third of the mean size of the fragments in the *Eco*RI or *Hin*dIII digested donor DNA (*Michel* et al. 1980). It is not yet clear if this phenomenon reflects a preferential transformation of recombinant plasmids with small inserts or is due to posttransformational deletions.

A similar experience has been made during attempts to clone the sporulation gene *spo*OF from *B. subtilis* 60015. *Eco*RI digested DNA from this strain, enriched 870-fold for the *spo*OF gene, was ligated to *Eco*RI linearized plasmid *pBS*161-1, and the asporogenous mutant *B. subtilis* strain JH756b was transformed with the ligated mixture. One of the tetracycline-resistant transformants contained *pBS*161-1 with a small insert of DNA, but this plasmid could not complement the sporulation deficiency (*Rhaese* et al. 1979).

Several methods have been proposed to circumvent these difficulties (*Dubnau* et al. 1980). In order to examine the usefulness of cloning first into *E. coli* as an intermediate host we have tried to study the stability and expression in *B. subtilis* of DNA fragments cloned in *E. coli* following their recloning into *B. subtilis/E. coli* hybrid vectors.

We have recloned the *E. coli phoA* gene encoding the periplasmic enzyme alkaline phosphatase (E.C. 3.1.3.1) which is located on a *Hin*dIII/*Bam*HI fragment (mol. wt. 3×10^6) of *pSB*53 (*W. Boidol* and *G. Siewert*, manuscript in preparation) into *pJK*3-1. The resulting plasmid *pJK*353 can transform *E. coli* SB44 (*phoA*) to *pho*$^+$ but not *B. subtilis* GSY172 (*phoP8 arg*A11) (*Le Hégarat* and *Anagnostopoulos* 1973). All the Tc^R *B. subtilis* transformants tested contain a derivative of *pJK*353 with a large deletion of mol. wt. 5.6×10^6.

This deletion affects the *phoA* gene thereby precluding until now study of its expression in *B. subtilis*. Nevertheless, further investigations should indicate how *Bacilli*, which transport extracellular enzymes to the external medium (for a review see *Priest* 1977), transport *E. coli* enzymes which are normally periplasmic, i.e., are only carried through the inner (cytoplasmic) membrane.

Also using *pJK*3-1 as a vector the *pen* gene of *B. licheniformis*, specifying β-lactamase, has been cloned in *B. subtilis*. Either the gene already cloned into a λ vector (*Brammar* et al. 1980) or cloned during a shotgun experiment into phage fd was used as a DNA source. The *Eco*RI fragment of mol. wt. 2.8×10^6 carrying the β-lactamase genes was inserted into *pJK*3-1 in both orientations and in both cases gave Tc^R/Ap^R transformants in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*. The recombinant plasmid containing the fragment from λ *pen* was rather stably maintained in *B. subtilis*, whereas the plasmid with the fragment from the shotgun cloning became deleted after a few generations (*Neugebauer* 1980). The expression of the β-lactamase was dependent upon the particular recombinant plasmid and the recipient strain. In *B. subtilis* SB202 only about 1% of the activity found in the donor strain of *B. licheniformis* was expressed. In *B. subtilis* BD170 the expression of β-lactamase from the fragment obtained by shotgun cloning was very good. With the fragment from lambda *pen* only 10%-30% of this activity was seen in BD170 (*Sprengel*, personal communication).

A similar cloning experiment has recently been reported (*Gray* and *Chang* 1981) using a bifunctional replicon from *E. coli* and *S. aureus* as a vector. The *B. licheniformis* β-lactamase was efficiently expressed and processed in both *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* BD 224 and secreted into the medium by the *Bacillus* host.

Recombinant plasmids containing genes for exoproteins like β-lactamase may be

very useful as exportation vectors facilitating the secretion of other proteins, the genes for which being fused to the essential parts of the exoenzyme gene.

It has been shown in several cases that genes from the yeast *S. cerevisiae* can be expressed after cloning and introduction into *E. coli* and can complement auxotrophic mutations (*Struhl* et al. 1976; *Ratzkin* and *Carbon* 1977). A small *Hin*dIII fragment of mol. wt. 2×10^6 containing the *arg*4 gene of *S. cerevisiae* (*Clarke* and *Carbon* 1978) has been recloned from *pYe* (*arg*4) 402-11 into *pJK*3-1 and the resulting recombinant plasmid *pJK*3-1 (*arg*4) transforms *E. coli* JA228 *arg*H to *arg*$^+$, but not *B. subtilis* GSY172 *pho*P8 *arg*A11. In addition, no argininosuccinate lyase (E.C. 4.3.2.1.) activity can be detected in the cells (*Kreft*, unpublished observations). The recombinant plasmid is stable in *B. subtilis* and plasmid DNA isolated from this host can retransform *E. coli arg*H to *arg*$^+$. It has been demonstrated that the *Hin*dIII fragment containing the *arg*4 gene carries a promoter which functions in *E. coli* (*Clarke* and *Carbon* 1978) but which from preliminary studies seems to allow no transcription in *B. subtilis*.

5 Conclusions

Compared to the very sophisticated vectors and recombinant DNA techniques available in *E. coli*, the application of this methodology to *B. subtilis* is still in its infancy. However, the rapidly increasing amount of research in this field may soon allow exploitation of the particular advantages of *B. subtilis* as a host for cloned DNA.

These include (i) nonpathogenicity, (ii) lack of endotoxin, (iii) direct selection of cloned genes which are specific for this species e.g., genes encoding for sporulation and exoenzymes, the latter being of additional interest in the development of "export vectors", and (iv) the possibility of using the transformability of competent cells of *B. subtilis* by homologous chromosomal DNA for the "scaffolding technique" (*Young* 1980) and also for the enrichment of specific markers in DNA samples prior to cloning.

Until now the use of indigenous bacteriophage systems and of *E. coli* as an intermediate host for *E. coli/Bacillus* hybrid plasmid vectors has proved most promising in shotgun cloning, but large problems remain, particularly the nonexpression of heterologous genes and the instability of cloned DNA fragments, which clearly are much more important in this host than in *E. coli*.

Further developments which seem particularly necessary to achieve successful application of the recombinant DNA technique in *Bacillus* are (i) host mutants which allow more stable maintenance of cloned DNA and (ii) vectors which can express heterologous genes regardless of the presence of suitable transcription and translation signals on the cloned fragment.

An in vitro packaging system into bacteriophage heads, comparable to the cosmid system of *E. coli*, should increase the cloning capacity of plasmid vectors.

For the practical application of the recombinant DNA technique in *B. subtilis* to the microbial production of commercially and medically important compounds, as well as the study of regulation processes in this bacterium, vectors with variable copy number or inducible expression of cloned genes will be of great importance.

References

Bernhard K, Schrempf H, Goebel W (1978) Bacteriocin and antibiotic resistance plasmids in *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bact 133:897–903

Bingham AHA, Bruton CJ, Atkinson T (1979) Isolation and partial characterization of four plasmids from antibiotic-resistant thermophilic bacilli. J Gen Microbiol 114:401–408

Bingham AHA, Bruton CJ, Atkinson T (1980) Characterization of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* plasmid pAB124 and construction of deletion variants. J Gen Microbiol 119:109–115

Birnboim HC, Doly J (1979) A rapid alkaline extraction procedure for screening recombinant plasmid DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 7:1513–1523

Bolivar F (1978) Construction and characterization of new cloning vehicles. III. Derivatives of plasmid pBR322 carrying unique *Eco*RI sites for selection of *Eco*RI generated recombinant molecules. Gene 4:121–136

Bolivar F, Rodriguez RL, Greene PJ, Betlach MC, Heyneker HL, Boyer HW, Crosa JH, Falkow S (1977) Construction and characterization of new cloning vehicles. II. A multipurpose cloning system. Gene 2:95–113

Bott KF, Wilson GA (1967) Development of competence in the *Bacillus subtilis* transformation system. J Bacteriol 94:562–570

Brammar WJ, Muir S, McMorris A (1980) Molecular cloning of the gene for the β-lactamase of *Bacillus licheniformis* and its expression in *Escherichia coli*. MGG 178:217–224

Broome S, Gilbert W (1978) Immunological screening method to defect specific translation products. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75:2746–2749

Carlton BC, Smith MPW (1974) Size distribution of the closed circular deoxyribonucleic acid molecules of *Bacillus megaterium*. Sedimentation velocity and electron microscope measurements. J Bacteriol 117:1201–1209

Chang ACY, Cohen SN (1978) Construction and characterization of amplifiable multicopy DNA cloning vehicles derived from the P15A cryptic miniplasmid. J Bacteriol 134:1141–1156

Chang S, Cohen SN (1979) High frequency transformation of *Bacillus subtilis* protoplasts by plasmid DNA. MGG 168:111–115

Clarke L, Carbon J (1978) Functional expression of cloned yeast DNA in *Escherichia coli*: Specific complementation of argininosuccinate lyase (argH) mutations. J Mol Biol 120:517–532

Contente S, Dubnau D (1979) Characterization of plasmid transformation in *Bacillus subtilis*: kinetic properties and the effect of DNA conformation. MGG 167:251–258

Cregg JM, Ito J (1979) A physical map of the genome of temperate phage Φ3T. Gene 6:199–219

Davison BL, Leighton T, Rabinowitz JC (1979) Purification of *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase with heparinagarose. In vitro transcription of Φ29 DNA. J Biol Chem 254:9220–9226

Dean DH, Orego JC, Hutchinson KW, Halvorson HO (1976) A new temperate phage for *Bacillus subtilis*, ρ11. J Virol 20:509–519

Dubnau D, Cirigliano C (1974) Genetic characterization of recombination-deficient mutants of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 117:488–493

Dubnau D, Gryczan T, Contente S, Shivakumar AG (1980) Molecular cloning in *Bacillus subtilis*. In: Setlow JK, Hollaender A (eds) Genetic engineering, vol 2. Plenum Press, New York, pp 115–131

Duncan CH, Wilson GA, Young FE (1978) Mechanism of integrating foreign DNA during transformation of *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75:3664–3668

Ehrlich SD (1977) Replication and expression of plasmids from *Staphylococcus aureus* in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 74:1680–1682

Ehrlich SD, Bursztyn-Pettegrew H, Stroynowski I, Lederberg J (1976) Expression of the thymidilate sythetase gene of the *Bacillus subtilis* bacteriophage phi-3-T in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 73:4145–4149

Fujii M, Sakaguchi K (1980) A site-specific recE4-independent intramolecular recombination between *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* DNAs in hybrid plasmids. Gene 12:95–102

Goebel W, Kreft J, Burger KJ (1979) Molecular cloning in *Bacillus subtilis*. In: Timmis KN, Pühler A, (eds) Plasmids of medical, environmental and commercial importance. Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam, pp 471–480

Graham S, Yoneda Y, Young FE (1979) Isolation and characterization of viable deletion mutants of *Bacillus subtilis* bacteriophage SP02. Gene 7:69–77

Gray O, Chang S (1981) Molecular cloning and expression of *Bacillus licheniformis* β-lactamase gene in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 145:422–428

Gronenborn B, Messing J (1978) Methylation of single-stranded DNA in vitro introduces new restriction endonuclease cleavage sites. Nature 272:375–377

Grunstein M, Hogness DS (1975) Colony hybridization: A method for the isolation of cloned DNAs that contain a specific gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 72:3961–3965

Gryczan TJ, Dubnau D (1978) Construction and properties of chimeric plasmids in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75:1428–1432

Henner DJ, Hoch JA (1980) The *Bacillus subtilis* chromosome. Microbiol Rev. 44:57–82

Hohn B, Collins J (1980) A small cosmid for efficient cloning of large DNA fragments. Gene 11:291–298

Iijima T, Kawamura F, Saito H, Ikeda Y (1980) A specialized transducing phage constructed from *Bacillus subtilis* phage Φ105. Gene 9:115–126

Kawamura F, Saito H, Ikeda Y (1979) A method for construction of spezialized transducing phage ρ11 of *Bacillus subtilis*. Gene 5:87–91

Kawamura F, Saito H, Ikeda Y (1980) Bacteriophage Φ1 as a gene cloning vector in *Bacillus subtilis*. MGG 180:259–266

Keggins KM, Duvall EJ, Lovett PS (1978) Recombination between compatible plasmids containing homologous segments requires the *Bacillus subtilis* recE4 gene product. J Bacteriol 134:514–520

Kreft J, Bernhard K, Goebel W (1978) Recombinant plasmids capable of replication in *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*. MGG 162:59–67

Lee G, Talkington C, Pero J (1980) Nucleotide sequence of a promoter recognized by *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase. M G G 180:57–65

Le Hégarat J-C, Anagnostopoulos C (1973) Purification, subunit structure and properties of two repressible phosphohydrolases of *Bacillus subtilis*. Eur J Biochem 39:525–539

Lovett PS, Bramucci MG (1975) Plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus pumilus*. J Bacteriol 124:484–490

Lovett PS, Duvall EJ, Keggins KM (1976) *Bacillus pumilus* plasmid pPL10: properties and insertion into *Bacillus subtilis* 168 by transformation. J Bacteriol 127:817–828

Michel B, Palla E, Niaudet B, Ehrlich SD (1980) DNA cloning in *Bacillus subtilis*. III. Efficiency of random-segment cloning and insertional inactivation vectors. Gene 12:147–154

Mizukami T, Kawamura F, Takahashi H, Saito H (1980) A physical map of the genome of the *Bacillus subtilis* temperate phage ρ11. Gene 11:157–162

Mottes M, Grandi G, Sgaramella V, Canosi U, Morelli G, Trautner TA (1979) Different specific activities of the monomeric and oligomeric forms of plasmid DNA in transformation of *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*. MGG 174:281–286

Nagahari K, Sakaguchi K (1978) Clining of *Bacillus subtilis* leucine A, B and C genes with *Escherichia coli* plasmids and expression of the *leu*C gene in *E. coli*. MGG 158:263–270

Neugebauer K (1980) Untersuchungen zur Konstruktion von Einzelstrangvektoren in *E. coli* und eines Exportvektors für *B. subtilis*. Ph. D. thesis, Heidelberg

Nomura S, Yamane K, Masuda T, Kawamura F, Mizukami T, Saito H, Takatuki M, Tamura G, Maruo B (1979) Construction of transducing phage ρ11 containing α-amylase structural gene of *Bacillus subtilis*. Agric Biol Chem 43:2637–2638

Novick R (1976) Plasmid-protein relaxation complexes in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Bacteriol 127:1177–1187

Okamoto K, Mudd JA, Mangan J, Huang WM, Subbaiah TV, Marmur J (1968) Properties of the defective phage of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Mol Biol 34:413–428

Priest FG (1977) Extracellular enzyme synthesis in the genus *Bacillus*. Bacteriol Rev 41:711–753

Ratzkin B, Carbon J (1977) Functional expression of cloned yeast DNA in *E. coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 74:487–491

Reeve JN, Mendelson NH, Coyne SI, Hallock LL, Cole RM (1973) Minicells of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 114:860–873

Rhaese HJ, Groscurth R, Vetter R, Gilbert H (1979) Regulation of sporulation by highly phosphorylated nucleotides in *Bacillus subtilis*. In: Koch G, Richter D (eds) Regulation of macromolecular synthesis by low molecular weight mediators. Academic Press, New York, pp 145–159

Rostas K, Dobritsa SV, Dobritsa AP, Koncz C, Alfödi L (1980) Megacinogenic plasmid from *Bacillus megaterium* 216. MGG 180:323–329

Rubin EM, Wilson GA, Young FE (1980) Expression of thymidylate synthetase activity in *Bacillus subtilis* upon integration of a cloned gene from *Escherichia coli*. Gene 10:227–235

Spizizen J (1958) Transformation of biochemically deficient strains of *Bacillus subtilis* by deoxyribonucleate. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 44:1072–1078

Struhl K, Cameron JR, Davis RW (1976) Functional genetic expression of eukaryotic DNA in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 73: 1471–1475

Tanaka T, Koshikawa T (1977) Isolation and characterization of four types of plasmids from *Bacillus subtilis* (*natto*). J Bacteriol 131:699–701

Tanaka T, Sakaguchi K (1978) Construction of a recombinant plasmid composed of *B. subtilis* leucine genes and a *B. subtilis (natto)* plasmid: its use as cloning vehicle in *B. subtilis* 168. MGG 165:269–276

Tanaka T (1979a) Restriction of plasmid-mediated transformation in *Bacillus subtilis* 168. MGG 175:235–237

Tanaka (1979b) *rec*E4-independent recombination between homologous deoxyribonucleic acid segments of *Bacillus subtilis* plasmids. J Bacteriol 139:775–782

Tanaka T, Kawano N (1980) Cloning vehicles for the homologous *Bacillus subtilis* host-vector system. Gene 10:131–136

Timmis K, Cabello F, Cohen SN (1975) Cloning, isolation and characterization of replication regions of complex plasmid genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 72:2242–2246

Uozumi T, Ozaki A, Beppu T, Arima K (1980) New cryptic plasmid of *Bacillus subtilis* and restriction analysis of other plasmids found by general screening. J Bacteriol 142:315–318

Williamson VM, Doi RH (1978) Delta factor can displace sigma factor from *Bacillus subtilis* RNA polymerase holoenzyme and regulate its initiation activity. MGG 161:135–141

Yoneda Y, Scott G, Young FE (1979) Cloning of a foreign gene coding for α-amylase in *Bacillus subtilis*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 91:1556–1564

Young FE (1980) Impact of cloning in *Bacillus subtilis* on fundamental and industrial microbiology. J Gen Microbiol 119:1–15